



IHR Newsletter

**JANUARY 1988
NUMBER 55**

A newsletter of interest to academic and lay historical Revisionists published by the Institute for Historical Review, 1822½ Newport Blvd., Suite 191, Costa Mesa, California 92627. Editor: Theodore J. O'Keefe. Published monthly. News clippings, articles and letters are welcome but please do not expect an acknowledgement.

ISRAELI JUSTICE ON TRIAL

"Israeli Justice on Trial in Crucial Cases"—that's the way a recent headline read in the *Montreal Gazette* (December 3). The *Gazette* story went on to summarize current goings-on in the Israeli justice system: American John Demjanjuk's show trial in a Jerusalem theater amid a welter of hostile publicity in Israeli media; the secret, star-chamber trial of Mordechai Vanunu, the Israeli nuclear technician kidnapped and dragged back to Israel for telling the world of Zion's atomic arsenal; and the recent findings of an official inquiry into the practices of Shin Bet, Israel's secret police, which revealed that Shin Bet officers have routinely extracted confessions from Palestinians by torture and then lied about it in court for the past sixteen years.

The story in the *Gazette*, written by James Travers, was uncommonly hard-hitting. From it readers could learn that the trial of John Demjanjuk, who was stripped of his citizenship and shipped in chains to Israel on evidence supplied by the Soviet secret police, has been a good deal less than the model procedure presented by Israel's minions in the American media. Demjanjuk's witnesses have been mercilessly hectoring by judges and prosecutors alike, while his lawyers have been harassed from the bench and threatened from the galleries. Other press accounts report that Demjanjuk has been repeatedly injured in transit between the court and his jail cell sixty miles away.

There has of course been other noteworthy news of Israeli malfeasance in legal matters lately. The *New York Village Voice* (November 24) brought word of an internal Justice Department memorandum which reveals that Israeli authorities have been stonewalling the FBI in its investigation of the 1985 murder of Arab-American leader Alex Odeh and dozens of other terrorist attacks carried out in the U.S. over the past five years. The memorandum, written by Assistant FBI director Floyd I. Clark, states that the responses of the Israeli government to repeated FBI requests for information about the suspects, members of the Jewish Defense League currently residing in Israel, "have been untimely, incomplete and in certain cases no response was rendered." There is little question that the murderers of Alex Odeh and Tscherim Soobzokov, the Circassian-American assassinated in August 1985, are the same group which carried out the vicious arson attack which destroyed IHR headquarters and hundreds of thousands of dollars worth of Revisionist books and papers on July 4, 1985.

The above revelations, coupled with news of Israeli officials' refusal to cooperate in the Congressional Iran-Contra investigation or the Jonathan Pollard spy case,

have brought Israel's courts, its intelligence agencies, its armed forces, and the entire Zionist governing apparatus into increasing disrepute among Americans capable and willing to closely follow the press. In the past criticism of Israel has been routinely stifled by invoking the "Holocaust," while the "Holocaust" has benefited from Israel's invariably friendly treatment in the communications media. The process can work the other way as well: revealing the injustice of Israeli justice and exposing the treachery and deceit of the Israeli secret service can foster new doubts about the "Holocaust." Thus the fact that Israel and its brutal "justice" system are being brought to trial, however delicately, in the American and Canadian press is very good news for Revisionists.

FDR THE LEAKER?

The December issue of *American Heritage* featured a hard-hitting, Revisionist article on the pre-Pearl Harbor leak of America's war plans against Germany and Japan. Thomas Fleming, a historian and a novelist, contends in "The Big Leak" that the unauthorized release of the War Department's top-secret "Victory Plan" (code-name "Rainbow Five"), which was summarized on the front page of the December 4, 1941 *Chicago Tribune* by Washington correspondent Chesly Manly, was a calculated maneuver by Franklin Delano Roosevelt to goad Germany into declaring war on the U.S. Fleming believes that in fact the leaking of key aspects of the plan, which envisioned a ten million man military force and a target date of July 1, 1943 for the American invasion of Europe, contributed significantly to Hitler's declaration of war against the U.S. on December 11, 1941.

Fleming's account vindicates General Albert Wedemeyer once again. Wedemeyer, who drew up the plan on the orders of FDR, War Secretary Henry L. Stimson, and Chief of Staff George C. Marshall, became a suspect in the leak due to his German descent, his known approval of the America First Movement, and his attendance at the German War College in Berlin in the years 1936-1938. After withstanding a tough FBI investigation, General Wedemeyer went on to command Allied forces in China. Last year General Wedemeyer, long since retired, told Fleming: "I have no hard evidence, but I have always been convinced, on some sort of intuitional level, that President Roosevelt authorized it." (Wedemeyer Reports!, the general's autobiography, which contains a sharp critique of Roosevelt and Churchill's disastrous World-War II policies as well as his account of the leak, is available from IHR for \$12—see order blank which accompanies this Newsletter.)

