



IHR Newsletter

**APRIL 1987
NUMBER 47**

A newsletter of interest to academic and lay historical Revisionists published by the Institute for Historical Review, 1822½ Newport Blvd., Suite 191, Costa Mesa, California 92627. Published monthly. News clippings, articles and letters are welcome but please do not expect an acknowledgement.

BRAUN TO LECTURE

The IHR is pleased to announce that Dr. Karl Otto Braun has agreed to lecture at the Eighth International Revisionist Conference, to be held in the Los Angeles area Oct. 9-11

During the war Dr. Braun was with the East Asia section of the Political Section of the Foreign Office in Berlin, working directly under Foreign Minister Joachim von Ribbentrop. From 1938 to 1940 he served in Japan where he knew Richard Sorge, the Communist spy.

Dr. Braun will speak on Sorge, one of the most successful spies in history. It was because of Sorge's information to Stalin that the Japanese would not invade the U.S.S.R., that Stalin moved 200,000 fresh Siberian troops west and stopped the German advance on Moscow.

Dr. Braun lectured to the IHR's Sixth Conference, held in February 1985. His acquaintance with world leaders, his comprehensive knowledge of historical events, his sparkling personality and helpfulness to all who search for truth made him a most popular guest. We're looking forward eagerly to welcoming him this year.

REVISIONIST IMPACT

Just how far Revisionism has come since our founding in 1979 can be demonstrated in many ways. It is all quite remarkable, considering the extreme efforts that have been made to silence us and to otherwise contain the message of historical truth. This is something we must never forget, and it is demonstrable in many ways. These were the thoughts that came to us with the letter of a Revisionist asking for more information to document our statement in the January newsletter, that we have been attacked by the President of the United States. The event happened on April 30, 1981, when President Reagan spoke to the First Annual Commemoration of the Days of Remembrance of the Holocaust:

I am horrified today when I know and hear that there are actually people now trying to say that the Holocaust was invented. That it never happened; that there weren't six million people whose lives were taken cruelly and needlessly in that event; that all of this is propaganda. Well, the old cliché that a picture is worth a thousand words — in World War II not only do we have the survivors today to tell us at first hand but in World War II, I was in the military and assigned to a post where every week we obtained from every branch of the service, all over the world, combat film that was taken by every branch. And we edited this into a secret report for the general staff; we, of course, had access to, and saw, the secret report.

And I remember April 25th. I remember seeing the first film that came in when the war was still on but our troops had come upon the first camp and had entered those camps. And you saw unretouched — no way that it could have ever been rehearsed — what they saw — the horror they felt. I saw the pride when in one of those camps there was a nearby town and the people were ordered to come and look at what had been going on and to see them.

And the reaction of horror on their faces was the greatest proof that they had not been conscious of what was happening so near to them. And the film still, I know, must exist in a military [archive?] and there it is, living motion pictures for everyone to see. And I won't go on into the horrible scenes that we saw but it remains with me as confirmation of our right to rekindle these memories because we need always to guard against that kind of tyranny and inhumanity. Our spirit is strengthened by remembering and our hope is our strength.

Aside from the bathos, we take our hats off to President Reagan in this instance. For a man with such an admittedly poor memory, our Acting President seems to have risen in this instance to heights of lucidity.

To paraphrase a well-known political writer, "A specter is haunting Europe and America, the specter of Revisionism," and President Reagan is not the only person to note our work without mentioning our name (THIS is forbidden!).

Another illustration of the vibrancy of Revisionism is the announcement by the *Christian News*, one of the leading Lutheran newspapers in the country, of its proposal to sponsor a "Holocaust Debate." Hermann Otten, the editor of CN, has challenged Rev. Mark Herbener, a Lutheran minister who

claims the "Holocaust" is a fact, to get Elie Wiesel, or some other "Holocaust" spokesperson to debate a Revisionist; failing that, Otten will provide column space for a 6,000 word presentation, a 3,000 word response, and a 1,000 word rebuttal from both sides to be published in CN. We await the results.

