

illic heu miseri traducimur!
Juvenal

Instauration®

VOL. 10 NO. 5

APRIL 1985



Cholly Bilderberger



In town with good news last week was Jim Larsen, head of Iowans Against Another Masada. Over a working lunch at Le Kornkrib for several members of the East Coast Federal Budget Monitoring Committee, he described the enthusiasm of Midwesterners for across-the-board increases in military and economic aid for Israel. "You may know," he said in a poignant revelation, "that tens of thousands of farmers in Iowa and Minnesota and other Middle Western states are bankrupt and will lose their farms this year. It might be very tempting to them to ask that the federal government save them by giving them the billions which will be going to Israel. I am proud to report that of all these thousands of farmers, only one, from near Mason City, Iowa, has made such a suggestion. And his brothers in the Farmers Cooperative have thrown him out of that organization and no one in Mason City will speak to him!" Prolonged applause. "A fine young farmer from Oelwine, Iowa, put it to me this way: 'We all talk about Israel and how much we want to help, but words are cheap. Now we have a chance to put our farms where our mouths are. How much better it is that we go broke and are turned off our land and become bums in our own country after farming this land for a hundred years than that Israelis have to cut back their standard of living at all. When push comes to shove, and Mohammed to the mountain, and planting season to Oelwine, you can't compare Iowa to Israel or us farmers to them freedom fighters in importance. I'm proud of what we're doing.' " Eyes were conspicuously moist when Jim finished. They were even moister when he gave the encore, after wild applause: "And I can tell you that isn't all! I myself have seen, in the cold and snow and wet of an Iowa January, at the heartrending auction of the old family homestead, the dispossessed farmer turning the other cheek with a vengeance, and passing through the crowd with a tin cup to collect nickels and dimes and quarters for Israel!" Pandemonium, unrestrained cheering, open tears.

Cholly's column is continued on page 24

The Safety Valve

In keeping with *Instauration's* policy of anonymity, most communicants will be identified by the first three digits of their zip codes.

The Poles may be enslaved, but at least they are not being mulatto-fied.

300

Where I work we have to fill out forms on our "clients" (prisoners), which include racial classification. I grow so tired of trying to explain to my co-workers the difference between nationality and race. They'll put down Mexican or German or Puerto Rican every time. Anyone who has faith in the great intellectual powers of the "average man" is crazy as hell. That's why democracy won't work. The idiots cancel out the geniuses, so the ship is run by average men. People mentally stumble around and wonder why original America and the Old South produced such sterling statesmen. They forget or overlook the single most important fact: probably upwards of 75% of the population of either area couldn't vote. It's that simple. I would opt for a natural aristocracy of that sort this very instant, even if it meant I was among the 75% not allowed to vote. The best will vote for the best, so when only the best vote, only the best get elected. The broader the franchise, the lower America has sunk. Having long since enfranchised the dregs, America now wallows under a tyranny of the dregs.

293

I am constantly perplexed by *Instauration's* description of George Bush as a wimp. How is it possible that a World War II fighter pilot with numerous missions can be called a wimp? But that's exactly what he is. Can this be the fate of any good Majority member after years in the Washington political cesspool?

327

Few things illustrate quite so clearly the essentially theological nature of the entire Holocaust legend as the canned responses to revisionist works aimed at showing the physical impossibility of many extermination claims. One standard response could be summarized as follows: Six Million were killed, and to argue over the petty details of how this was done is both irrelevant and an "obscenity." The mind of the medieval theologian worked the same way: God exists, now let me prove how. This mentality frequently leads to Inquisitions for non-believers -- e.g., Irv Rubin happily perched atop a pile of burned books outside the Institute for Historical Review building -- or what was left of it. The spirit of the historian is -- or at least should be -- very different. When confronted with a claim which he believes to be false, his immediate impulse is to disprove it. If he cannot, he will alter his own view to accommodate the persuasive new evidence he has encountered. He neither shouts down nor burns.

121

It was a real pleasure to receive *Instauration* (Nov. 1984) and see Richard Swartzbaugh's return to racial philosophizing so prominently featured. The first chapter (I hope it is the first of a book) is very provocative. Now I am waiting, hopefully, for the several other shoes to drop. I have always been one to insist that a sound racial philosophy must emerge before racial reconstruction can succeed. Without sound principles and landmarks to chart one's course, a racial revolution would founder and be usurped by the age-old usurper tribe which is always waiting in the wings to capture and pervert every revolution into supporting its own long-range goals. By the way, whatever became of Throckmorton? I liked what he used to write.

951

White flight could be viewed as racial cowardice. But it may be something else. It may have bought us some very necessary time -- time in which our minds could change. When white flight began, our race was totally unprepared to survive in any way, on any level, through any medium. Because it does no good to arm the hand when the mind is disarmed, Majority members would have been fools not to have fled. Had we stayed in the cities we would still have lost them, but we would have lost something more, something irreplaceable: the genes of those who stayed. The cities? They are nothing -- our race has built so many cities we can't even remember their names. We can't even locate their dust. Their numbers are legion, their names are legend. Our race builds cities the way other races build mud huts.

365

Sometimes I have seen a poor, broken-down old Chinaman in New York City and I couldn't help but think that, no matter how bad it gets for him, no matter how lost he may feel in the strangeness of New York and America, he can always draw on a certain spiritual comfort with the knowledge that "back there" in China there remains a virtually inexhaustible reservoir of "Chineseness" -- Chinese languages, Chinese culture, Chinese society, Chinese people. This knowledge can't help but make his marginal status in America more bearable. Something very similar accounts for the fanaticism displayed by the Jew in his attitude toward Zionism. Israel provides him with a sort of psychological bedrock -- "I may be a minority here, but I'm not there!" It is a common thing for Diaspora Jews visiting Israel to say, "It is a wonderful feeling to be in a nation where the police are Jews, the bus drivers are Jews, and even the prostitutes are Jews." The prospect of losing this makes the Diaspora Jew monomaniacal in his support of the Zionist state.

603

Instauration

is published 12 times a year by
Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.
Box 76, Cape Canaveral, FL 32920

Annual Subscription

\$25 regular (sent third class)

\$15 student (sent third class)

Add \$10.50 for first class mail

\$32.50 Canada and foreign

Add \$17.00 for overseas air

Single copy price \$3, plus 75¢ postage

Wilmot Robertson, Editor

Make checks payable to Howard Allen

Third class mail is not forwardable.

Please advise us of any change of address well in advance.

ISSN 0277-2302

© 1985 Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.
All Rights Reserved

CONTENTS

Wrong Hero.....	6
"Geysers of Blood" and Other Holocaust Whoppers	7
Sharon Insults U.S. Justice.....	9
Utopia of the Instincts (III).....	12
Welcome to the Conspiracy	14
Cultural Catacombs	20
Inklings	22
Cholly Bilderberger.....	24
Satcom Sam Dishes It Out.....	26
Talking Numbers.....	28
Primate Watch.....	29
Elsewhere.....	31
Stirrings	35

□ Arnold Schwarzenegger's new movie, *The Terminator*, only allowed him a few lines of dialogue. Yet these were enough to let audiences know that killer robots in the future will speak with a German accent.

113

□ There has to be a better way. Why can't the TV networks take their polls and, on the basis thereof, vote for the candidate or party of their choice. Then we can junk all those voting machines. Campaigning would be limited to two weeks. The presidential candidate's wife would be vice-president. Blacks could compete if they could prove they have no white blood. What are your thoughts?

