



IHR Newsletter

January 1986
Number 36

A newsletter of interest to academic and lay historical revisionists published by the Institute for Historical Review. Editor: Bradley Smith. Contributing editors: L.A. Rollins, Mark Weber, Ted O'Keefe and Tom Marcellus. This newsletter is published eight times per year on the alternate months of issue of The Journal of Historical Review. News clippings and items are welcomed. Please do not expect an acknowledgment. Correspondence should be addressed to: the IHR Newsletter, c/o Bradley Smith, editor, P.O. Box 1306, Torrance, CA 90505. Telephone (for the newsletter only): (213) 465-3736. Combined domestic subscription price to The Journal and this newsletter is \$30 per year.

SEVENTH REVISIONIST CONFERENCE SET FOR MID-FEBRUARY

Are you planning to attend the IHR's Seventh International Revisionist Conference, 15-17 February in the Los Angeles area? If you are, and you haven't yet made your reservation, time is running out.

As those who've attended any of the previous six conferences know, we are not interested in numbers. While it would be possible to structure the events to accommodate 300 or even 500 people, this has never been our objective. Each conference is set up primarily as a focus for the production and presentation of original revisionist research. The papers presented by the avant-garde men and women who researched and prepared them become the core of about half the original material published in The Journal of Historical Review and comprise the whole of our increasingly popular revisionist audio cassette series.

We hold paid attendance to the conferences to about 100. This policy enables us to better control the proceedings in each event-filled three-day conference weekend, and also helps to guarantee that only genuine, supportive revisionists are in attendance. Another inducement is that guests have the rare opportunity to meet, hear and associate with the leading revisionists and intellectuals from around the world. The lectures prepared and presented at these events reach tens of thousands when they are published in The Journal and on cassette tape afterward.

If you plan to attend this year's Seventh International Revisionist Conference, a fascinating spectrum of live lectures, video presentations and rare revisionist comradeship awaits you. Douglas Christie, the courageous defense attorney for Canadian heretics Ernst Zundel and James Keegstra (both accused of disseminating truth) will give the banquet address. Christie is a stirring orator and his historic speech will be one of the highlights of the conference.

Ed Dieckmann, Jr., author of the best-

selling book on cult brainwashing, The Secret of Jonestown, will lecture on current ADL efforts to indoctrinate public school children into the "Holocaust" propaganda using sensitivity training techniques. His new book will be available for the first time at the conference.

Dr. Ivo Omrcanin, author of Enigma Tito, will discuss the roles of the B'nai B'rith and British intelligence in the creation and perpetuation of the late Yugoslavian communist dictator Josep Broz Tito.

Dr. Georg Franz-Willing, West German historian and author of a number of major works on the Third Reich and World War Two, will lecture on the origins of that war, why and how the facts have been suppressed, and what we can expect to happen as the censors lose even more ground to the revisionist offensive.

Sam Dickson, historian and practicing attorney from Georgia, will present an outstanding and controversial paper on President Abraham Lincoln and his responsibility for the outbreak of the American Civil War.

Dr. Alexander Ronnett, author of Romanian Nationalism: The Legionary Movement, will delve into this fascinating World War Two-era phenomenon.

Dr. Alexander B. Berkis, a specialist on communist hegemony in the Eastern Bloc countries, will lecture on Latvian persecution under Soviet rule.

Our own Ted O'Keefe will present his research paper on an increasingly controversial subject and one with powerful political overtones: The "romance" between the U.S. Department of Justice's Office of Special Investigations (OSI) and the Soviet KGB in tracking down and prosecuting anti-communist Americans, in what is little more than a continuation of Operation Keelhaul.

You'll also meet and hear former Chilean ambassador to Europe Miguel Serrano, whose specialty is the intriguing and little-known relationships that existed between the National Socialist government of Hitler and the many Third World nations that looked

to Germany as the source of their salvation from British and other imperialisms. You'll also find out why, in this day of so much media anxiety over conditions in the Third World, the facts of these historically significant relationships are not discussed at all.