Here is a cartoon a pleased supporter clipped from a recent issue of *The New Yorker*, the magazine the eastern cosmopolitans prefer over every other to lay on their coffee tables to prove their sophistication.



"I understand the revisionists are hot on your trail, Professor."

IS GRUSSENDORF RIGHT?

A Canadian Revisionist, O.W. Grussendorf, fears that the Institute has taken a great leap backward by the retrenchment announced in the January newsletter. Part of his letter is reprinted on page 8 of this issue.

We don't think so, if for no other reason than our work over the past eight years has already had such a deep impact that even if we were to evaporate tomorrow, Revisionism would surely continue, albeit at a slower pace.

As announced last issue, our radio project is back on the air, thanks to the efforts of supporters. The Eighth Conference is definitely scheduled now, and only a few months after we originally planned to hold it. We are tentatively planning to resume publication of the *Journal of Historical Review* next year, an event contingent upon the continuing increase of our financial stability.

One way to help assure the reincarnation of the *Journal* is to distribute our new descriptive pamphlet, "A Few Facts About the IHR," two copies of which were inserted in your Fall, 1986 issue of the *Journal*, mailed to all subscribers two months ago. Just in case you have used them, we are enclosing another with this newsletter.

We feel that it explains the work of the IHR well.

In fact, we don't see how anyone who is intellectually honest can object to it in any way, and it might make them think.

For generations the purveyors of confusion, Communism, and cranky ideas have made their point by appealing to the First Amendment and freedom of speech. They still do. Recent instances could be cited in every Establishment publication from coast to coast. "The proper use of law in a democratic society is to widen the openings for speech, not to narrow them," editorialized the *Washington Post* on January 31. Of course, the *Post* was not supporting historical Revisionism.

The question may be asked, why wasn't the *Post* supporting Revisionism? This is a question the liberals cannot answer. If anyone needs and deserves freedom of speech more than the Revisionists, we cannot imagine who it could be.

That is the purpose of the enclosed pamphlet. Buy a quantity and distribute them to believers in freedom of speech and peace. We're already getting in responses from people who have been influenced by it. Wide distribution to the right people will help build Revisionism and the Institute. And don't say that we're naive. Of course we are. We wouldn't be supporting Revisionism if we weren't — and neither would you.

By the way, the Winter issue of the *Journal*, the last one for 1986, will be mailed to subscribers approximately May 1. This will complete Volume Seven, which should be available by August.

REVISIONIST EXILE

Ditlieb Felderer, an IHR Editorial Advisory Committee member and Auschwitz expert, was released from his Orwellian ordeal in a Swedish psychiatric prison and is now in self-imposed exile in Southern Europe. Incarcerated for thought-crimes, Felderer is disillusioned; he says, "After my imprisonment in Sweden I cannot stand either the system or its people." Yet the Swedish politicians continue to brag about "freedom of speech" in that country!

Ditlieb is trying to make a new life for himself but it is not easy to start all over again. He was supporting himself giving aquatic acrobatic displays but had to discontinue because of over-exposure to chemicals in the water. But he feels, despite the fact that he must find a new means to earn a living, he will do fine. After all, if he could survive the torture inflicted in the Swedish psychiatric prison, he can survive anything.

Also, he does not feel that Mel Mermelstein will be able to collect any part of the over 5 million dollar judgement against him handed down by an

American court (Ditlieb was denied a visa by the U.S. Consul in Sweden and lost the case because he did not show up in court!).

The harassment of Revisionists is worldwide. Thies Christophersen, a citizen of West Germany, has also gone into self-imposed exile in Denmark where he hopes to continue his Revisionist activities. He did not appear for his trial last December and therefore could not be sentenced. And what were his "crimes"? He criticized the West German government and he "defamed" the memory of the dead in his *Bauernschaft* newsletter. Rather than go to jail for these charges, Thies fled to Denmark.

Freedom of thought, of speech and of the press are basic rights — except where religious and political intolerance hold sway. Vigilance is the price of freedom. Be vigilant.