931

□ Sometime during the Swinging Seventies the media drums started beating out the message of "Bisexual Chic." Gore Vidal and other neo-Freudian faggots assured us that we were all fundamentally bisexual, though some of us, of course, were more "bi" than others. A scale of "sexual preference" was developed, with (1) being "exclusively heterosexual" and (10) being "exclusively homosexual." Implicit in this construction was the thought that most of us were somewhere "in between." Oily degenerates all across the land could be heard saying, "Some like guys, some like girls, I like 'em both." Besides, being bisexual opened up "half the human race" as potential partners for sexual fun 'n' games. Now we are hearing that AIDS is starting to break out of its homosexual ghetto into the general population. Several women have died of Creepitis in the San Francisco area (where else?), and there are reports that it is starting to become a problem for female prostitutes. And just who is it that we may thank for helping to spread AIDS into the population-at-large? Why, all those free-spirited bisexual men! Western decadence is a complex and many-faceted thing, and sexual degeneracy is only a part of the whole gestalt. Most Instaurationists have known for a long time that the current cultural orthodoxies were hurting and demoralizing us. Perhaps now we can take a certain grim satisfaction in being able to point to the Vidals and say, "Your lifestyle is now threatening to kill all lifestyles."

121

□ Spanish is becoming the pet language of the left. Signs of this are everywhere -- bilingual posters at government offices, Spanish lessons on Sesame Street (uno, dos, tres). What really brought home the absurdity of the whole thing was an anti-rape march held in Madison, Wisconsin, by a bunch of feminist harridans. At the head of the parade they carried a sign, "Take Back the Night," in both Spanish and English. This in Wisconsin, which ain't exactly San Antonio. Yet! The sad thing about the Hispanomania of our left-leaning groovies is that their lingo is not that of Lopé de Vega, Cervantes, Unamuno, Ortega or Lorca, or even such talented anti-gringo writers as Carlos Fuentes or Márquez. Instead, they speak the patois of badass Chicano street gangs in East Los Angeles and overweight Puerto Rican welfare mamas with silver-painted toenails riding the graffiti-smearing Big Bagel underground.

931

□ How is it that Christians swallow so easily and in such large gulps the worst Holocaust exaggerations when one of their greatest saints and founding fathers specifically warned them against "giving heed to Jewish fables, and commandments of men, that turn from the truth." St. Paul also informed his fledgling flock, "there are many unruly and vain talkers and deceivers, specially they of the circumcision" Epistle to Titus (1:10, 14).

678

□ You may be interested to know your magazine has been a big help for research material in some of my college classes. In a course on George Orwell, I used several citations from *Instauration* in some of my essays. The professor's bewildered expression said it all. He enjoyed reading what I gave him, but admitted he wasn't familiar with the publication.

471

□ May the stars shine above you ever happily -- except one!

104

□ My Jewish boss recently told me during a training session that many of his people, Orthodox or atheist, buy life insurance, assign it to a Jewish charity that fronts for Israel and deduct the premiums from their adjusted gross income.

983

□ A black friend of mine from high school (yes, even we Instaurationists sometimes have that proverbial "black friend") invited me over for an evening several months ago. I accepted with considerable reluctance, since he is married to a white woman and has a mulatto son. During my visit, he and his wife detailed all their special plans for their son -- private schools, Suzuki violin lessons and the other standard "enrichments" the American middle class offers its 1.8 (or less) children. While listening, I couldn't help but think that, although more than willing to provide their precious son with a Montessori education and all the rest of it, they had neglected to give him that most basic of all basics: race. Instead, they brought into the world a child who will spend the better part of his life wrestling with a lack of racial identity, and who will be driven to play out the mulatto's historic role of resentment and revanchism.

121

□ George Kennan's awards, both literary and political, have established him as a first-rate intellectual. Yet his career as a thinker/writer will die with him. Why? Because he is too polite, too circumspect, too civilized to represent any threat to the liberal-minority coalition. Good old George is a loser. Awful thing to say about him, right? But his books on contemporary problems don't contain one hundredth the verve and insight of *The Dispossessed Majority*. Kennan and Wilmot Robertson think alike, yet only the latter has the guts to fight our enemies. Robertson will never get a chair at Princeton. But I hope that he feels as I do -- it's more fun to be on our side. The Kennans of this world are still trying to figure out who is screwing up the works. We already know.

941

□ With a Daughter's Eye, the tell-all memoir of Margaret Mead by her daughter, Mary Bateson, draws the curtain back on one part of the struggle for political supremacy in this country -- the struggle to suppress truth, to load the academic dice. Margaret Mead's message, which was Boas's and Ruth Benedict's, was simple and straightforward. Hurrah, hurrah, we're a rotten species! There is no moral restraint, nor any capacity for it. So it's to hell with the white man, and we'll all go down the chutes together. (Too-rah-lay!) I wasn't startled to learn that Margaret Mead's mother was of Sephardic Jewish descent (page 106).

109

□ Foreseeing problems and deliberately planning to prevent them is not exactly a conspicuous characteristic of blacks. Professor John Mbiti notes in *African Religions and Philosophy* (London, 1969): "The concept of time may help to explain beliefs, attitudes, practices and the general way of life of African peoples, not only in the traditional set-up, but also in the modern situation. In the traditional view there is a long past, a present, and virtually no future." And President Kenneth Kaunda, "Father of Zambia," states, "I do believe that there is a distinctively African way of looking at things . . . We have our own logic system which makes sense to us, however confusing it may be to the Westerner. I would say that the Westerner has a problem-solving mind whilst the African has a situation-experiencing mind."

South African subscriber




Dem Democrat honkies better do what
Jesse Jackson say or dey be losin'
all 50 states in 1988, 'stead of jus' 49.

□ Zip 674 (Dec. 1984) claims the signers of the Declaration of Independence and the framers of the Constitution were mostly practicing Christians. One would never surmise this by reading those documents. The Declaration of Independence refers to: (1) the laws of nature and of nature's God; (2) the Creator; (3) the Supreme Judge of the world; (4) Divine Providence. No mention of Yahwehs, Trinities, Christs or anything pointing to Christianity. The Constitution (Article VI, 3) states: "No religious test shall ever be required as a qualification to any office or public trust under the United States." That doesn't seem to indicate that our government was to be ruled by Christians. And the line preceding the above states, "The Senators and Representatives . . . shall be bound by oath or affirmation to support the Constitution . . ." Affirmation is often considered the equivalent of an oath for one who believes in no god or gods.

080

□ Did you ever compare the physical state of the starving Africans you see on TV and those who stand in our own soup lines? The latter are often so fat they look bloated.

280



□ I know a man who can claim a somewhat singular distinction. One of his grandfathers was born in the Confederacy, the other in Prussia. Thanks to Uncle Sam, neither country exists today. I suppose that more than a few such terminal cases could be turned up with a little research. As soon as Sammy finishes off South Africa, there should be a lot of people of Rhodesian-South African descent who will be able to say the same thing. Before it's all over, the Potomac monster may have put all members of our race in a similar fix.

708

□ Even the most racist Afrikaner politician never claimed that there were no such things as black South Africans, as opposed to Galloping Golda's famous statement, "There are no Palestinians." This attitude, however outrageous it may appear to us goyim, is really quite indicative of the fundamental Israeli posture towards the Palestine question. They just won't fess up to what they've done. Instead, we get 1001 tortured historical "explanations" of why it was really Jewish land all along, using both modern and Biblical history to make a highly dubious case. By way of contrast, white settlement of South Africa was a horse of a very different color. It was a long, long time until black Africans were even encountered in South Africa. When conflict did arise, the right to continuing white expansion was settled by warfare, not terror. At no time did the Boers resort to a Deir Yassin atrocity to drive out the blacks. Proof of this is afforded by the fact that the blacks are still in South Africa. Boy, are they there!