Several revisionist videos will be shown during the course of the conference, including the much-talked about Great Holocaust Trial film. In addition, we are very pleased to announce that the conference will feature the U.S. premiere of The Bomber War, a West German television series which has offended the New York Times and other gray ladies of Exterminationism, due to its candid and groundbreaking study of the holocaust against the German people: the murderous firebombings of all major cities. The Bomber War series is unavailable for sale or rent. This historic film has never been seen before in the U.S. It will be shown only at the conference and then returned to our archives. Admission is free to all attendees. The Bomber War represents a new wave in filmmaking which, while unpublicized in the U.S. will, in the future, offer a convincing antidote to Exterminationist movies. Its graphic footage will prove unforgettable to every viewer. Simultaneous English translation will accompany the screening.

Regrettably, Ivor Benson, our scheduled lecturer on South African history, has been hospitalized in England and is seriously ill. Our heartfelt wishes for his recovery are extended to Mrs. Benson and family. We're working on a substitute for Ivor who will be both important and timely.

The first lecture on the program will be that of our distinguished colleague Dr. Martin A. Larson. He'll dedicate the Seventh Conference to English jurist Frederick J.P. Veale, author of the landmark two volume study, The Veale File. Acting as Master of Ceremonies for the conference will once again be historian Mark Weber, whose writings subscribers to The Journal and the IHR Newsletter know well.

This promises to be a most stimulating three-day event. Attendance is \$195 per person which includes buffet breakfasts and the revisionist banquet and awards dinner the evening of the 16th. Overnight on-site lodging is optional at extra charge and must be booked in advance through the Institute. Local transportation from L.A. International Airport to the conference site

can be arranged for you if needed.

So, if you haven't already made your reservation to attend the Seventh Revisionist Conference, write to us today and we'll mail you a reservation application. Again, attendance will be limited to space available, so please reserve early. (TM)

FIRST, THE BAD NEWS

After six years of indomitable service to this Institute, Director Tom Marcellus will be saying goodbye. Tom's tenure as director has been rough and stormy to say the least. He took over in the wake of all the chaos left by a previous employee, to guide this organization through crisis after crisis with skill and fidelity to the cause of free expression.

Under Tom's direction, the IHR has published 9 hardcover books, 31 paperback books, 18 issues of The Journal of Historical Review, 30 issues of the IHR Newsletter, conducted four International Revisionist Conferences and obtained the services of outstanding, world-ranked scholars such as Arthur Butz, James J. Martin, Robert Faurisson, David L. Hoggan, David Irving, John Toland and many others.

It was Tom who steered the Institute through countless incidents of terror, including attacks on IHR personnel, culminating in the complete destruction by arson of our building, equipment and books.

It was Tom who moved us through the Mermelstein controversy and lawsuit to insure the survival of this organization against one of the most cunning and sophisticated legal attacks ever launched against it. The nay-sayers predicted disaster, but thanks to Marcellus' stewardship the IHR is now stronger than ever before.

Tom has now chosen to accept a job offer he first declined after the arson of July 4, 1984. At that time he decided not to accept it because he did not want to leave the IHR in the chaos it was then in. He stayed, and it was primarily by his efforts that the Institute literally rose from the ashes of that gruesome crime. Thanks to his leadership through that crisis the IHR lost not a single employee in spite of the grueling and enervating labors involved.

Tom has agreed to stay on with the institute on a part-time basis, assisting the smooth transition to a new director. Tom remains fully supportive of the IHR and its work, and the Institute in turn

salutes Tom for the vast contribution he has made to freedom of inquiry and revisionism.

While the search is underway for a permanent director, the newsletter staff will ensure that operations remain efficient. At our February conference we will announce the formation of a search committee to interview new applicants for this rewarding and challenging position. If you have a qualified and fearless candidate in mind, we will explain how to nominate such an individual in a forthcoming newsletter. Once again, to Tom Marcellus, a hearty "Well Done!" for six years of outstanding leadership and faithful service. (WAC)

...AND NOW THE GOOD

At this time of the changing of the guard, the IHR will usher in the new year with news of a most happy development. The formal announcement will be made at the upcoming Seventh International Revisionist Conference (more on this elsewhere in this newsletter). Although all details are now engraved in bronze, it is politic to delay the formalities until then. Also, it might provide you with the incentive you need to get with it and send in your registration application now, before it's too late! (WAC)

ACCOUNTABILITY AT THE LOS ANGELES TIMES

Accountability is a priceless foundation stone of public trust. Where positions are defended, rebuttals offered and frank exchanges endured, opposing parties may discover the humanity and earnestness in each other. From such a meeting a groundwork may be established where both sides endeavor to avoid blind partisanship and correct errors in fact and understanding.