— Robert Karl Berkel

MORE HUMAN SACRIFICES ON HOLOHOAX ALTAR

The governments of Canada, Australia, and Great Britain have ruled on O.S.I. style witch hunts in their respective countries. Canada will amend its criminal code to allow for the prosecution/persecution of people charged with "Nazi war crimes." Twenty people have been earmarked as "urgent cases" and are expected to be hauled in front of a court soon. Unlike the United States, the Canadians will try suspects in Canadian courts and not deport them to the tender mercies of foreign countries like Israel or the U.S.S.R.

Australia is investigating suspected "war criminals" but to date we have no news of indictments actually having been handed down. Rabbi Marvin Hier of the Simon Wiesenthal Center urged Britain to set up a panel of inquiry as has Canada and Australia but early in March he was refused by Home Secretary Douglas Hurd. Hurd termed the evidence submitted by the SWC as "very sketchy." Hier, at a news conference, said the SWC would provide more detailed allegations.

In a related event, the government of Costa Rica has authorized the extradition of Bohdan Koziy, a former Ukrainian policeman, to the Soviet Union for alleged "atrocities." The extradition is subject to guarantees by the U.S.S.R. that the death penalty, long abolished in Costa Rica, will not apply to Koziy. (We'll see if Mr. Koziy commits "suicide" or dies of "heart failure" etc. while in Soviet custody.)

How many more people will be sacrificed on the altar of the Holohoax to satisfy the bloodthirsty urges of a vindictive minority?

— Robert Karl Berkel

PSEUDO-REVISIONISM

For the past year, *Great Myths of World War II*, a poorly written, amateurish attempt at providing "a shattering revelation that will change the way you view the world," has been showing up in bookstores across the country. The author, Karl Roebling, the owner of a publishing firm in Florida (it's great to have your own vanity press), claims to rebut fourteen myths about the war, including such notions as "The War News Gave A True Picture" and "The German Army Was Mechanized."

The author, who seems to believe that the best sources for military history are the reports of war correspondents, eschews references and a bibliography, and indeed ignores scholarly accounts of the war in general, preferring to tilt at a series of straw men he designates as popular myths. (Roebling is not adverse to a bit of myth-making of his own: he writes that the British evacuation of Dunkirk was enabled by an "umbrella of prayerpower," much of which "emanated from the U.S.")

Great Myths is the sort of book which would normally be beneath the notice of this Institute, yet it's indicative of a trend which has unquestionably been set in motion by the growing popular response to Revisionism. The American public is becoming increasingly aware that much of what it has been taught regarding the origins and course of World War II is a whitewash that has been laid on by the Establishment, and it craves the facts. Books like Roebling's, which affect to seethe with breathtaking revelations but in essence reinforce the standard boilerplate of the court historians, represent a deliberate attempt to exploit, scavenger-like, the ferment Revisionist scholars have stirred, while at the same time maintaining the "historical blackout."

All the more reason for redoubling our (and your) efforts to get real Revisionist books into bookstores and libraries!

— Theodore J. O'Keefe

WINSTON CHURCHILL PENNILESS?

In *American Heritage* magazine, William Manchester writes that a weepy-eyed Churchill told his friend Bernard Baruch that just one day of trading had wiped him out during the 1929 stock market crash. Baruch corrected him, saying that he "left instructions to buy equivalent stocks every time Churchill sold his and to sell whenever Churchill bought." Baruch, through this means, saved Churchill's fortune.

We await David Irving's forthcoming [this fall] 2 volume biography, *CHURCHILL'S WAR*, which we are sure will shed some more light on the Churchill-Baruch connection.

JUMPING ON THE BANDWAGON

While the Institute for Historical Review endeavors to dispel the myth of the "Holocaust," it seems like everyone in the world wants to add another "Holocaust" onto the list of alleged German war crimes. We now have a Gypsylocaust, a homosexualocaust, a Mengele-twinocaust, a handicappedocaust etc. etc. ad nauseam, with everyone vying for a piece of the reparations pie from the West German government (East Germany pays no reparations to Jews or anyone else). There are even a couple of Canadian POW's who were interned in Buchenwald (they were caught out of uniform and were lucky that they hadn't been shot as spies) who are asking the Germans for money!