A United Press International account of the American Heritage article appeared in numerous newspapers across America in time for Pearl Harbor Day. The UPI story quoted Fleming's conclusion that "no other explanation fills all the holes in the puzzle [as to who leaked the plan—Ed.] as completely as FDR's complicity," as well as this damning question from the historian: "Would a president who had already used faked maps and concealed from Congress the truth about the naval war in the North Atlantic hesitate at one more deception—especially if he believed that war with Japan was imminent?"

DUBIOUS LOYALTIES

The late James J. Angleton, the CIA's longtime chief of counterintelligence, was well known to intelligence insiders as a passionate partisan of Israel. That feelings were mutual was revealed when a secret ceremony conducted by Israeli intelligence chiefs in Angleton's honor last November recently came to light.

According to a story in the *Washington Post* on December 5, "the most powerful members of the Israeli intelligence establishment past and present came together in a clandestine conclave . . . to pay final tribute to a beloved member of their covert fraternity—the late James Jesus Angleton." The head of what *Post* reporter Glenn Frankel described as "the pathologically secretive spy agency, the Mossad" and the chief of Shin Bet, Israel's secret police, as well as eight former heads of Israeli intelligence services joined Angleton's widow and other family members in Jerusalem to plant a tree and dedicate a memorial stone to the American spy.

Angleton was surely one of the most profligately helpful Americans the Israelis ever encountered. It is safe to surmise that many of the most valuable of his services to Israel will remain hidden from Americans for some time, but it is well known that Angleton maintained extremely close ties to the Mossad in the 1950's and early 1960's, a time when most American security experts shunned the Mossad as infiltrated by agents of the Soviet Union and its satellites. One service, among many, reportedly rendered by Angleton was his aid in obtaining Israel technical nuclear data, data which has helped the Zionist entity amass one of the world's most fearsome nuclear arsenals.

A key component of James Angleton's bizarre loyalty (dare one call it treason?) to Israel, as his wife told a correspondent for the *Jerusalem Post*, was "his deep feelings about the Holocaust." Those numerous critics of Zionist excesses who dismiss Holocaust Revisionism as an irrelevant or deleterious distraction, who wish to focus entirely on such safer and more recent questions as the attack on the *Liberty*, are invited to take note. Israel, and the worldwide Zionist movement, have fostered the Holocaust cult precisely because of its utility, not only in silencing their critics, but in recruiting legions of non-Jews whose first loyalty is not to their own nation but to the "survival" of the Jewish people (which has flourished and prospered for millennia spent seemingly on the brink of annihilation). Americans inclined to neglect Revisionism or to accept the Holocaust story uncritically will one day have to bear the consequences of a commitment to Israel first, not merely among Jews such as Jonathan Pollard, but by would-be "righteous Gentiles" of the stripe of James Angleton.

"ALL THE NEWS THAT'S FIT TO PRINT"

A recently declassified U.S. State Department document reveals that the *New York Times* and Josef Stalin's Soviet regime had a secret agreement whereby the dispatches of the *Times*' Moscow correspondent, Walter Duranty, always reflected "the official opinion of the Soviet regime and not his own." The document, a June 4, 1931 memorandum to the State Department in which U.S. diplomat A.W. Kleinfoth reported a conversation with Duranty, was recently unearthed by Ukrainian-American historian James Mace, staff director of the U.S. Commission on the Ukraine Famine.

Duranty was the *Times*' man in Moscow during the catastrophic famine induced by Stalin's "collectivization" of agriculture in the years 1932-33. Millions perished in Ukraine and other lands under Soviet rule during the famine, which Duranty, true to his employer's arrangement, at first denied and then minimized according to the Soviet line. In 1932 he was rewarded by America's media Establishment with the Pulitzer Prize for journalism in recognition of his "dispassionate interpretative reporting of the news from Russia."

Dr. Mace, who revealed his findings on November 13 in a paper entitled "Collaboration in the Suppression of the Ukrainian Famine," also stated that "the U.S. government knew a great deal about the man-made famine of 1932-33 in Ukraine and chose not to acknowledge what it knew or to respond in any meaningful way . . . For political reasons largely related to FDR's determination to establish and maintain good relations with the USSR, the U.S. government participated, albeit indirectly, in what is perhaps the single most successful denial of genocide in history."

The *Times*' toadying to the Soviets (except on such matters as Jewish emigration) is of course no news to Revisionists. Donald Day, the *Chicago Tribune*'s correspondent in northern Europe for twenty-two years (1919-1941), did his reporting on the U.S.S.R. from Riga, Latvia precisely because he refused to be bound by the strictures the Red censors imposed on foreign correspondents. In his memoirs, *Onward Christian Soldiers*, Day, who knew Duranty well, recounts that the *Times* correspondent once told him: "Donald, you have no idea how nice the Soviet authorities are to me after I sent out a message denouncing the White Guard colonels in Riga."