051

□ In this era of \$200-billion budget deficits, I find myself agreeing with ex-Congressman John LeBoutillier's observation that federal spending is just like Tip O'Neill -- big, fat and out of control.

147

□ I can't help but see the marriage of tall, lithe Norris Church -- a Northern European beauty from Arkansas -- to a short, squat, plug-ugly runt like Norman Mailer as a striking example of much that is wrong with our society these days. Mailer already has one child by this woman (with the predictably "cute" name of John Buffalo). What a terrible waste of Church's genetic gifts! Tragically, it is really quite easy to understand the forces that led her to make such an unfelicitous marital choice. In marrying Mailer she married into the jet set social swim. What a far cry from the life of an Arkansas farmer's wife! Yet how infinitely more valuable it would have been for our race if she had chosen the latter role.

334

□ Richard Swartzbaugh presents us with that happiest -- and rarest -- of combinations: a sound racial type with a sound racial philosophy.

523

□ Although as an Instaurionist I felt a certain obligation to watch it, I must confess that I ended up not watching even so much as a minute of PBS's grandiose "Heritage: Civilization and the Jews." I knew the whole damn thing would be a real morale-buster. Tell me if this scenario is wrong. It would start off innocently enough -- archaeological digs, Middle Eastern history, Biblical background. Then slowly but surely it would build, build, build to the 1942-45 climax. Time and again during the Diaspora, noble Jews would offer the gifts of their genius, their "passion for morality" to the world, and the world would respond with ingratitude, anti-Semitism and, ultimately, "Auschwitz." A redemptive note would be struck in the last show, Abba Eban singing praises for Eretz Israel in his clipped Oxford English, while Israeli F-16s screamed over "blooming deserts." In short, it would have been one more crash course in the whole panoply of turgid philo-Semitism that we Americans have been taking in with our mother's milk throughout this century. Pardon me for passing up yet another gulp of it.

481

□ For a nation with a \$300-million defense budget, ostensibly designed to "defend us from communism," isn't it odd how uncomfortable the Dan Rathers are with a true blue anti-Communist? Two of the media's most whipped boys have been Roberto (Death Squad) d'Aubuisson in El Salvador and the now deposed Argentine junta (pronounced with a hiss). You would think Americans would fall down on their collective knees in gratitude to regimes which displayed an ability to deal with left-wing subversion. Fire must be fought with fire, and if Marxism's record of subversion, bloodshed and success in this century isn't "fire," I don't know what is. Obviously, what we are confronted with here is the foreign policy fiasco of the American-Jewish symbiosis. Anti-communism has always been a very risky thing for Jewry because without Jewry there would never have been a worldwide Communist movement. Acceptable anti-communism these days must bear the kosher seal of approval from Norman Podhoretz, Midge Decter and Irving Kristol. But this tricky juggling act involving anti-communism and Jewish interests is not without its grim humor. We now see the sorry spectacle of American "conservatives" seeking to advance their anti-Communist cause and win a few plaudits from the New York Times by portraying Russia and even Nicaragua as anti-Semitic.

372

□ What interests me in the fable of Jesus is how he was treated after he attacked the money-changers. He was tolerated as a harmless eccentric and a would-be revolutionary until he invaded the temple and kicked over them there tables.

808

□ I am wondering how many Negroes anywhere have donated how much to the famine-stricken Ethiopians. Also I am wondering about Jews tapping the U.S. (thus far) to the tune of \$30 million for the transportation of their black brothers to Israel.

641

Re Satcom Sam's lamenting the scarcity of pulchritude among women tennis pros (Sept. 1984). I would agree. I wonder, though, has he taken a good look at Kathy Rinaldi? Now if she can only keep out of the clutches of her mentor, Martina.

Expatriate in Turkey

Affirmative action is the application of the Peter Principle without the intervening steps.

142

Heaven knows I have put up with a good deal from short men driven by inferiority complexes -- and had a lot of trouble when young getting rid of a short woman. In the words of the old music hall song:

It's a great big shame, and if she belonged to me,
I'd let 'er know who's who,
Naggin' at a feller wot is six foot three,
And her only four foot two!

All the same, I should be happy to include the adventurous short Instaurationist (Dec. 1984) in my own outer circle. (Not that I would expect him to include me in his inner circle, be it noted.) The outer circle of each one of us should include all those who are capable of making a constructive contribution. Our inner circle should be a potential breeding group. Let us learn from our opponents. They have an enormous outer circle, consisting of liberals, lefties, libertarians and minorityites, but they don't encourage them to come and settle in Israel. So it should be with us. We will walk with anyone going our way, but we are nevertheless determined to preserve our own subgroup. Evolution can only proceed by inbreeding coupled with elimination. But there is room for many evolutionary experiments on this earth, and anyone who wishes to preserve variety is our potential ally, whatever his race may be.

British subscriber

The author of "Brainless Bruisers" (Inklings, Dec. 1984) finds it hard to fathom how anyone could score much below 700 on the SATs. Hell, I'm trying to teach introductory calculus to a bevy of such scorers. One of my students has a 680. A combined score of 400 certainly qualifies one for a football scholarship and a score of 700 would place you in an advanced class along with all the other low-80 IQers. To qualify for our Honors Math program we demand a math SAT score of 500! It's rumored some sort of freak is bounding about campus with a 1580 SAT. We've gotta get that dude out of here before he reproduces! It is often claimed that the American variety of "God's gift to the Olympics" has 25% white blood. Take three parts IQ 100 blood and add one part IQ 80 blood and wow, we gots de 85 IQ blend! Yes, we are a nation of geniuses, and someday someone will devise a test that will prove it.

223

I suppose that everyone has his own definition for "the good old days." To me, it's when there were no Negroes in TV commercials.

073

The whole controversy over Reagan's Space Defense Initiative (Star Wars) proposal afforded us an invaluable opportunity to observe the knee-jerk reflexes of the media leftists. Now as I understand it, Star Wars would enable the U.S. to destroy Soviet missiles in space as they streak towards their American destinations. This is a revolutionary concept, since it would mean that the U.S. was really defending itself instead of engaging in an eternal Mexican standoff with the Soviet Union. One would think that the Carl Sagens and the other nuclear Chicken Littles would welcome a real, honest-to-goodness defense against the horrors they say are in store for us. But noooo! The SDI has been greeted with a barrage of ridicule. All this is distinctly reminiscent of the post-World War II agitation on the part of the American liberal left to "share" our nuclear knowledge with Russia -- or the UN -- or anybody, just so long as the intolerable prospect of our nuclear superiority was done away with. Now that Star Wars has raised the possibility, however remote, that we could have such superiority again, the wailing and gnashing of teeth become deafening.

414

A farmer invented a robot that could plough a row, turn around at the end, and plough in the reverse direction. He then devised a second robot that could follow the first robot's furrow and do the seeding and planting. Inspired by the evident success of these two, he created 50 others until he had an army of robots that could do just about any chore on his farm. Pretty soon there was nothing for the farmer to do but sit in his rocking chair on the porch and watch his automatons doing all his work for him. One day his wife said, "You really are a genius to have invented something so clever. There's only one drawback. Because the robots are made of aluminum, when the sun comes out, they glint, and that hurts my eyes." "That's remedied easily enough," said the farmer. "Tonight, when they come in from the fields, I'll just take them down to the cellar and paint them." And he did just that. He painted them all black -- and the next day only two showed up for work!