The largest group to jump on the bandwagon is the Gypsies, who claim that 500,000 (!?) of their tribe or 70-80% were killed by the Germans. The U.S. Memorial Holocaust Council, members of the House of Representatives and members of West Germany's Green party are all putting pressure on the Bundesrepublik to ante up for yet another set of reparations. Yet, it was reported in the Munich Volksbote on April 28, 1972 that in Czechoslovakia by 1970 there were 300,000 registered Gypsies, who were forced by Czech law to abandon their nomadic lifestyle and settle down. In Slovakia, where most of the Gypsies settled, only 26% worked, the rest were on family subsistence. Gypsies accounted for 13% of all crime in Slovakia and harsh measures were demanded including "a law to sterilize Gypsies for ethnic, health, and social reasons," a proposition never entertained by the Third Reich.

If 500,000 were killed and that constituted 70-80% of the Gypsy population (according to Ian Handcock, a British born scholar of Gypsy ancestry and the U.S. delegate to the U.N. for the World Romani [Gypsy] Union) where did the 300,000 Gypsies in Czechoslovakia come from?

— Robert Karl Berkel

EUTHANASIA

There has been a lot of fanfare over the decision made in National Socialist Germany to legalize mercy killing, usually denouncing it as another "atrocious." However, how many people know of the royal mercy killing of King George V?

Francis Watson, the biographer of the King's doctor, Lord Dawson, published the physician's notes in a recent issue of *History Today* in which he indicates that the good doctor gave George V two injections, one of morphine and another of cocaine, 40 minutes after which the king, who had been in failing health, died. After the injections, Dawson advised the editor of the *Times* of London to stand by for late news. The next morning the headline read "A

Peaceful Ending at Midnight." Dawson's notes say that the king's death was induced not only to ease his pain but to allow the news to make the morning papers "rather than the less appropriate evening journals." A clear case of British propriety taken to extremes!

Dawson apparently had acted on the wishes of Queen Mary and the Prince of Wales, the wife and son of the late king. The story caused quite a stir in Britain where euthanasia is illegal.

Watson's article exploded another myth, that of the king's last words. He is supposed to have said "How stands the Empire?" but according to Watson George V later muttered "Goddamn you" to no one in particular as he fell into his fatal sleep.

— Robert Karl Berkel

DUTCH TRICK

The government of the Netherlands is not one of the most tolerant states when it comes to freedom of speech. De Slegete, a chain of 10 bookshops in Holland, came under police investigation for selling alleged "fascist" material which included a number of IHR titles.

Erik Koster, a student of theology, found in the Utrecht store several copies of Dr. Charles Weber's *THE HOLOCAUST: 120 Q & A* and Michael Hoffman's *THE GREAT HOLOCAUST TRIAL*, and confronted a clerk who then tore the books to pieces while the management gave assurances that they would not carry the books any longer. A few months later Koster and a friend found Udo Walendy's *BILD "DOKUMENTEN" FUER DIE GESCHICHTS-SCHREIBUNG?* in the same shop. They went to Ido Abram, a teacher at the Algemeen Pedagogisch Instituut and a self-styled anti-racist activist, who initiated a search of all the De Slegte shops for books whose ideas he didn't like. Only at the shop in Utrecht did he find anything which displeased him; again it was the Hoffman title. The manager, E.G. Peereboom, took the books away and promised that they would not be sold again. Abram returned a couple of months later and found Dr. Arthur Butz's *THE HOAX OF THE 20TH CENTURY* on the shelf. He then initiated a police investigation into the sale of "anti-Semitic" books. Mr. Peereboom declared that someone who was not an employee of the bookshop was putting these books on the shelf.

This incident was covered by several Dutch newspapers as well as radio and TV. We'd like to thank Mr. Abram for giving the IHR's books extensive media coverage in the Netherlands. We hope that the people of Holland will become curious as to why these books are banned and seek out a copy or two to satisfy their curiosity.

— Robert Karl Berkel

DOES ISRAEL HAVE A RIGHT TO TRY DEMJANJUK?