[*Onward Christian Soldiers*, packed with fascinating, inside detail about political and social developments in Germany, Poland, the USSR, and the Baltic nations immediately after Versailles and through the '20s, '30s, and '40s, is available in paperback from the IHR for \$7.00.]

QUOTE

I flew for peace, because there was ever before my eyes the vision of an endless row of children's coffins with weeping mothers behind them—both English and German—and another row of coffins . . . of mothers with mourning children.

—Rudolf Hess

BRAD SMITH TAKES AIM AT TELEVISION

The IHR Radio Project is now the IHR Media Project. In October I began soliciting television producers, for the first time, for invitations to appear on-camera to discuss the Revisionist critique of Holocaust orthodoxy.

During the eighteen months of the Radio Project I appeared on almost 90 radio talk shows before audiences that number somewhere between 4½ to 6 million persons (no irony intended). It was the first time most of these people heard a Revisionist viewpoint about the Holocaust expressed by a Revisionist. Nevertheless, we have understood from the beginning that television will prove a more effective tool for promoting Revisionism than any other medium.

I feel that my credentials are in order now for television. I have been associated with the IHR for over three years, and I have published Part I of my book *Confessions of a Holocaust Revisionist*.

Six television producers responded to this first solicitation. While radio producers or hosts will often sign me up for an interview after a few minutes of telephone chit-chat, TV producers are proving to be much more conservative. Three of the six producers have talked to me by telephone for more than an hour. Five of the six have talked to me more than once. Two contacted me four times each.

The advantage to what is now the IHR Media Project, and to the producer as well, of *Confessions* is that it is an autobiographical/literary work, which introduces readers to a flesh-and-blood, feeling, thinking, individual, in place of an abstract exponent of a dangerous and unpopular viewpoint. Producers are no different from other Americans; they have been taught to view Revisionist theory as crackpot and Revisionists themselves as a little less than human. I have always thought that *Confessions* would help bridge this previously unbridgeable gap. Judging by the reaction to *Confessions* I have gotten from the few media people who have so far seen it, I am encouraged to believe that I'm right.

The final result of the first IHR Media Project solicitation to TV is not in yet. Two of the six producers have decided against using the Project. The other four have maintained their interest but feel they cannot commit themselves until after the holidays. (My promotion went out to them first class mail in October.) My sense of things is that I will actually do two or three of these programs along in February. Meanwhile, I have sent out a second mailing to 700 producers for TV and radio and in January they will receive a third promo.

—Bradley R. Smith

THE SIMON WIESENTHAL CENTER & CENSORSHIP IN THE "OPEN" SOCIETY

Last month I was surprised to learn from a television producer in one of the largest east-coast cities that Aaron Breitbart, senior researcher at the Simon Wiesenthal Center in Los Angeles, has been instrumental in having the Radio Project excluded from a key media source, the

Radio and TV Report. The Report, sent gratis to 4,500 media producers nationwide, places paid announcements from spokespersons desirous of appearing on TV and radio; during the three months in which the Report allowed me to list, it proved a strong source of media contacts.

After those three months, however, I received a telephone call from William Harrison, the Report's editor, who told me the Radio and TV Report could no longer accept my money: client pressure, and the possibility of rejection by future clients. Harrison denied that members of the Holocaust Lobby had played a role. The only complainer he would name was Good Will Industries, not usually viewed as a heavyweight media account.

The television producer told me a different story. In the course of a background check on my activities, he had contacted Harrison at Radio and TV Report. Harrison informed him that it was Aaron Breitbart of the Wiesenthal Center who pressured Harrison to exclude the IHR from the Report. The producer told me this in passing, and appeared innocent of the fact that Harrison had given me a different story.

Being banned from the pages of the Radio and TV Report is not the only recent blow to the IHR Media Project. Just the other day Mitch Davis, director of Broadcast Interview Source (BIS), called to tell me he will no longer sell me his mailing lists. The BIS lists have been critical in enabling me to get my promotional packages to media producers from the very beginning of the IHR Radio Project; I don't believe I could have gotten the Project off the ground in 1986 without access to them.

Earlier this year, Davis had refused to accept my paid announcement for his yearly *Media Directory*. Like the Radio and TV Report's Harrison, he assured me that it wasn't my Jewish friends in the Holocaust industry, but "other businessmen," who were twisting his arm. At the time, I was very much aware that my announcement for the IHR Radio Project was listed in the *Directory* under "Holocaust," and ran on the page with the Wiesenthal Center's announcement. It was even the same size.

Davis's excuse this time around is that he is merging BIS with a much bigger PR firm in Washington, one that would frown on any association, including the rental of mailing lists, to Holocaust Revisionists. Like Harrison, Davis told me there was nothing personal in his decision, just "business." Businessmen want their clients to believe that each and every decision they make is merely a business decision. But behind the facade of "just business" lurk the ideologues of the Holocaust lobby. Businessmen manage their businesses, while the Holocaust ideologues manage the businessmen.