680

I didn't wait for some bright Instaurationist to put out a Dispossessed Majority bumper sticker. I went to a color Xerox machine and made a copy of the "split America" logo on the dust jacket of the library edition of The Dispossessed Majority and taped it to the back of my car's bumper with transparent, waterproof plastic tape.

208

Read William F. Buckley's book, Overdrive. You'll discover why he never zaps Israel. What would happen to his social life?

193

Now that Jane Fonda has confessed to having suffered from bulimia for 23 years (pigging out and then vomiting) perhaps she will show more compassion towards the millions of Americans upon whom her brand of politics has a similarly emetic effect.

097

Although I surely understand it all too well, I am still slightly uneasy with the "bring the house down" philosophy which Instauration expressed in commenting on the 1984 elections. Now I quite agree that Reagan's offer of suicide on the installment plan will be the end of us just as surely as Mondale's sudden death politics. But in adopting the stance of "Mondale was better because he'll alert the Majority to its plight more quickly," Instauration is displaying the sort of apocalyptic fanaticism that one has heretofore associated with the left. There were significant numbers of German Communists who came to welcome a Nazi victory for similar "bring the house down" reasons. Let the bourgeois factions be swept away, said the Party line, and when the "people" see the reality of reactionary fascism under the Nazis, the Marxist millennium will be at hand. Well, instead of this fantasized scenario, the Communists were themselves swept away -- at least until 1945. Don't we as Instaurationists run a similar risk with this attitude? I freely admit that I voted for Reagan, amiable idiot that he is, simply to help keep Mondale-Ferraro out of office a little while longer. Since an unapologetic pro-Majorityism cannot yet take on the liberal-minority coalition, why not let Reagan (and the Reagans to come) stand in for a while -- until white America gets ready for "the real thing"? While the back page of Our Favorite Magazine harps on the 1984 election as a "glass half empty," let us not overlook some of its "glass half full" aspects. The election was the absolute death-knell of the so-called Roosevelt Coalition. The white South is lost to the Democratic Party on the presidential level apparently forever. Both Irish and Italian Catholics were estimated to have voted for Reagan to the tune of 60%. Altogether, Reagan received just about two-thirds of all white votes.

493

Let it be the duty in 1985 of each Instaurationist to pass on his copy of Instauration to a friend or acquaintance who may be a potential subscriber, bearing in mind that the future belongs to the young. [Editor's note: Be sure the recipient doesn't have high blood pressure.]

Canadian subscriber

Isn't a society with many different standards of beauty really a society with no standards of beauty? Isn't a society with many different racial value standards really a society with no value standards? A viable multiracialism in the U.S. is just as much of a liberal pipe-dream as was World Federalism. It is all a reflection of the essentially feminine nature of liberalism -- the warm, nurturing Earth Mother seeking to make everything O.K. for everybody. This was the ethos of Lyndon Johnson's Great Society and Hubert Humphrey's Politics of Joy.

137

Like many Americans, I was appalled at the restrictions placed on the news media during the invasion of Grenada. In the future, newspapers (particularly those from the New York Times, Washington Post and Boston Globe) should be included in the first wave of any U.S. military action.

600

WRONG HERO

Our media mouthpieces work in mysterious ways. Or do they? Take the highly touted case of Bernhard Goetz, the misnamed subway vigilante (strictly defined, the word means an unauthorized person who pursues criminals for altruistic, not personal, reasons). Ever since the U.S. crime wave crested, blown by the liberal wind of the Warren Court, there have been all kinds of retaliatory acts by the victims or intended victims. Many of those who fought back have been injured or even jailed for their pains. Some have even given their lives rather than be robbed or raped, and a few have taken their assailants along with them. Although most such incidents have been clear-cut examples of justifiable self-defense, even heroic self-defense, they have generally received the scantest of notices -- a sentence or two on the local TV news or a paragraph on an inside page of the *Daily Bugle*.

But now Bernhard Goetz, whose act was by no means clear-cut and only dubiously heroic, comes along and the media -- not just in New York, but from sea to sea -- make a cause célèbre of him. Why Goetz, who was not hurt, wasn't robbed, merely hustled? Why the man who shot two of his "accosters" in the back? Why the white man who zinged four young Negroes, paralyzing one of them permanently?

Why Goetz? Why not Jane Smith, who slashed her would-be rapist with his own knife and sent him to the hospital? Why not Joe Blow, who grabbed his robber's Saturday Night Special and shot him dead after a long and bloody struggle? Wouldn't these latter two have been more inspiring "vigilantes"? Weren't they worthier examples of courageous citizens finally deciding to deal with the problems of crime personally, once the courts had turned the streets over to the criminals?

Why Goetz, the half-Jewish electronics technician, a Vietnam draft-dodger, whose father went to jail for sex perversion, who instead of facing up to his act after the shooting stopped, sneaked off

down the subway track and decamped to Vermont, where he ruminated a few days before giving himself up? It brings back memories of Senator Fat Face's 10-hour soul-searching before he contacted the police after Chappaquiddick.

We salute Goetz, as we salute anybody who lifts a finger or a gun against the racist muggers (they are almost all nonwhites, you know). But we wish the media had chosen one of the thousand or so more exemplary cases of Americans giving criminals a dose of their own medicine.

Restoring an American Tradition

John F. Banzhaf III, a law professor at George Washington University, pointed out that Bernhard Goetz was only one of "thousands of law-abiding citizens [who] gun down criminals who threaten them" each and every year.

Studies have shown that California's citizens kill twice as many felons as its law enforcement officers, while in cities like Chicago and Cleveland the ratio is three to one. And deadly force is perfectly legal whenever the citizen "reasonably believes he is being threatened by serious bodily injury," as Banzhaf puts it. "In many cases, the same privilege applies to defending others or to preventing the commission of a serious crime." Such privileges have existed in free countries "since long before the creation of modern police departments."

When the latest figures from the Bureau of Justice Statistics show that only two of every 100 violent crimes lead to incarceration, the need for what Donald Black of Harvard Law School calls "self-help justice" as an added deterrent becomes acute.

All the recent talk about vigilante justice has thrown University of Oregon historian Richard Maxwell Brown into the spotlight. His book *Strain of Violence* examines classic American vigilantism in a somewhat favorable light. In the 1800s, the "committees of vigilance" were usually established by leading citizens and often followed quasi-legal procedures. They were justified on grounds of popular sovereignty and economics. "No expense to the County" read the banner of parading vigilantes in Indiana in 1858.

Though Brown repudiates the increasingly racist spirit of post-1890 "neo-vigilantism," as he calls it, most of the latter-day lynchings were as sober as their predecessors. In a letter to the British weekly, *The Saturday Review* (Nov. 6, 1920), which drew no liberal howls, Bertrand Shadwell of Chicago praised lynch law as he had seen it practiced on a trip to Memphis. A young white girl on her way to school had been outraged and murdered by a Negro, who was duly arrested and jailed.

Next day, orderly and quiet groups of white men began to collect in the streets. I saw them standing along the kerbs, so as not to interfere with the traffic, looking very solemn and talking only in low tones. When they numbered about a thousand, they marched on the prison and politely asked for the murderer. They were told that he was not there, and, in order to convince them, they were admitted and allowed to search the building. It was quite true: he was not there, and his whereabouts were concealed. As a matter of fact, he had been taken to Nashville, the capital of Tennessee, for safety. Very well, the white men bided their time.