John Demjanjuk, a retired Cleveland auto worker, is now on trial in Israel as a Nazi war criminal. If found guilty, he could be sentenced to death.

The 66-year-old defendant is accused of killing hundreds of thousands of Jews while serving as a guard known as "Ivan the Terrible" at the Treblinka camp in German-ruled Poland during the Second World War. Demjanjuk supposedly operated an engine that discharged poisonous exhaust fumes into a gas chamber packed with Jews.

A federal court stripped Demjanjuk of his American citizenship in 1981 and the State Department ordered his extradition to Israel. The Israeli government charged Demjanjuk under the *ex post facto* "Nazis and Nazi Collaborators Law" of 1950 with "crimes against the Jewish people," "crimes against humanity," "war crimes," and murder.

Demjanjuk was born in 1920 in the Soviet Ukraine and his alleged victims were Jews from Poland and various other European countries. Even if Demjanjuk were guilty as charged, the logical place for him to stand trial would be in Poland or perhaps some other European country, but not in the State of Israel, which did not exist at the time of the alleged crimes.

By handing Demjanjuk over to the Israeli government to stand trial for crimes allegedly committed against citizens of various European countries, the U.S. government implicitly accepted the Zionist claim that the Jews of the world are first and foremost to be regarded not as citizens of the countries in which they reside, but rather as members of a supranational "Jewish people" with special ties to a foreign state, Israel.

It's as if Ireland, for example, were to claim the right to try American citizens accused of crimes committed in the United States against U.S. citizens of Irish ancestry, or if the Vatican were to claim the right to try persons accused of crimes committed anywhere in the world against Catholics.

Just what is "the Jewish people"? If the Israeli and United States governments are correct in regarding Demjanjuk's alleged victims not as Poles, Germans, Slovaks, and so forth, but rather as members of the "Jewish people," are Jews throughout the world today likewise to be regarded as members of this unique transnational community? Are American Jews therefore also members of the "Jewish people" over which a foreign country, Israel, has a special claim? Does America therefore recognize Israel's "right," if it so wishes, to try American citizens charged with committing crimes against U.S.

citizens who are members of "the Jewish people?"

Ordinarily, no self-respecting nation would recognize a claim by a foreign country to speak and act on behalf of citizens of other countries, regardless of their ethnic or religious background. But the United States has what is known as a "special relationship" with the Zionist state of Israel. John Demjanjuk is a victim of the "special relationship."

By turning Demjanjuk over to Israel, the United States government has shown that it accepts the Zionist double standard of one set of criteria for Jews, and another for everyone else. Zionist Jews claim this special status when it serves their interests while simultaneously demanding all of the rights and privileges of citizenship of the countries in which they reside.

Israel has no legitimate right to try John Demjanjuk. Its purported "right" is based on the premise that the Jews of the world, regardless of their citizenship or country of residence, constitute a uniquely privileged nationality.

That is the essence of Zionism, a doctrine that is incompatible with the natural sense of integrity and honor that every sovereign nation normally takes for granted.

— Mark Weber

GERM WARFARE ATROCITY

Professor Barton J. Bernstein of Stanford University in an article in the *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists* wrote that British scientists during World War II devised a bomb to disperse deadly anthrax spores in Germany, and that the U.S. built a secret "poison plant" to make the bacteriological weapons.

A batch of 5,000 of the bombs was delivered to England by May 1944 with a planned 250,000 to be delivered by the end of the year. The bombs were never used.

Use of the bombs would have violated the 1925 Geneva Convention. According to Bernstein, "We [the U.S.], and the British assumed the worst, that the Germans were producing this stuff, but Hitler had barred the development of it."

From British documents Bernstein learned that England's Chief Scientific Advisor, Lord Cherwell, reported to Winston Churchill in 1944 that any animal breathing in minute quantities of the anthrax spores would be likely to die suddenly within a week.

Bernstein is uncertain whether the British would have actually used the deadly biological poison but he ventured to say that Winston Churchill favored the use of gas, also outlawed by the Geneva Convention.

— Robert Karl Berkel

Letters to the editor from other periodicals

[The following is a reprint of a letter directed to the editor of the *The News-Letter*, published weekly by the students at John Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, February 20, 1987 issue.]