The bottom line for the Media Project is that after my January promo I will no longer have access to any of the regular promotional tools I have been using. I will have to work up and maintain my own mailing lists. Since the turnover in media production is about 20% per quarter, I don't see yet how I will have the time to do it myself. Overcoming this obstacle presents the Project with a real challenge; until it is met and overcome, the IHR Media Project must be prepared to suffer the consequences of how money is used and businessmen intimidated in the "open" society to undermine the best of our traditions: the ideal of free expression, and the simple ethic of fair play.

—Bradley R. Smith

MODERN SCHOLARSHIP?

On the dust jacket blurb of *Shattering the German Night* ("The Story of the White Rose"), Little, Brown & Co., 1986, authors Annette E. Dumbach and Jud Newborn are described as "scholars of modern German." Almost all of the books cited in the bibliography are postwar accounts of the real and imagined doings of allegedly anti-Facist groups, particularly those in Munich and connected with the "White Rose," a handful of students opposed to the regime. Of the several dozen titles cited in the "Sources," only one is a Nazi source, a 1937 title, *Freude, Zucht, Glaube: Handbuch fuer die kulturelle Arbeit im Lager*, which the authors, those "scholars of modern German," translate as, "Joy, racial breeding, belief: Handbook for cultural work in camp." The translation of "Zucht" as racial breeding" is a deliberate distortion. "Zucht" can mean "breeding" for animals and plants, but when applied to humans it must always mean, "good breeding, manners, discipline." This is certainly what was meant when the Kulturstelle der Reichsjugendfuehrung (Cultural Office of the National Youth Leadership) published the handbook in 1937.

All the sources, but that one, are anti-Nazi. Most are products of allied-directed "re-education" and "de-Nazification." It is highly improbable that these "scholars of modern German" looked at the one 1937 Nazi source cited. If they had, they might not have distorted the translation, unless they did it deliberately.

What is really needed is a little *Zucht und Ordnung* in scholarship. Those scholars of "modern German"—authors Dumbach and Newborn—like most others writing on the Third Reich, are sadly lacking in that "good order and discipline." If they had more good order, names like "Bund Deutscher Maedel" (BDM) would not be garbled as "Bund der deutschen Maedchen," and mistranslations would not be deliberately made to reinforce pre-conceived ideas.

—H. Keith Thompson

MEL, AGAIN . . .

Seems as if there's no way to make Mel Mermelstein happy. Shortly after the legal settlement with Mel, a dedicated reader of the *IHR Newsletter* and a world-class "survivor" of Auschwitz, he sued us again for a brief reference to him we made in the August, 1985 issue of this newsletter. Well, at length he thought better of it and dropped his suit. In the meantime, we countersued him with two complaints which we filed but, since he was dropping his complaint, never served him with. Instead, we have busied ourselves more productively, with the Seventh and Eighth International Revisionist Conferences, the publication of a number of books and pamphlets and the support of Revisionists around the globe.

Now—and perhaps because we've been ignoring him—or perhaps because Mel's life story is about to hit television and he needs advance publicity, we find that Mel has engaged new lawyers. On the basis of our filed-but-never-served complaints they have presented us with a ream of papers and made clear to our attorneys that they're looking forward to more fun. At least, we surmise, until after Mel's personal docudrama hits TV.

Aside from the problem this presents for us—we don't know if Mel is agitated more by being talked about or ignored—we don't really know what his attorneys want either—except publicity, of course.

We'll keep you advised. As for superstar Mel, watch your TV Guide. By the way, he's being played by Leonard Nimoy, the man from outer space. Would that be type-casting?

ZUENDEL II

As nearly every Revisionist knows by now, Ernst Zuendel's second trial on charges of "spreading false news" by disseminating books challenging the "Holocaust" line is slated to begin on January 11 in Toronto, Canada. Zuendel's previous trial on the same charges resulted in a major black eye for the Exterminationists as Revisionist experts, led by IHR editorial advisers Robert Faurisson and William Lindsey, scored major points on the witness stand, while "Holocaust" experts and survivors were unmasked by Doug Christie's merciless cross examination. After Zuendel's conviction on the outlandish charges was overturned last year, the Canadian "Holocaust" lobby engineered the retrial. This time around the stakes will be higher and the going tougher, since Jewish groups have obtained intervenor status, giving them a prosecutorial role that will enable them to call and cross-examine witnesses and present evidence. Furthermore Zionist groups, stung by the Canadian media's unusually accurate reporting of the first trial, have been hard at work to ensure that the media toes the "Holocaust" line this time around.