An attempt at such an exchange was made by a delegation from the IHR which, in mid-November, traveled from Torrance to downtown Los Angeles to try to meet with Otis Chandler, publisher of the powerful Los Angeles Times, or one of his subordinates. Our delegation, headed by Ted O'Keefe, was refused admission to the Times editorial offices and treated with indifference and disrespect. Not even a copy boy appeared to meet our delegation as phone call after phone call over the Times' internal phone system was made to one subaltern after another.

Finally, the Times offered to telephone

O'Keefe later in the day at the IHR offices. Metro editor David Rosenzweig, according to the Times, would be the man calling. Resenzweig did not even bother to make good on the paper's promise (Rosenzweig, a confirmed IHR-hater, was most likely assigned to us due to his reputation of being the most bigoted editor in the Times editorial offices).

If we had been goons from the terrorist JDL, our delegation would have been interviewed, chatted up and probably written up. But since we represented a line of inquiry which threatens to shatter the revered icons of World War II history and modern press independence as well, we were ignored. The immense influence that the Times exerts in Southern California has instilled the arrogance of a bully into the consciousness of a paper that promotes a public pose of humanist concern and compassion.

We went to the Times to discuss substantive issues; issues which demand explanations about the role of a major American newspaper's refusal to call terrorists to account for the bomb murder of Alex Odeh of the American Anti-Discrimination League. The Times, by issuing the obligatory and anemic condemnation of the Odeh bombing, apparently believes it is absolved from doing anything further—such as launching the kind of five-alarm series of investigative reports on the burgeoning Jewish terror underground as it would have if the head of the American Jewish Committee had been bombed by suspected neo-Nazis for having praised Yitzak Shamir as a "man of peace." Terrorists expect pro-forma newspaper editorial denunciations of their actions. Terrorists are not dissuaded by editorial disdain. Bomb-throwing is checked by the sort of investigative reporting the Times has the resources to mount. By not doing what it could, the Times encourages terrorism on the one hand and endangers the lives of anti-Zionist dissidents and their families on the other. We sought to discuss: (1) The reasons why the Times has not announced that it is going to launch a full investigative report on the JDL which has been linked to six bombings since May of this year, including attacks on the home of a Los Angeles school teacher, a Santa Monica publisher, two old men, a policeman, an innocent bystander and Santa Ana human rights activist Alex Odeh. (2) Why the Times has consistently failed to list the bombing of the IHR publishing offices in July of 1984 as part of its inventory of

suspected Jewish terror attacks when it recounts similar actions in background news reports. (3) Why the Times has failed to take note of the IHR's special report on JDL terrorism. Prior to the issuance of the report, the FBI and local officials claimed there were "no suspects" in the murders of Odeh and Tscherim Soobzokov. Shortly after the report was issued, however, the FBI "linked" the JDL to both murders. (4) Why the bizarre statements of a Wiesenthal Center spokesman about Meir Kahane, terrorist founder of the JDL, to the effect that his actions against the Soviets early in his "career" (Times, Oct. 30, P.32) were worthy and sound went unchallenged by the Times. In point of fact, one of these early actions, which Kahane admitted to writer Robert Friedman was indeed carried out by his JDL gang, was the 1972 bombing of the offices of music impresario Sol Hurok which murdered his female secretary.

We selected the Los Angeles Times over equally prostituted media in the area because the Times is an established flagship, self-advertised as a paradigm of objectivity and journalistic excellence. Our visit was not successful, but it wasn't made in vain, as we produced one more proof that this newspaper is as protective of its partisan Zionist biases as the humblest back country gazette in Mississippi is of its anti-evolution beliefs. The Times does not appear to understand that innocent life and limb are at stake because of its bigotry, short-sightedness, hypocrisy and contempt for its obligation to uphold freedom of speech. That's the truth about the Los Angeles Times. Let them live with it. (TM)

SCOOP: NO "GASSINGS" AT TREBLINKA

Rabbi Marvin Hier, Dean of the Simon Wiesenthal Center in Los Angeles revealed to a radio audience in Southern California recently that "there were no gassings" at the infamous Treblinka death camp. This puts the lie to such men as Raul Hilberg, Elie Wiesel and Lucy Dawidowicz, and was welcome news to those of us who would like to discover that Germans were not so cruel and Jews not so dumb during World War Two as we are being told they were.