The Arch-Evil

To the Editor:

The recent arrest, and present trial of Mr. John Demjanjuk in Israel for crimes committed in the alleged Nazi Holocaust of the Jews has enraged me to write to your paper. I am appalled to hear that our government actually sponsors the hunting down of alleged war criminals and encourages the further persecution of Germans in the post-war era. The alleged crimes of the Nazis occurred over 40 years ago, so give it a rest. Any Nazis who may yet be alive are in fact harmless. Our entire judicial system is not based on retribution, but rather on reform. If these people have committed no crimes in the past 43 years, let them rest, for God's sake, as they are harmless to our present society. Furthermore, there is even a school of thought that believes there was no actual attempt to commit genocide. Until the facts introduced by the Holocaust revisionists can be successfully countered, there can be no proof that there really was a Holocaust, especially since International Red Cross figures say 150,000 Jews died of all causes between 1939 and 1944.

Anyway, the trial of former Nazis is unfair. Why were not similar persecution attempts made against the Japanese who brutally tortured the Chinese in their camps? The only reason that comes to mind is that the Nazi victims had more power in the victorious Western countries'

governments. Until the Japanese can be treated equally with the Germans, Nazi trials are unfounded and unfair. Furthermore, one tends to forget the many Allied war crimes against Germany, like in Dresden, and the fire-bombing of Hamburg. The Hamburg incident was the greatest loss of innocent human life in a single day to that time. Until such measures as these can be rectified, we should not give our support to the Anti-German forces ruling our society, and should let these alleged criminals, who are now helpless old men, rest in peace. Isn't the necessity for them to have hidden for these years punishment enough.

Let us stop living with the horrors of the past. We must all join together, Whites, Blacks, Orientals, and Jewish persons, to fight against the true enemy of freedom, Communism. We should be hunting Communists, not helpless old former-Nazis. Racism, such as the Nazis used was appalling, but not nearly as bad as Communism. Just ask any citizen of South Africa. Although their governmental racism is appalling, most African Blacks would rather live there with prosperity than in other backwards leftist countries in the area. We must eliminate racism, but not at the expense of allowing Communism to gain ground. Thus we should not persecute Nazis. They at least realized the worst threat to human freedom in history, Socialism and the arch-evil, Communism.

Joseph D. Terwilliger

The above letter elicited no less than 14 letters to the editor in response, as well as an editorial by the staff defending their right to "print ideas even when — perhaps especially when — those ideas are in most direct conflict with our own."

THE TEN COMMANDMENTS

Wartime brings out the worst in people and the leaders of the Third Reich realized this human shortcoming. To combat this flaw in the human character, the Wehrmacht (Armed Forces) issued strict rules which were posted in every serviceman's paybook. The paybook had to be carried at all times since it was also used for identification. The rules of war were to be observed to the letter. To illustrate this we present a translation of 10 Gebote fuer die Kriegsfuehrung des deutschen Soldaten:

1. The German soldier fights chivalrously for the victory of his people. Atrocities and needless destruction are unworthy of him.
2. Combatants must wear uniforms or be distinguishable by special insignia clearly visible from a distance. Waging war in civilian clothes without such insignia is forbidden.
3. No enemy who surrenders may be killed, even if he is a guerrilla or a spy. These will be punished by lawful courts.
4. Prisoners of war may not be mistreated or insulted. Weapons, maps, and sketches are to be confiscated from them. No other possessions may be taken from them.
5. Dum-dum bullets are forbidden, nor are they to be improvised from standard ammunition.
6. The Red Cross is inviolable. Wounded enemies are to be treated humanely. Medical personnel and chaplains may not be hindered in their medical or spiritual work.
7. The civilian population is inviolable. Soldiers may not plunder or carry out wanton destruction. Historical monuments and buildings which are devoted to religious services, art, scholarship, or charity deserve particular respect. Payments in kind or labor may be demanded from civilians only on orders from superiors and must be compensated.
8. Neutral territory may neither be entered or flown over nor may it be involved in combat through weapons fire.
9. If a German soldier is captured, he must give his name and rank when asked them. Under no circumstances may he speak regarding his unit and regarding military, political, and economic conditions on the German side. He must not allow himself to be induced to make such statements either by threats or promises.
10. Violations of the above military orders are punishable. Offenses by the enemy against rules 1-8 are to be reported. Measures in reprisal are per-

mitted only on the order of higher staff.