Some weeks later the murderer was brought back to Memphis for trial; but he never arrived at the Memphis prison. Just outside Memphis a band of armed white men stopped the railway train, took the prisoner from his guards, marched him to the spot on which he had committed his cruel and abominable crime, and there chained him to a fallen tree, and burned him alive. Thus do Southern men protect women and children.

Such burnings were not done "for cruelty or revenge," Shadwell insisted, "but from necessity," especially where blacks were in the majority. An occasional disciplined display of terror was felt essential to the safeguarding of white women, and, wrote Shadwell, "Being familiar with the situation, I must say that I believe this theory to be correct."

New York's black police commissioner, Benjamin Ward, told a news conference that "vigilantes" like Goetz reminded him of the Ku Klux Klan. In a way, he was right.

No doubt Goetz will be in the news for some time. Although it let him go free on the shooting charge, the grand jury did indict him for illegal possession of a firearm, and William Kuntzler has launched a \$50 million lawsuit against him on behalf of the most seriously shot-up black. So far, Negro leaders have failed in their demand that the

Justice Department prosecute Goetz for violating his victims' civil rights. But with the New York City mayoral election coming up, the Jewish politicians have decided to present the Goetz case to a second grand jury in order to pacify the black voting bloc.

Bradley Smith's Prima Facie


"GEYSERS OF BLOOD" AND OTHER HOLOCAUST WHOPPERS

Who killed Simon Wiesenthal's father? In his book of memoirs, *The Murderers Among Us*, the Nazi hunter says that his father died during World War I, and that his stepfather died in a Soviet prison. Yet, about four years ago, the actor Kirk Douglas wrote a fundraising letter for the Simon Wiesenthal Center in which he asserted that Wiesenthal senior had been murdered by the German SS. A revisionist historian wrote to the Center, pointing out the mistake, and, in a return letter, was told he was right. Then, little more than a year ago, Rabbi Marvin Hier, the Dean of the Center, and a close personal friend of Wiesenthal, wrote a fundraiser in which he too stated that Simon's father had been "exterminated" by the German SS.

On March 4, 1984, Wiesenthal gave a lengthy interview to the *Los Angeles Herald Examiner*. He told writer Steven Dougherty what he has told hundreds of reporters with nary a skeptical reply -- that he lost "89" of his relatives to "Nazi murderers." Yet in *The Murderers Among Us*, which is about his experiences under the Nazis, he recounts only four deaths in his family: his father's in World War I, his stepfather's and stepbrother's at the hands of the Soviets, and his mother's, whom, he insists, he saw being herded aboard a boxcar bound for a "death camp." The known count is thus Communists 2, Nazis 1, which leads Holocaust skeptic Bradley Smith to ask, "Why doesn't Wiesenthal spend a little time in the Soviet-bloc countries hunting down 'war criminals'?" Smith would like to know something -- anything -- about the "missing 88" victims of the Nazis, and he isn't alone:

A man now occupied by writing a book about well-known Holocaust survivors wrote recently to Yad Vashem [the main Holocaust museum in Israel] asking for the names of the missing 88 Nazi murder victims. Yad Vashem replied saying it had no way to supply such information. A letter to Wiesenthal himself seeking the same information remains unanswered.

Freelance writer Bradley Smith is hard on the twisted trail of Simon Wiesenthal, Elie Wiesel and the rest of the Holocaust circuit riders, as anyone familiar with his monthly newsletter, *Prima Facie*, can testify. (The cost is \$24 for 12 issues, \$3 for one, from 1765 N. Highland Ave., Box 736, Los Angeles, CA 90028.) *Prima Facie* was started last October as a means of keeping the nation's journalists abreast of the more blatant falsifications and suppressions



600 of the rich and powerful feted Simon Wiesenthal on his 75th birthday (June 12, 1984). At left Canadian mogul Sam Belzberg; at right Mayor Koch of Zoo City.

going on in the Holocaust field today. It does *not* say that "the Holocaust never happened" (a point which poor Smith must keep making each month), only that the "Nazi gas chamber" thesis is full of holes which no one has bothered plugging, and that other aspects of standard Holocaust history are being successfully refuted by people who deserve a public hearing. The blatant suppression of revisionist findings by the Zionists is the chief subject matter of *Prima Facie*, which, because it is addressed to the mainstream journalist (over 4,000 copies of issue #1 were sent out), never stops exhorting the reader to get off his butt and do something.

Smith could not rationally doubt the reality of the "Holocaust" ("Shoah" in Hebrew), because, as he writes in issue #4, the new official definition of that Event states: "The term 'Holocaust' refers to the period from January 30, 1933, when Hitler became Chancellor of Germany, to May 8, 1945, when the war in Europe ended." (From "36 Questions Often Asked About the Holocaust," Simon Wiesenthal Center, 1983). In other words, as soon as an anti-Jewish leader assumes power in a large Germanic country, a "Jewish holocaust" commences automatically. That's what Simon says, and we have to live with it. But surely, asks Smith, we can quibble over what did and did not happen during the 12-year Nazi holocaust?

The Wiesenthal Center is now raising \$30 million for a new addition to its West Coast museum of horrors. Yet all is

not well in "Shoah business," as the insiders call it. Elie Wiesel is accusing Simon of alienating all of the Jewish survivors. Dr. Alex Grobman, who once directed the Wiesenthal Center, says his mentor has made a "colossal blunder." They and others are upset because Simon has begun tacking an extra Five Million onto much of his Holocaust propaganda, thereby coming up with the martyred Eleven Million. Since there is little hard or soft evidence that the Nazis gassed or systematically slaughtered anywhere near five million of the unchosen, the great fear is that Gentile scholars will begin asking questions about the depth of documentation. Then, once they have knocked five million off the Eleven Million story, knocking off another five million (Butz's five) ought to be relatively easy.

A lot of Smith's dope on Wiesenthal is second-hand, but it's sure to be new to the average journalist. In issue #1, he recounts what Simon told Barbara Reynolds in the April 21, 1983, issue of *USA Today*: "When I was released from Mauthausen camp . . . I was one of 34 prisoners alive out of 150,000 who had been put there." The claim is extraordinary because anyone can read Evelyn Le Cherne's book, *Mauthausen: History of a Death Camp*, where it is stated (pp. 166-68, 190-91) that the American liberators of this "death camp" found 64,000 internees alive, in spite of the epidemic which probably raged there near the end of the war. And that total does not include the 28,000 prisoners who had previously escaped or been released or transferred. Here, Smith can't help challenging the nation's pen-pushers (as he so often does):

What do you think? As professionals? Are you going to go on believing everything Simon Wiesenthal and other Holocaust cultists tell you . . . ?

I don't mean to suggest that everything Simon says is a lie or even an error. What I am suggesting is that Holocaust "revisionists" are not wrong about everything either. Let's talk about it. What do you think would be wrong in *talking* about it?

"Simon Wiesenthal never makes a mistake." That is what Simon actually told writer Abe Peck of the *Chicago Sun-Times* (among others). Yet it was in Chicago that the innocent Frank Walus was put through years of mental torture because, as the *Chicago Reader* stated on Jan. 21, 1981, Wiesenthal and his allies had "invented" him as a Nazi "war criminal." (He had actually been a forced laborer on German farms during the war.)