The rabbi's admission, and his attempt to recover from it, took place when Ted O'Keefe, a contributing editor of the IHR-

NL, rang up the program and asked a few good questions:

O'K: How many gassing centers were there, Sir?

Hier: There were in Maidanek, Auschwitz and Birkenau, in Belzec. There were none in Treblinka. But in Treblinka, they were, they were burnt.

O'K: There were no gassings in Treblinka?

Hier: In Treblinka there were mass liquidations. And then their bodies were burnt on pyres.

O'K: How were they liquidated? There is a man who has been tried—and he's going to be driven from the country—who was supposed to have operated the gas chambers in Treblinka. How about that?

Hier: He operated the gas chambers ... in Treblinka ...

O'K: You said there weren't any.

Hier: No, in Treblinka there were—in the camp in Treblinka—started in a different dimension than what it ended up. When it was a small camp there, gas chambers. When it became larger ...

O'K: Was it a major liquidation center?

Hier: Yes, about 800,000.

O'K: And they were supposedly gassed, by all testimony. Isn't that true?

Hier: Yes. In Treblinka. No, in Treblinka, in the beginning there were gassings, then there was mass liquidation that was conducted by shooting and then the bodies were burned.

O'K: Well, that's not the story in Hilberg.

Hier: In Maidanek, Auschwitz and Birkenau, those were where most of the gassings were done ...

(2 October '85, KCKC Radio, San Bernardino, Calif.)

The man O'Keefe referred to as having been tried and is about to be deported to Israel—the only democracy in the Middle East—to stand trial for having operated the gas chambers until the camp was dismantled, is John Demjanjuk—supposedly the infamous "Ivan the Terrible."

We have sent a cassette tape of this conversation to Lydia Demjanjuk, his daughter, in the hope that it will be of some use to her in removing her father from the embrace of U.S./Israeli justice. (BRS)

**"EXP" ON YOUR ADDRESS LABEL MEANS YOUR SUBSCRIPTION HAS EXPIRED, TIME TO RENEW:
SURFACE RATES: U.S. \$30 / FOREIGN \$40**

A.J.P. TAYLOR MEMOIR CONFIRMS NUREMBERG FRAUD

The wheels of historical truth, like those of justice, grind slowly, but they grind very fine. It's still not a widely known fact, but one of the most important pieces of "evidence" used by the Allies at the main Nuremberg trial has been thoroughly exposed as a fraud. In a book published in 1980 in West Germany and reviewed in the Fall 1983 issue of The Journal of Historical Review, author Dankwart Kluge definitively established that the "Hossbach Protocol" (Nuremberg document 386-PS) is a hoax.

More recently, Britain's most eminent historian has confirmed Kluge's analysis. In his memoir, An Old Man's Diary (London: 1984), A.J.P. Taylor wrote:

"Twenty years ago I published a book about the origins of the Second World War. At the time it was dismissed as wrong-headed and controversial. Now it has become the accepted version for most people. But there still lurks some trouble in the book, particularly the so-called Hossbach Protocol. I asserted that this document was a forgery, an assertion which caused much indignation. Now after many years a Berlin lawyer called Dankwart Kluge has taken another look at the Hossbach Protocol. His conclusions are startling. The Hossbach Protocol never existed in any form. Two documents were submitted to the Nuremberg Tribunal; one was an English translation, markedly longer than the alleged Protocol, the other a microfilm copy of a microfilm. However, the Tribunal accepted these documents. They were held to prove that Hitler was planning an aggressive war. On the strength of them Goering was condemned to death, and only escaped the hangman by taking poison. No evidence that Hitler planned an aggressive war has ever been produced. Hossbach, who is alleged to have compiled the so-called protocol, was from the first an associate of the German generals who opposed Hitler's policy or tried to.

The revision upsets the entire verdict of the Nuremberg Tribunal, which is still solemnly quoted as justification of the Allied war against Germany." (MW)

AIRTIME OPPORTUNITIES INCREASING

On November 20th I recorded our first radio talk show interview in line with the suggestions I outlined in the November newsletter.