Now, we realize that not all soldiers obeyed the rules. However, they were subject to a court-martial if they infringed on any of these rules. Particularly in the case of rape, where Reichsmarschall Hermann Goering had a penchant for sentencing the offender to death, even if he had to overturn a more lenient sentence to do so.

Anti-German propagandists try to paint a picture of the German Armed Forces as a bunch of savages, raping, pillaging and slaughtering captives, but the record shows quite a different story. The German soldiers behaved, on the whole, much better than their Allied counterparts. In France, after the "liberation" it was not uncommon for French peasants and townspeople to attack their Allied "liberators," or for French women to hide in fear of assault from the soldiers "on their side."

The depredations of the Soviet troops against the German civilian population were so barbarous as to defy the imagination for their savagery and bestiality. Soviet troops were actually encouraged to

sexually assault German women by their propagandists, notably Ilya Ehrenberg. Execution of prisoners, particularly members of the officer corps, was also in their repertoire. The massacre of Polish officers at Katyn as well as numerous German officers in the Soviet Union is well documented. Because the Soviets had not signed the Geneva Convention they fought by no rules whatsoever.

While the U.S., British, and French troops could not come anywhere near the depths of depravity that the Soviets exhibited, pillaging as well as rape were not uncommon occurrences, and were rarely punished.

Various propagandists have claimed that the 10 Gebote did not exist. They existed and they were enforced. For further information on the 10 Gebote contact: Deutsche National-Zeitung, Paostrasse 2a, 8000 Munich 60, WEST GERMANY. For further information on Soviet depredations read: KATYN by Louis Fitzgibbon and GRUESOME HARVEST by Frank Keeling.

— Robert Karl Berkel & Theodore J. O'Keefe

Letters . . .

REVISIONIST HARASSED

In the February, 1987 newsletter you mentioned that Attorney-General David Clark did not prosecute me for denial of the Holocaust presumably because of the publicity surrounding the Zuendel and Keegstra trial. I would like to give you an update on events that have happened since the decision not to charge me was made in September, 1986.

In October of 1986, Dr. Julius Israeli of Newcastle was granted an interview by a weekly paper in which he reiterated why he felt I should have been charged by David Clark. He made inflammatory statements about me and there was a complaint made that I should have been given a chance to express my views. The editor wrote me and I replied in good faith with a letter outlining my position. I also sent a carbon copy to the editor of the other weekly paper in the Miramichi area of News Brunswick. This was used to set me up for new charges and since October of last year there has not been a week, and often scarcely a day, when I have not been smeared in the Press or on the government-owned CBC network. There has been a concerted effort to discredit me, and finally the School Board set up a three-person committee to investigate my writings and the effect of this publicity on my students and the community. The results of the investigation were overwhelmingly positive with no complaints at all

from the Christian community. Then things began to change. The Jewish community insisted they did not want me charged, only dismissed from my teaching position and forbidden to publish anymore. The old Zionist tactic of taking away a man's livelihood was appearing clearly. They practically accused the School Board of anti-semitism, and went over their head to the Minister of Education demanding that I be decertified. After a week of deliberation he refused. The infuriated Jews now took to the Media and the last week or so have been filling the airwaves with screaming accusations that Adolf Hitler and Malcolm Ross are ideological twins and that this is why WW II was fought, to protect us from people like them. Malcolm Ross must be sacked!

Anyway, the Attorney-General, after promising an early decision, is still procrastinating and the RCMP told me last week how much pressure he was under. I should care? Anyway, I have washed my hands of the police, and they can do what they want.