Stop believing every word of the accusers and disbelieving every word of the accused. That is Smith's basic message. Have a little decency, a little professional honor:

Every Holocaust "survivor" who has a need for it can find some reporter and some editor who will see his story in print. No critical question will ever be asked of this "survivor," no statement he (or she) makes will ever be checked for accuracy, nothing will ever be doubted or disbelieved, and the wildest, most vicious claims and accusations will be printed as if they have come down from on high. And when the story is printed, the reporter who is responsible for having worked it up will look upon it as a professional piece of work

I have a suggestion for a few young American reporters:

INTERVIEW THE ACCUSED! Think of them as human beings. Treat them as human beings. Treat them with respect. Check their stories. That's all. INTERVIEW THE ACCUSERS using the same standards. Stop making every allowance for those who have accused others with impunity, and stop making no allowance for those who have been accused.

Any reporter who dared to challenge that sacred relic Elie Wiesel would find himself in hot water. But consider what Elie is saying! In his book on Soviet Jewry, *The Jews of Silence*, he describes the killing of Jews at Babi Yar in Kiev during World War II: "Eyewitnesses say that for months after the killings the ground continued to spurt geysers of blood." This, and some equally wild tales, fibs and bloopers, force Smith to conclude: "It is self-evident [or *prima facie*, as they say in Latin] that this man is not wrapped too tight." Yet who would dare to publicly contradict him?

Another amazing Holocaust tale is that of the "Croatian devil-man" Andrija Artukovic, who -- somebody call Ripley's! -- was killing "some 3,500 innocent victims each day" as of November 1984. In his 1962 book, *Wanted: Nazi Criminals at Large*, Alan Levy accused Artukovic of slaughtering 200,000 innocent Yugoslavs. In 1977, Howard Blum's hate tract, *Wanted: The Search for Nazis in America*, said the number was 300,000. By the early 1980s, "dat ole debil" Andrija Artukovic was guilty of killing 700,000. On November 11 of last year, the Simon Wiesenthal Center told the *Los Angeles Herald Examiner* that the correct tally was 750,000. But five days later, the *B'nai B'rith Messenger* came up with a total of 768,000.

Artukovic is a blind, senile, heart-stricken American citizen of 85, who is currently locked up in the jail ward of a California hospital and whom the Jews are trying to ship to Yugoslavia. In 1959, a Yugoslav extradition request was sternly rejected by U.S. Commissioner Theodore Hocke: "I hope I do not live to see the day when a person will be held to answer for a crime in either the California or United States courts upon such evidence as was presented in this case." Yet, writes Smith, "where is the journalist who has discovered that, in fact, the quality of the evidence against Artukovic has changed in character since 1959?" The creeping Artukovician death toll summons this vintage Bradley Smith challenge:

Do [you] journalists believe all these figures at the same time, or do you believe them serially as they magically appear from the basements of Holocaust cultist think tanks? Do you know one journalist who has attempted to verify even one of these figures? Have you ever heard that one of your editors has suggested to any reporter that he try to discover what credible evidence exists, if any, to support such accusations? No? You surprise me.

Ah, but you don't surprise me much.

Bradley Smith was once an ordinary guy. Early in 1962, he was prosecuted for selling a then (locally) forbidden book, Henry Miller's novel, *Tropic of Cancer*.

I was praised and encouraged on every side to hold my ground against the censors. The ACLU offered their services to me without charge. "Civil liberties" lawyers rang me up from all over the country to chat. Idealistic book sellers

congratulated me on my stand. Ladies clubs invited me to speak at their luncheons. Jewish friends feted me in their homes.

But "things are different now," he writes, though "I'm the same sweet fellow I was 20 years ago." No one who is anyone will sit still to hear Smith's simple truths because the Simon Wiesenthal Center and the ADL have thrown the "fear of the Jews" into them:

Writers, publishers and historians all across the land applaud the suppression and slander of Holocaust revisionists . . . Respected academics defame revisionist writers with epithets of "neo-Nazi" and "anti-Semite" . . . And the press regularly allows cowardly and uninformed attacks on revisionists to be made in its pages but routinely refuses to allow revisionists to reply.

The public suppression is coupled with private terror:

When I came into the office this morning, one of the messages on my machine was this one, delivered in a heavy East European accent: "Hello, Mr. Smith. I'm calling to let you know that your days are numbered. Your . . . days . . . are . . . numbered. It could be in your office, the brakes on your car, while you're having lunch, at home. Beware, Mr. Smith.

"Nothing unusual about the call," writes Smith. "Been getting them for months now." Threats -- demonstrations -- smears. "But never a free and honest exchange of ideas. Never."

Yet Bradley Smith continues working beside his telephone, ready for a dialogue with anyone. "It doesn't matter who you are, or what your perspective on the 'Holocaust' is, I'll talk it over with you." That's (213) 465-3736, best hours 3-7 P.M. daily, Los Angeles time.



The trial that should not have been

SHARON INSULTS U.S. JUSTICE

When the Battle Over a Paragraph finally ended on January 24, both the plaintiff, Ariel Sharon, and the defendant, Time, Inc., claimed victory. The federal jury of six had previously found the paragraph in *Time's* 10-page-long cover story on the Sabra and Shatila massacre investigation (Feb. 21, 1983) to be "defamatory" and "false"; now it concluded that there had been no "actual malice" involved in its publication. That meant the Sharon libel suit was over at stage three, and the general would not be collecting any of the \$50 million he had asked for (which would have been stage four). But the pot-bellied Israeli war lord said it wasn't the money he had come for, and that he felt vindicated.

While the newsmen were toting up the gains and losses sustained in the case by the American press, hardly anyone focused on the unquestionable loss which the entire ridiculous affair entailed for the American social order. From the beginning, the real story of the Sharon Trial was Israel's power to abuse the American legal system. More critical and crucial than the outcome was the fact the trial had occurred and been taken seriously.

Two comments made in the trial's wake suggest that the press missed the real story altogether. Roy Cave, the managing editor of *Time*, said that a defeat for his side would have invited disgruntled politicians the world over to advance their stalled careers in American courts. He compared Sharon's action to that of a Soviet leader suing for libel because his reputation had been soiled by American news coverage of the downing of KAL Flight 007. At the *Washington Post*, meanwhile, Haynes Johnson correctly bemoaned the "terrible precedent" which the Sharon Trial -- regardless of the verdict -- has been, but he then suggested a parallel no less fanciful than Cave's:

Think what mischief a Muammar Gaddafi of Libya or an Ayatollah Khomeini of Iran -- to name only two of the world's more flagrant despots -- could make in similar circumstances in an American libel case trial.

"The Press Lost the Most," Johnson's headline announced. Yet, without realizing it, both he and Cave had stumbled against the crux of the matter: there *could be no* "similar circumstances" in an American court for a Gaddafi or Khomeini, or for a high Soviet official. They could no more make Sharon-type "mischief" with our legal system than Adolf Hitler could have in the 1930s. (Hitler was not able to pursue even an open-and-shut case of copyright infringement against Alan Cranston in American courts.)

Time maintained until the end that the entire Sharon suit had no business in an American court of law, and should have been dismissed at the outset. And it would have been, were it not for the Israeli-American "special relationship." The trial was held in New York City under a Jewish judge, Sharon was feted at Mayor Koch's house, and among the plaintiff's character witnesses were such fine folk as U.S. Senator Alfonse D'Amato, retired Air Force General George Keegan and Admiral Elmo Zumwalt.

Time's formal statement at the end of the ten-week affair told the real story:

Time's defense in this suit was severely hampered by the Israeli government. That government, citing security concerns, prevented key witnesses from testifying, threatened to prosecute them if they even talked with the magazine's attorneys, and denied access to documents and testimony that *Time* felt would have proven its case.