The show is called "Air Talk" and is hosted by Larry Mantle at station KCKC-FM on the campus at Pasadena City College.

The interview went off without any catastrophic blunders. A little befuddlement, a mistake or two, a missed opportunity here and there, nothing too terrible. A good mix of callers, friendlies, a couple of survivors, and two guys who detested me. I enjoyed it.

By the time you read this it looks like I will have appeared on KJM-AM in Fresno. The tentative title for that show is: How the Jewish Community is Being Betrayed by Holocaust Spokesmen. Additionally, I have run down what is said to be the best list of national talk shows in the nation and will be approaching some 750 stations with ideas for interviews beginning the first week in January. Nothing, however, beats personal contact by individuals who live in the communities where talk shows originate. Suggestions or help you can provide for getting me on the air will be appreciated.

Some disparage small-town radio, saying that it doesn't reach enough people. But there are no rules in this game. I was almost 50 years old, a life-long True Believer in the "Genocide" and my belief was cracked by a single pamphlet handed to me in 1979 by Australian revisionist John Bennett. There are no rules here, only habits. (BRS)

SCUM TO THE TOP

The American elite give every indication in their press releases and self-advertising of having gotten to the "top of the pile" by virtue of their brilliance, industriousness and sterling integrity. Fifty-three year old publishing magnate Darrow Tully is a case in point. His Phoenix newspapers the Arizona Republic and the Phoenix Gazette are two of the most influential publications in the Western U.S.

In July the Republic saw fit to place the version of the Mermelstein story given by Mr. Mermelstein's attorney as an "objective" news article on the front page. The establishment would argue that one-sidedness in service to the cause of consensus reality is virtue personified and that, in general, the Arizona Republic is not only a capitalist success story, but a quintessentially moral newspaper as well. What better way to illustrate this morality than to

take one partisan side in a controversy and place it on the front page?

Cynics would observe, however, that in this decaying era, it is not necessarily true that the media moguls obtain their power and position due to honesty and cerebral endowment. A cynic might remark that the operating principle of promotion is more accurately characterized as a process of scum to the top.

Mr. Tully did not assuage this assessment when, on December 26, he admitted that for years he has masqueraded as a decorated Air Force pilot and combat veteran of Korea and Vietnam. Tully claimed to have been retired at the rank of Lieutenant colonel and to have received the Distinguished Flying Cross, among other decorations. A spokesman for the publishing company stated that these lies had been told for 30 years. An irate county attorney who says that the Arizona Republic smeared him, fought back and exposed the imposter after consulting military records centers across the country. Tully has resigned his position and is reportedly now in consultation with his minister. (Jerry McFadden)

REVISIONIST NEWS IN BRIEF

The long-awaited transcripts of the trial of Ernst Zundel have finally arrived. The almost 5,000 pages of testimony will form the heart of the appeal process which is all that remains between the German-Canadian human rights activist and 15 months in an Ontario gulag.

Thies Christophersen, author of The Auschwitz Lie, gave testimony for the defense at the Zundel trial. He has been arrested by West German police under the recently enacted "Auschwitz Law" which effectively criminalizes revisionist research. Christophersen's home has been searched three times by the Thought Police of the Federal German Republic, but he is apparently determined to fight the charges with a battery of revisionist historians. The 68 year old former Wehrmacht officer is an Auschwitz eyewitness and has long been a target of the suppressionists. An interview with Christophersen is available on IHR videotape (VT-6, \$39).

Another Gestapo-like action in "democratic" West Germany centers on J.G. Burg, the

renowned German-Jewish revisionist researcher whose most recent book, Scapegoats, has been seized. We are told the printing press of the publisher has been smashed and the printing plates destroyed.

We urge our readers to support these courageous, freethinking dissidents with letters of protest to the Embassy of West Germany in Washington, D.C., the United Nations, Amnesty International and the U.S. State Department (ask them to make a formal inquiry).