Ernst Zuendel needs your help. If you've got the means and the time, you can assist by volunteering your services in Toronto in a range of activities from research and writing to leafleting to cooking and housework. The Zuendel team can't pay you but they'll house you, feed you, and give you an experience in Revisionist commitment and camaraderie that no amount of money could buy. If you're game for a good fight, call Ernst at (416) 922-9850, or write him at Samisdat Publishers, 206 Carlton Street, Toronto, Ontario M5A 2L1.

CALIFORNIA EDUCATORS FLUNK

After months of dickering with lobbyists from various historically aggrieved ethnic and special-interest groups (including homosexuals), the California Board of Education has at last produced its "model curriculum on human-rights and genocide." The final version includes nine case studies of alleged instances of man's inhumanity to man, led by (of course) the "Holocaust," followed by slavery in America, the treatment of the American Indians, South Africa's apartheid system, the "slaughter" of Poles by Germans during the Second World War, the Armenian "genocide," political persecution in Argentina, etc. The suggested studies do not include: the Zionist expulsion and persecution of the Palestinians, the Allied terror bombing of Europe's civilian population during the Second World War, the expulsion of more than ten million Germans from their ancestral homes in eastern Germany and the Sudetenland at the end of that war, India's age-old caste system, etc., etc.

California school chief Bill Honig stated that the goal of the "model curriculum" is to "stress why we have democratic protections and what happens when the protections don't exist." Perhaps that's why the roll of

alleged historical injustices omits a notorious incident rather closer to hand and arguably more relevant to California pupils: the roundup and deportation to concentration camps of over seventy-thousand U.S. citizens of Japanese origin living on the West Coast, most of them from California, on the orders of Franklin Delano Roosevelt and with the avid support of California

Attorney General Earl Warren in 1942. Inclusion of the most signal violation of the civil rights of American citizens in our country's history might have served to wean California pupils from the puerile notion, so beloved of American civics classes, that democracy is a panacea for all social and political ills and an infallible guarantor of personal freedoms.

Director's Corner . . .

Would you like to see *The Journal of Historical Review* resume publication this spring? Surveys we've been mailing out to IHR bookbuyers are overwhelmingly insistant that we get *The Journal* back on track. More than 95% of those who have seen at least one issue indicated that Revisionism's premier quarterly was "very important" to the success of the movement. Letters pouring in from all over, including Europe, phone calls from subscribers, Editorial Advisory Committee members, distributors—everyone connected to and involved with the IHR and Historical Revisionism wants to know if and when *The Journal* will reappear.

The fact of the matter is that we definitely want to continue producing the quarterly. We have an abundance of good, hard-hitting material on hand right now that could fill an entire year of publication. The 1987 Eighth International Revisionist Conference papers are almost ready for publication, and we have an assortment of other research papers and essays that would be of tremendous interest to Revisionists and dozens of books to review. So material is not the problem—the problem is finances. And sadly, the decision as to whether or not to resume publication this spring is predicated entirely on the IHR's financial outlook for 1988, which translates into the kind of support via monthly pledges and contributions that we expect to receive in the coming year.

Prof. Robert Faurisson—who sends his deepest appreciation for those who have helped him recently with contributions—made a very interesting and complimentary remark at the October conference. He said that it was simply amazing that a total of 28 issues of this ground-breaking, absolutely vital periodical had actually been created, published and distributed in this day and age when there is so much propaganda, so much suppression of free speech and such distortion and irresponsibility on the part of the established media chains and networks. Robert—like those of us who have been so close to it these past eight years—realizes that *The Journal*, like no other periodical available anywhere in the world, contains the lifeblood of what, today, desperately needs to be said more than ever before. *The Journal*, since 1980, has been the lifeblood of Historical Revisionism, and to be prevented from performing the crucial transfusion of this life-saving, 100% wholesome fluid into the decaying cultural arteries of our time because of financial constraints—well, it's a totally unacceptable disservice to people of good will everywhere who, since World War Two, have been forced to think and operate under the yoke of one of the worst forms of propaganda, made all the more treacherous because it pretends to be the historical record while suppressing everywhere any attempt to openly challenge it.

Do you want to see *The Journal* back in circulation, back on the battlefield, again this spring? If your answer is yes, then the decision is up to you. As monthly pledges now stand (at about \$2,000) there is no way this institute

will be able to meet the approximately \$5,000 per issue it costs to produce and distribute *The Journal* on top of the current operating deficit of \$3,000 per month. You will have to up your own monthly pledge or decide now to pledge \$25, \$50, \$100 monthly or more at least for the next twelve months, in order to make it happen. Resolve, in this New Year, to help make a renaissance of *The Journal of Historical Review* possible. Mailing in your monthly pledge installment—even when it's more than you feel you can easily afford—will not be nearly so painful when you know that you're doing everything you can to blow the biggest hole possible in the hoax of our century.



IHR PLEDGE DRIVE
Monthly Pledges
in Dollars

The February issue of the *Newsletter* will feature IHR's Annual Report on the progress of the Institute during 1987. By that time the decision on resuming publication of the *Journal* will have been made on the basis of your pledge: make it now to thaw the winter chill and ensure a glorious springtime flowering for Historical Revisionism in 1988!