The result was a half-trial. Mr. Sharon presented his case. *Time* could not present a significant part of its case.



Sorting out some of the bodies of the Sabra and Shatila massacre. These murdered Palestinians and some 40,000 other inhabitants of Lebanon would still be alive if Sharon had not invaded the bruised and battered little country.

Time's sinning paragraph had (apparently) contained one very minor error (as its edition of Jan. 21, 1985, conceded), to wit: the secret Appendix B to the Kahan Commission Report on the September 1982 massacre at Sabra and Shatila had *not*, in fact, stated that Sharon "discussed the need for revenge" of President-elect Bashir Gemayel's assassination with Lebanese officials prior to the massacre. Sharon, who, unlike the editors of *Time* and other mere Americans, had been able to read over this secret appendix on three occasions long before the trial, knew in advance that he "had" the magazine on this one point. (At least, that is the common understanding as of now. To this day, only one representative of *Time*, an Israeli Jew named Haim Zadok, has been permitted to glimpse the mysterious appendix, and even he voiced "reservations" to the jury about what it, and the Kahan report generally, had concluded -- reservations which must, however, be kept secret from the American public, allegedly for reasons of "Israeli security").

Whatever Appendix B does or doesn't say, *Time's* reporter, David Halevy -- another Israeli -- has four highly placed sources in Israel -- two of them generals -- who insist (confidentially) to this day that they witnessed Sharon talking about the "need for revenge" with the Lebanese authorities. As Roy Cave trenchantly observed, "Not a single human being but one [Sharon] in a position to know has come forward to say the story [as opposed to the single erroneous paragraph] is wrong."

In his testimony, which was vague when it wasn't preachy, Sharon repeatedly invoked Israeli national security considerations, and Judge Abraham Sofer often let him get away with it. *Time's* specially privileged appendix-speaker, Haim Zadok, was not allowed to see *all* of the testimony and documents pertaining to the Kahan Com-

mission's findings, even though Zadok is a former Israeli minister of justice. "As long as it [the rest of the evidence] stays secret," said *Time* attorney Thomas Barr, "reasonable people are going to ask why is it being kept secret?" Haynes Johnson summed up the absurdity of the situation:

Here is a foreign national, seeking redress in an American court, under American law, but whose own government refuses to permit vital evidence, and witnesses, to be entered, heard, and assessed by the jury. And the foreign government at all times controls crucial information that it accumulated through its own rigorous [?] legal procedures by the calling of witnesses under oath during its own investigation of the matter at issue

All the publicity about what the Israeli investigators of the S&S massacre had or had not uncovered (which we will never know) drove from the public's mind any lingering memory of other investigations into the incident. In early 1983, for example, shortly before the Kahan Commission released (some of) its findings, an international panel led by Sean MacBride of Ireland, the co-winner of the 1974 Nobel Peace Prize, determined that the Israelis had in fact played a fairly active role in the massacre, aiding the Lebanese death squads in the Palestinian refugee camps "by way of flares and supplies." The six-man panel, which included two well known Jews, spent three months studying the massacre, made two visits to Lebanon (without the backing of any government) and took evidence from more than 150 survivors and witnesses, including some Israelis. Yet this investigation, and one made by the Lebanese government, were denounced as "anti-Semitic" and so received little publicity abroad.

Mnemonic Instaurationists may also recall three items from a story on page 30 of the January 1983 edition: six

people telling reporter Douglas Watson of the *Baltimore Sun* that they saw an Israeli tank firing directly into Shatila during the massacre; Orthodox Jews in Beirut saying that a number of Lebanese Jews had served with the Christian Phalangist massacre artists; and *U.S.S. Liberty* expert Jim Taylor discovering first-hand some Israeli soldiers among Major Haddad's troops in southern Lebanon (who were reported at the scene of the crime by many witnesses).

So it is a hollow boast indeed when Sharon tells the *Washington Post* (in a puff piece on December 17), "What is the most important thing of the Kahan Commission, they made it clear that no one of our soldiers, no one of our commanders, no one of our politicians was involved in any of those massacres or atrocities!" (In his trial testimony, the general never could explain why only 150 Phalangists had entered the camps if, as he claimed, the Israelis looking on believed there were 2,000 armed Palestinian guerrillas inside.)

The Sharon Trial produced the usual quota of Jewish spite. The general told one interviewer how for two months he had fought to control an "inner rage" as he watched "hatred pour off the faces of the arrogant people of *Time*." Sharon had previously called the *Time* article "one of the most terrible things that has been done to the Jewish people." In a classic bit of description, *Washington Post* reporter Kathy Sawyer wrote, "Sharon has presented himself to the public as a stocky mass of fulminating indignation, railing at the endless 'lies' told about him." Sharon's attorney, Milton S. Gould, got into the hostility act too. On the trial's last day, wrote an observer, he "pounded the lectern and shouted until he became hoarse during more than five hours of rambling, nonstop invective . . ."

The entire stupid charade may be replayed in Israel this summer, where Sharon is also suing *Time*. The climate there is more favorable to libel suits, but even in America the press has recently been losing about half the cases that go to trial, with damage awards (usually reversed on appeal) averaging \$2 million.

Time's legal costs in the (first?) Sharon trial topped \$1 million even before it began last November 13, which may have been one reason for the recent boost in *Time's* newsstand price. Sharon's legal expenses were paid for by the State of Israel -- in other words, by the American taxpayer -- and American "friends" have already reimbursed him for his \$300,000 "out-of-pocket" expenses. In some respects, the litigation could be called a strictly Kosher catfight. Everyone on the plaintiff's side, except for a few character witnesses, was a Jew. As for the defendant, the editor-in-chief of all *Time*, Inc. publications is Henry Anatole Grunwald, a Viennese-born Jew with just a trace of a Kissinger accent. The writer of the allegedly defamatory article, David Halevy, was also Jewish.

When *Ariel Sharon v. Time Inc.* was finally over, *Washington Post* columnist Richard Cohen's postmortem was headlined -- "The Arrogance of *Time*." Cohen was not the only Jewish writer who favored a Jewish war criminal over a Jewish-edited magazine. No columnist, of course, mentioned the damages to Lebanon caused by Sharon's invasion. Lebanon put these at 40,000 dead and \$10 billion, which could be good grounds for a suit against Sharon and

Israel itself. But somehow massacres of Arabs, dropping phosphorous bombs on hospitals and driving a million Palestinians out of their homes and their homeland are not war crimes, either in the eyes of the Israelis or in the eyes of the Richard Cohens who cover up for them.

Westmoreland's GooF

Another trial that should never have been was General Westmoreland's \$120 million libel suit against CBS. Everyone who knows anything about *60 Minutes* knows how the program likes to skewer the military, and General Westmoreland, who should have known better, agreed to be interviewed. But anyone who listened to the high body counts and low estimates of enemy troop strengths put out by Westmoreland's high command during the Vietnam War knows that someone was lying. In this regard, CBS was right for questioning the lies and accusing the Vietnam commander of playing with figures. So many military underlings backed up CBS in their testimony that Westmoreland was forced to throw in the towel before the case went to the jury.

What Westmoreland should have done was sue CBS for losing the war in Vietnam. Then he might have had a case. CBS led the American media pack in aiding and abetting the enemy and reducing U.S. fighting morale to zero. In any normal country under any normal circumstances this is treason, sedition or what have you. Instead, Westmoreland hit CBS where it was strongest -- questioning Westmoreland's own deflated estimates of enemy troop strength. But what can you expect from a political general -- the only kind being turned out by West Point these days? Westmoreland is a born loser. He lost in Vietnam; he lost in his race for a South Carolina senate seat; and he lost his stupid suit against CBS.