Three new books were seized in December by Canadian customs: The IHR book and tape catalog, Michael A. Hoffman II's The Great Holocaust Trial, and Theodore Winston Pike's Israel: Our Duty...Our Dilemma; they are being studied to determine their possible status as "hate literature." If they are ruled as such, the books would be subject to seizure and the reader ordering the materials would be subject to a fine. Books seized by the government of Canada are not simply refused entry to Canada and returned, but are destroyed. This destruction of private property represents one of the worst abuses of human and civil rights in the Western world, but don't expect any editorials about this travesty from our bleeding heart news media. It is incumbent upon revisionists ourselves to protest and overcome this situation, until such time as balance and sanity return to current events and such tyranny is once again seen for what it is. As Noam Chomsky recently wrote, "I thought these matters were settled in the 18th century." (TM)

REVISIONISM AND THE IDEALS OF A FREE PRESS

Historical revisionism is not an ideological movement. It doesn't have a party line. It's not exclusive. Revisionism encourages equal opportunity for all to participate in the intellectual life of our time. Revisionism promises that every man and woman is free to attempt to correct what he or she considers to be misunderstandings about the history of this or any age. Communists and fascists, anarchists and libertarians and regular people as well are urged to join in with the effort to get history into accord with the facts.

The fact that revisionism has no party line is its strength—the reason why its

special and seemingly exotic interests of today will likely become commonplace ones tomorrow. Lacking ideology, revisionism can consider calmly the viewpoint of every ideologue. Revisionism has no fear of fanatics or idiots. Atheism, Christianity, Zionism, flat-earth theory, terrorism, one-worlders—to revisionism it's all grist for the mill, while due recognition is given to the certainty that each generation will field its own issues, generated by the special circumstances of the age.

The only danger lying in wait for revisionism is the possibility that revisionists themselves will attempt to short-circuit the free exchange of ideas among revisionists. There is no danger in the short run that revisionism will be suppressed and destroyed by the State, or that the bigotry and fearfulness of the academy and press will do it in. Revisionism is created in the free exchange of ideas, is nurtured by that exchange, and will shrivel without it. I can't imagine that it would be possible to maintain a free exchange of ideas in the country, or the revisionist movement, without a free press. Which brings me to the subject of my friend, Joe Fields.

Joe Fields is the ex-columnist for the Los Angeles Harbor College student newspaper, The Hawk. He's an ex-columnist because The Hawk was closed down September last. None of us who have followed the story from a revisionist perspective doubt that The Hawk was knocked off, in part, to silence Joe's typewriter, which was a unique machine. It was the only typewriter in America that clicked out stories about the Holocaust from a revisionist perspective, and stories about Israel and Zionism from a traditionalist Christian perspective, and that were published regularly in a college newspaper.

We championed Fields' right to free expression in Prima Facie and have written about him since in this newsletter. I was among those who encouraged the idea that Fields be honored with the first IHR Free Press Award, which indeed was presented to him at the last Revisocon. I've been to court with Joe, appeared with him at a school board public hearing where an attempt was made to silence his voice on The Hawk, and have kept in contact with him by telephone. I didn't do any of this as a favor to Fields, but out of principle and self-interest.

For some time now I have been growing

aware that Fields' interest in fascism and National Socialism does not originate in the same place nor go in the same direction as my own. But then neither does his interest in the American government or Christianity. This is fine with me. But the other day I was listening to a cassette recording of a radio talk show featuring the effervescent Irving Rubin of the JDL, when I heard the voice of Joe Fields call in and in a moment of anger tell Rubin, in so many words, that he (Fields) was sorry that the Germans had not gassed all the Jews they are accused of having gassed.

I thought that was a little rich so I telephoned Joe and asked him what the story was. I said up front that while I can live easily with anti-Zionists, and that if necessary I can live with people who claim they don't like Jews generally, that nevertheless I'm disinclined to look favorably upon individuals who express a desire to have Jews or anyone else murdered en masse.

"I know," Fields said. "What happened is that I got into that argument with Rubin and I got hot. I made a mistake like that one other time, too." He didn't sound happy about it. "I can tell you this, though; I despise Rubin; I despise the JDL and what it stands for. I despise the people who support those bastards. If Rubin gets offed one day, it'd be all right with me."