To mount an effective counter-offensive against the Holocaust Establishment and its dupes, we must win converts to Revisionism. No two ways about it—we need tactics to break the media blackout, to introduce new people to what we are saying and why, and to capitalize on growing suspicions about the Holocaust racket. Above all, we must locate and nurture new sources of support, to expand our own operations and to relieve the burden on you, our faithful supporters over the years. But how to do it with the limited resources at hand?

Last month we enclosed a copy of Prof. Faurisson's "Problem of the Gas Chambers" leaflet with the *Newsletter*, and urged you to order a supply of this effective, inexpensive recruiting tool to stuff in envelopes, to place in supermarket literature racks or church vestibules and to hand to friends and associates. These leaflets must reach thousands upon thousands of Americans if we are to break the back of Publicly Inimical Myth No. 1.

We now have a new leaflet to supplement "The Problem of the Gas Chambers" and the increasingly popular 66 Questions on the Holocaust. *The Holocaust: Let's Hear Both Sides*, by IHR Editorial Advisory Committee member Mark Weber, is a state-of-the-art presentation of the case for Holocaust Revisionism. Its call for open debate on the Holocaust will endear it to the open-minded (just consider the effect of Faurisson's leaflet on freethinker Bradley Smith, now director of the IHR Media Project). Millions of receptive people are awaiting their first exposure to



The significance of this feeble effort to lampoon Holocaust Revisionism is not so much in its (probably unwitting) distortion of the Revisionist position as in the fact that it appeared in the December 6th edition of *Suburban Trends*, a small biweekly newspaper published in the northern New Jersey suburbs. Clearly the cartoonist is unaware that neither heaps of bodies nor mass graves were discovered at any of the supposed Extermination camps. Nevertheless, this cartoon and the anti-Revisionist editorial which accompanied it are further signs that Holocaust Revisionism, if not yet a household word, is already a media watchword.

Holocaust Revisionism, millions with seeds of doubt that can be germinated by these brief but hard-hitting introductory essays. Your \$25, \$50, or \$100 investment in IHR leaflets—and your commitment to distribute them by whatever means necessary—can reap a rich harvest of human and financial growth for IHR. This first month of 1988 why not resolve—and act—to order a supply of each of these powerful leaflets and to reach at least 100 new people with them. At 10 copies for \$2, 50 or \$5, or 8 cents each for 51 or more, they are an inexpensive means to make your world a better place (please see inclosed order form).

Books, of course, are another valuable tool for spreading Revisionism. An increasing number of IHR supporters use books to deprogram friends and associates. A few weeks ago a longtime Revisionist friend from Texas called me to say that he'd just finished reading *The Auschwitz Myth* and was bowled over by the power and clarity of Dr. Staeglich's thesis. He had read all the Holocaust Revisionist books in print but it was this particular one which, from where he was sitting, had all "the right stuff."

"This book is not only devastating," he told me, "but exceptionally easy to read. How much for a case, and how many books in a case?" he asked. "I'm going to make presents of these."

You might want to consider a plan like this yourself. We are happy to offer supporters a 40% discount from the retail price on any IHR-published title when bought by the case (*The Auschwitz Myth*, for example, comes 27 to the case: you save \$215 by purchasing at this quantity). Most titles are available at a 20% discount for multiple copies or if you're donating the book to a library.

Books do no good sitting in a warehouse. Think about how you can help get them into circulation. Why not use these leaflets described above, along with some IHR booklists, to get a little retail book business going for yourself? As a wholesale distributor we can extend very attractive discounts, and we're happy to help with what might be needed (advice, literature) to make your enterprise fly.

We stand today on the brink of an awesome accomplishment—to correct 45 years of a severely.

distorted historical record. Interest is growing. This thing is going to bust loose one day and those who have deliberately suppressed or falsified the facts in their own interests will have hell to pay. We are all privileged to answer the mighty call-to-arms that Historical Revisionism represents. Above all, it is the social, political and historical task of our age, and you, dear friend, are a part of it, perhaps even more than you know. We're not asking you to quit your job, sell your house, give up your comforts and march off to war. You can fight the good fight from your own living room, in your own time

and with your own available resources. But please, in whatever way you can, help us help you, your children and decent folks everywhere by getting the materials out there and by continuing to support your Institute for Historical Review.

One final note: if you've been thinking about earmarking something for the Institute in your Will, please let us know. We have an expert who can help you set up a trust that will guarantee that whatever you decide to leave to the IHR will get to the IHR. And this service will cost you nothing.