The Thought Police are very much alive and well in New Brunswick, and the witch-hunt is still on! Let us hope that if it comes to a court action we can win this one. We were so encouraged up here with the tremendous victory by Ernst Zuendel. Eyes are being opened. I find public opinion is starting to swing behind me as the vicious attacks continue.

I wish you all the best in your efforts to battle for truth.

Malcolm Ross, Moncton, N.B., Canada

PAUPERIZATION

No, the shooting noises, the banging we heard after your January 87 newsletter came out, did not yet ring in the start of the New Great North American Civil War — not quite yet. It was merely the popping of champagne corks, as the enemy of mankind is gleefully celebrating another victory.

The IHR has been successfully pauperized by illegal and by "legal" actions, so that now a final retrenchment is announced — and how the revellers gloat! To wit: No more Journal; No more Radio; No more Conference. Just the newsletter and book and tape selling. And just redistributing what we already have.

Now, that January 1987 Newsletter is very well written, indeed. One highlight is the clear and logical expose showing why the rebutting of the Holyhoax (and attending history distortions) is so vitally important. I agree there wholeheartedly and I know this is true fact. Such revelations, of course, elicit hateful enemy reactions, especially since he can never wipe out the truth by calm logical debate, but instead must use smear, terror, arson — and pauperization.

Ceterum censeo that Mr. L.B. Furr cannot be serious, and certainly is not right, saying that all the historical evidence is in, we don't need to develop anything "new," and let's coast along with what we already have. I would like to hear from the historian who agrees with that view as a principle. Certainly, I don't agree.

O.W. Grussendorf, Manitoba, Canada

[You are not the only Revisionist who misunderstands us. What we tried to say is that we intend to use our limited resources not in preaching new things to the already converted but in reaching out to others. Nothing is more important than that. — Ed.]

RESEARCH SERVICE

I do not know whether you have already received a copy of our RRS Bulletin No. 2 to hand yet. The Bulletin is the organ of the Revisionist Research Service (RRS) which I founded in November 1986. The RRS was specifically founded to help the lay person have access to Revisionist literature and see the other side of history. We are an apolitical group and do not specifically judge one side or the other — we let people decide for themselves. I would be grateful if you would mention our group in your Newsletter.

Paul Jacob
Coerdestrasse 23
D 4400 Muenster 1
WEST GERMANY

A NEWSLETTER EVERY MONTH

Enclosed is my \$30 for 1987. I enjoy so much receiving the IHR newsletter each month. Possibly it could be continued on a monthly basis in 1988, even after the Journal is republished? I, for one, would be happy to pay an extra charge. Please consider the idea. Best Wishes.

Mrs. McC., Bellingham, WA

GETTING IN THE LIBRARY

[Note on an order for 55 MEN: THE STORY OF THE CONSTITUTION] This will be given to the local library. I got them to catalog ASSAULT ON THE LIBERTY and I see they bought HITLER'S WAR by Irving! We can get our books in the library — we just don't try hard enough.

J.F., Kalispell, MT

IT'S ALREADY HAPPENED HERE

Ohio is not the first state that will enact the "ethnic intimidation law." It has already been enacted in Pennsylvania. The first victim appears to be an off-duty policeman.

L. I., Glouster, NJ

A JOB WELL DONE

I would like to congratulate you for the fine job done on the publication of THE AUSCHWITZ MYTH. The book is well argued, well written, well translated and well edited. In short, it is a very scholarly and professional job.

P.G., Lyndhurst, OH

WISH YOU HAD A MILLION

I wish I could make a \$4500 donation as one supporter did (God bless him or her) for your computer. Unfortunately, I am very strapped for money. Wish you had a million people who could make an equal donation of \$12 — which I am enclosing. Thanks to IHR for waking me up to the hoax of the so-called Holocaust. I, for one, deeply lament the suspension of the Journal of Historical Review, even if only for one year. Personally, I wouldn't mind paying \$45.00 per year for the Journal and the IHR Newsletter if you could continue its publication for this year. Will you poll your subscribers to the Journal for their opinion as to whether they'd be willing to pay ½ more for it? I am willing to pay \$45.00 and other contributions to keep the IHR financially stable.

N.P., Oklahoma City, OK