Having heard that Joe had been arrested and later released without charges after having refused to leave a German restaurant or remove a swastika lapel pin he was wearing while in the company of several others, among them Stan Witteck, a self-described "Nazi," I asked Fields what was uppermost on my mind. As it seemed to me that his political viewpoint is grounded largely in Fascist and National Socialist ideals, I wanted to know what his attitude was toward the ideals of a free press and the free exchange of ideas.

I've always felt supportive of National Socialism, Fields explained. "Free expression has to be limited. I'm against the production of pornography and don't think it should be allowed. If my people were running the government, communists would not have access to the press. I support a free press, but other things are more important. Preserving our culture, our civilization and our race comes first, then the establishment of a mostly free press. I don't support a free press if it is being

used by aliens to destroy my culture. That's why I began writing about the 'Holocaust,' because I saw the exterminationist literature as being an attack on Western culture by people who feel they are alien to it."

Well, there it was, a clear, honest, rather brave—for I had told Fields that our conversation was not going to be confidential—expression of one line of thought held by some individuals in the revisionist movement, a nostalgia for the tyranny of National Socialism, largely by people who did not experience it at first hand. One irony here of course is that all tyrannies, whether of the left or the right, understand that neither tyranny nor ideology is secure so long as there is a free press.

Closer to home, Fields has joined together with those he professes to despise: Irving Rubin and the Jewish Defense League. Rubin—a fanatic bemused by Zionist ideology and his personal need to bully people about—agrees with Fields that other matters come before a free press. Fields and the National Socialists, then, Rubin and the Zionists, are in the swim together with the Castros and Dengs, the Pinochets and Khomenies and Gorbachevs on this issue. Everywhere such men rule, revisionist ideas and revisionists themselves are the playthings of the State.

So the Institute for Historical Review presented its first Free Press Award to a young man who certainly deserved it, but a young man who finally does not believe in one. The Institute did what it should have done. It judged Joe Fields' writings on their content and the man on his actions at a given time in a given situation. Would that the Institute do the same for evermore, for it is an ameliorating and generous instinct, one that owes nothing to party and nothing to ideology. (BRS)

BOOKNOTES

Prima Facie was an 8-page, monthly newsletter distributed to 4,000 publishers, editors and reporters across the nation and Canada. It was edited by Bradley Smith to monitor "Holocaust cultism, censorship and the suppression of free inquiry."

Did it get anyone's attention? After only two issues of Prima Facie, in the Winter '84/'85 issue of the Simon Wiesenthal Center publication socialactionupdate, Smith, who 60 days earlier had been unknown to the

world at large, was listed with eight other scholars, historians, ex-generals and renowned provocateurs as being one of the nine most important Holocaust revisionists in the world.

How could that be? It appears that satire and a comic perspective, together with hard research, is a combination the gas chamber cultists have a difficult time dealing with. We're offering all seven issues of this outrageous, now out of print newsletter for \$20. (Issues 1 & 2 are photocopies. Issues 3 through 7 are the original printings.) By the set only.

Subscriptions to The Journal of Historical Review passed the high-water mark recently. We now have more subscribers than at any time in the IHR's history. The increase is due in part to more college and university professionals subscribing for the first time. We now have a larger subscriber base than most other historical periodicals, too. And our renewal rate on expired subscriptions is exceptionally high.

A recent look at the records indicates that we are shipping an average of almost 3,000 books and tapes per month to customers around the world, and the trend is on the upswing. Do you have the new IHR Winter 1985/86 book & tape catalog yet? (TM)

To the Editor:

A Jewish woman, Violeta Friedman, is trying to repeat in Spain a trial like the Zundel or Faurisson trials. As you can see by the enclosed clipping, she wants the Spanish courts to forbid Degrelle to speak honestly about the Six Million Myth.

This woman started to perform her act in Spain some months ago, at the time the "Mengele show" was being played all over the world. I think this is very dangerous, not only for Degrelle, but for Spanish revisionism, which was born so recently.

Carlos Caballero Jurado, Alicante, Spain

To the Editor:

You might be interested to learn that Hamilton Fish's Tragic Deception has been published in Japanese owing to a last initiative of an old friend of mine, Minister and Ambassador in Washington, Nobuhiko Ushiba. The translator is the present Ambassador of Japan to Saudi Arabia, Mr. F. Okazaki. In my opinion, this an important step in the spread of revisionism in Japan.

Dr. Karl Otto Braun, Munich, Germany