—Tom Marcellus

Book Notes

NEW TITLES AVAILABLE & OLD TITLES BACK IN STOCK

Holocaust of Croats — A sobering documentation of the World-War-II slaughter of hundreds of thousands of men, women, and children of Catholic Croatia at the hands of Tito's Reds and Mihailovich's Cetniks. Here are the shocking facts about the post-war Bleiburg massacre facilitated by Britain's Harold MacMillan, and the continuing persecution of Croatian clergymen and intellectuals by Yugoslavia's secret police and America's OSI. Pb., \$20.00.

Tito — A close examination of the rise of the bloodthirsty but enigmatic figure who called himself "Tito." Professor Omrcanin, a member of the IHR's Editorial Advisory Committee, presents documentary evidence of the key roles of British intelligence and international Jewish organizations in creating Tito's Red dictatorship in Yugoslavia. Fascinating archival material. Pb., \$16.00.

[NOTE: the above two titles are from a special, non-IHR small press run and were thus costlier to bring into stock].

Hitler: Memoirs of a Confidante — Otto Wagener, SA chief of staff and then Hitler's party chief for economic policy, was an intimate of Adolf Hitler during the crucial years from 1929 to 1933. His memoirs are a rare, first-hand account of the Fuehrer's "innermost thoughts and most fundamental ideas," ranging from the Jewish question to the merits of vegetarianism. Edited and with an introduction by Yale historian Henry A. Turner. Pb., \$13.95.

The Origins of the Second World War — A.J.P. Taylor's classic Revisionist examination of the causes of the Second World War. Britain's leading expert on modern European history destroys the claim that Hitler sought or planned an aggressive war. A must for the library of every Revisionist. Pb., \$9.95.

The Leibstandarte (I) — Rudolf Lehmann. A profusely illustrated, meticulously documented history of the First SS Panzer Division "Leibstandarte Adolf Hitler" from its formation in 1933 through its campaigns in Poland, the West, and the Balkans. Detailed accounts of the LAH's training, growth, and combat experience, plus many photographs published here for the first time, make this a must for students and enthusiasts alike. A very useful booklet of maps accompanies the book. Hb., \$24.95

Red Star Over Cuba — Ex-Communist Nathaniel Weyl, unlike Dwight Eisenhower and the New York Times, was not deceived by Fidel Castro's claims to be an autonomous

"freedom fighter." Weyl documents Castro's career as a Communist agent from his student days in the 1940's to his transformation of Cuba into a Soviet satellite in 1959. Hb., \$12.00.

Never Lose A War — Holmes Alexander. A conservative columnist whose mentors were Charles Beard and H.L. Mencken, Alexander stood with the Old Right against interventionism, free trade, and forced race mixing. These memoirs are crammed with personal vignettes and reminiscences of friends from Joe McCarthy and Carlton Putnam to Richard Nixon and Ronald Reagan. Hb., \$8.00.

Martin Luther King: Man behind the Myth — Des Griffin. A searing debunking of the only American to be honored with his own national holiday. Includes documented material on King's Communist ties, as well as revelations from FBI wiretaps (ordered by Robert Kennedy) of the preacher's seamy sexual escapades. Pb., \$4.95.

The Palestine Plot — B. Jensen. A chronological compendium of quotations documenting the world-wide rise of Zionism and the conquest of Palestine. This 150-page, indexed sourcebook cites hundreds of damaging admissions and revealing statements from Zionists, anti-Zionists, and disinterested parties. An eye-opener for neophytes, a valuable source for experts. Pb., \$10.00.

An Economic Interpretation of the U.S. Constitution — Charles A. Beard. The great Revisionist's landmark study of the role of wealth and private interest in the framing of the Constitution. Universally acknowledged as one of the most influential books on American history ever written, Beard's *Economic Interpretation* is solidly positioned on Madison's *Federalist Papers*. Pb., \$15.00.

JFK: The Mystery Unraveled — A collection of articles by the staff of *The Spotlight*, this study avoids the pitfalls of conspiriologists and amateur sleuths by focussing on the cover-up of JFK's assassination by the Warren commission and its successors. Experts like Mark Lane and ex-CIA agent Victor Marchetti point the finger at the probable culprits. Pb., \$6.95.

Rebel Patriot: A Biography of Franz von Papen — Henry M. and Robin K. Adams. The noted Revisionist historians' long-awaited biography of the German statesman and diplomat, a key figure in the intrigues surrounding Hitler's rise to power in 1932-33 and the Third Reich's ambassador to the Vatican, Austria, and Turkey. Professor Adams and his wife, long time friends of the von Papen family, dispel persistent myths on the role of the "Ruhr barons" and vindicate von Papen's principled patriotism. Hb., \$29.95.

Letters . . .

GERMAN WOUNDED: THE FACTS

Scanning the latest (Dec. '87) issue of the *IHR Newsletter*, I came across the article on p. 4 entitled "Top This One", describing the alleged scheme to murder disabled German soldiers in WW II. This is certainly one of the more ridiculous allegations ever to see print since the Wehrmacht and the Waffen-SS in particular had the most advanced programs to rehabilitate disabled soldiers known to that time. The Waffen-SS program for retraining the war maimed has since been adopted by Armed Forces throughout the world.

For some excellent documentation (including photos) of this please see pp. 91-100 of the book *Officer Training in the Waffen-SS* by Richard Schulze-Kossens (W. Germany: Munin Verlag), 2nd Edition, 1987. This describes in full detail the program to retrain badly handicapped Waffen-SS soldiers of different European nationalities at the SS-Junkerschule "Toelz" in particular. The war wounded were classified according to disability, including amputees, paralysis cases, blinded, even brain damaged, and retrained accordingly. Sports and athletics were also used in the rehab process. Fully 1,000 badly handicapped officers graduated from "Toelz" by 1945 and another 1,000 failed to make the grade but were utilized as NCOs.

Every wounded member of the Waffen-SS, of whatever nationality, was given the opportunity for rehabilitation and if still not fit for limited duty was fully supported by the Waffen-SS Welfare Office set-up for his particular nationality. Families and relatives of the war disabled and killed were also eligible for full benefits. In general it is safe to say that the war disabled in the Third Reich and occupied countries were far better treated than their counterparts in the "Allied" armies!

Richard Landwehr — Glendale, OR

[Mr. Landwehr is the editor of *Siegrunen*, a magazine devoted to the history of the Waffen-SS (*Siegrunen*, Box 512, Glendale, OR 97442). His *Frontfighters: The Norwegian Volunteer Legion of the Waffen-SS, 1941-1943* is available from IHR for \$15. *Fighting for Freedom*, Landwehr's history of the Ukrainian Waffen-SS division "Galicia," will soon be available once again from IHR.]

FAREWELL TO THE HOLOCAUST CULT

Two months ago—half from intellectual curiosity and half from a sense of complete exasperation with the machinations of the Zionist fifth column in your country and mine—I ordered 7 audio-cassettes from the IHR. Before I describe my reaction, I must preface it by saying that years ago I had taught a psychology course to high school seniors, which was largely a prevention course, warning teenagers of the perils of drugs and cults. When I taught a unit devoted to cults, I naturally delved into the matter of brainwashing and deprogramming.

If I mention this now it will be for reasons that must surely be obvious to you. After immersing myself in Revisionist material, both tapes and books (*The Great Holocaust Trial*, *The Hoax of The 20th Century*), I had the feeling of being a chronic alcoholic finally sobering up

after being rescued from a gulag of the mind. To tell the truth, I'm uncertain just which metaphor would be the most appropriate. Perhaps I ought to speak in my religious terminology, who knows? Perhaps I had a conversion experience. Perhaps I was "born again," historically speaking.

Seven years ago I graduated from a Canadian university with an Honours degree in Religious Studies, and it (religion) has always been an abiding interest of mine, and (to continue) it occurred to me that a conversion experience could be as much predicated on an intellectual basis (say, a sudden realization that the Holocaust is a hoax, an extortion racket, and not the new civic religion vested-interests [read: Zionist] would like it to be), and the impact such an insight would have on a young and impressionable "liberal" mind, a mind dutifully intent on thinking all the "right" thoughts and also "loving" big brother.

I look forward to hearing from you and of your work in the field of Revisionist history.

P.R. — Alberta, Canada

"SELF-CRITICISM"

In the wake of the late great Summit between Reagan and Gorbachev, in which the administration bowed low many times, watch for the following development, probably some time next year:

In addition to increased trade in goods and technology, plus vastly enlarged student exchange, watch for the introduction of mixed Soviet-American discussion groups or "GLASNOST SESSIONS" for "openness." Sometimes known as encounter groups or human relations (sensitivity) training.

In Russia: *Sama Kritika*, or "self-criticism."

On the MacNeil-Lehrer TV News (PBS) last Tuesday, they pointed out (innocently, I believe) how good Gorbachev is at admitting when he is wrong, has lots to learn, etc., etc. As MacNeil put it: "Gorbachev is very good at self-criticism"!!!

That's what the man said.

Ed Dieckmann — Long Beach, CA

[Mr. Dieckmann is the author of *Beyond Jonestown: "Sensitivity Training" and the Cult of Mind Control*, available in paperback from IHR for \$7.95.]

SMELLING A RAT!

The latest *IHR Newsletter* [No. 53] was excellent. I especially found "Defaming the Dead" of extreme interest. I had seen the *New York Post* article "Album of Evil." I smelled a rat when I read it originally, but when I found that the photos had probably been stolen from Mr. Robert Scott, the whole nasty circle was complete. The audacity of these people claiming that the photos had belonged to Soobzokov is appalling. I have read Howard Blum's smear of Soobzokov and others in *Wanted! The Search for Nazis in America* and I was really disgusted to see recently that the ADL is still peddling this trashy book in their booklist.

Jack Wikoff — Aurora, New York