Media Literacy

18 — POST-GAZETTE: Tues., Dec. 25, 1984 — State/Region

Goode says he run again for mayor of Philadelphia

PHILADELPHIA (AP) — Mayor W. Wilson Goode said there was "no question" he would seek re-election in 1987, then retire from public office to work as a volunteer citizen.

In an interview published yesterday in the Philadelphia Daily News, the mayor revealed his intention to seek a second term as he prepared a "state of the city" address to be delivered Jan. 2, in which he will outline a five-year plan for Philadelphia.

"Having spent now almost 12 months in office, I'm convinced it will take at least five more years to do what I want to do, maybe even six more," Goode said.

The mayor, who was among those considered by Democratic presidential nominee Walter Mondale as a running mate this year, said he did not carry any ambitions for higher office.

"I have absolutely no interest whatsoever in any statewide office of any kind," Goode said.

"My plan would be to spend eight years in the mayor's office and become a private citizen, get a job in the private sector and continue to do on a voluntary basis the kind of work I've done thus far," he added.

In the meantime, Goode said the No. 1 problem confronting his administration was disposing of the city's trash. His efforts to find a solution have been set back by the court-ordered closing of the Kinsley Landfill in Deptford, N.J., to city trucks and his failure to obtain City Council approval for a steam-producing incinerator.

The mayor said there was a "60-40" chance the council would eventually approve the trash-to-steam plant, which his administration would like to build at the Philadelphia Naval Yard.

In reflecting on his first year as mayor, Goode said his most impressive achievement was the fast-track effort to rebuild the Columbia Avenue bridge before the Christmas shopping season, thus restoring the

flow of commuters and shoppers to downtown Philadelphia.

"It demonstrated that you can reverse bureaucracy and red tape and traditional ways of doing things and benefit a lot of people," Goode said.

The mayor said his major disappointments were the stalled trash-to-steam plant and his failure to root out police corruption.

As a result of investigations in which some officers were found to have accepted money to protect illegal activities, Goode said he would consider decriminalizing gambling and prostitution.

"If these are victimless crimes, then who are we protecting, and is it worth it to really undermine the whole foundation of the Police Department to enforce laws . . . where neither the district attorney nor the judges are interested in seeing that the people who are involved are prevented in the future from doing these things?" asked Goode.

No, that's not a typo in the Pittsburgh Post-Gazette headline. What is more appropriate than good black English and good black syntax to announce the future political plans of Wilson Goode, the Negro boss of Philly? The Post-Gazette is owned by the Blocks, the same Jewish family that publishes the Toledo Blade.

**Richard Swartzbaugh delves further into
the revolutionary potential of the family**

UTOPIA OF THE INSTINCTS (III)

A custom or institution is regarded as an anachronism when it no longer "fits" in the culture. It is a relic, a holdover from the past and one which, because it is no longer an integral part of culture, may shortly perish. Social theory is explicit on this point and would even have us consciously push anachronistic customs into the dead past where they have no inclination to depart of their own volition. At any rate, where it does not disappear willingly, the anachronism, since it is incongruous in the present and conflicts with existing institutions, will shortly be crowded out and replaced by these "necessary" institutions. But what is to be said of an anachronism which stubbornly refuses to perish? Such an institution, as is readily perceived by social theorists, becomes a serious threat to the rest of society.

It takes no great understanding to perceive that such an anachronism is not a true institution of culture but an egoism. It does not need encouragement to exist from the society around it but represents, rather, a kind of impulse by its own right and one that is potentially in ominous competition with existing society.

One reason why anachronisms exist at all is a certain habit-bound conservatism on the part of citizens, a lethargy in casting away useless baggage. Cultures change and evolve and, in doing so, they rid themselves of useless customs. Some institutions, however, although they may outwardly adapt to present conditions and may also shed certain formal features inconsistent with these conditions, are tenacious. Among the institutions that may, in one sense or another, be anachronistic is the family. Meant here is the limited parental family, the breeding or reproductive unit of the human species.

Breeding itself is not anachronistic -- society duly recognizes the fact that if citizens are to exist they must be bred -- but what is irrelevant to existing society, and to some degree contradicts its basic ideals, is the social group that, from the beginning of human time, has sprung up around the act of breeding. The human being is one creature on earth who, in order to reproduce himself, particularly to accommodate to the fact that the human infant is helpless for so long a period, must be societal. There must be an enduring instinctive and physical relationship between man, woman and child: this is the original human society. It is clear, then, that the family may certainly be out of place among institutions which man has newly invented.

Several writers have called the family "fascism," although this is a misnomer inasmuch as fascism is still a modern movement although having, certainly, anachronistic elements. The family constitutes, in itself, a complete society and one in which there is incontrovertible order, a sort of "natural fascism," but one, certainly, to which terms

applied to other institutions -- justice, injustice, equality, inequality, rights and responsibilities and many other "values" -- make no sense. The family has no inherent idea of justice, injustice or even value; it is a mere fact of nature. In these terms two things are "wrong" with the parental family in respect to modern values. First, criteria for the evaluation of institutions do not apply to the family, the only reason for the existence of such a group being that it exists. Secondly, the family actually constitutes, in itself, an entirely independent social entity which is potentially a viable challenger of the larger society. The fabricated society, here called civilization, that has been produced above and around the family could be replaced in one unconscious stroke. The family, then, is an enduring institution of life that constitutes both a conspiracy, albeit an unconscious conspiracy, and a ready-made social order indifferent to every thought of man. The real threat of the family to civilization is that it does not need communication, the basic interaction of men on a cultural or civilized basis, in order to be a conspiracy. Furthermore, it is a social order that requires no initial period of thought or experiment in order to supplant civilization; it could replace civilization instantly. For all the laws, rules and regulations that have been laboriously contrived by mankind it substitutes its own fundamental rule -- trust.

Clearly the family is an anachronism. Were it to follow the course of other social relics it would shortly disappear, an eventuality predicted by Friedrich Engels, the most commonly cited socialist critic of the family. Engels was impressed by the fact that different forms of the family have disappeared as each, in its own turn, proved inconsistent with new forms of technical cooperation. Among other things, he held that forms of material production in the early capitalist period destroyed the clan, the unilineal extended family.

As production shifted from agriculture to the small workshop, members of the same family remained together, no longer in the fields but under one roof in or near the work place. But the days family members would remain together were numbered. The growing industrialism -- and this growth was irrepressible -- forced the owners to bring into their factory strangers, persons not related by blood. The workshop now became a true factory, an impersonal place where workers' bonds were not those of the family but dictated by the factory operation itself. The effect of this, insofar as factory production became the dominant form of society itself, was to destroy the extended family as a work group. It deprived the family of an important reason -- material subsistence -- for existing. The basis of the new society was abstract; in Engels's terms, "truly social." Engels was correct in his description of the history of a purely

formal family, the matriclan or patriclan. What escaped him, however, was that having dissolved one or several of its forms -- which were pure formalities of the family -- the family has stubbornly recreated itself and has emerged, albeit anachronistically, intact.

True, the family remained an anachronism, an ancient relic, and as society advanced the smaller group became ever more inconsistent and disharmonious with the larger one. Yet, unlike certain other institutions and ideas, the family never found a new niche for itself in modern society; it steadily became more remote and aloof. And if its forms were incongruous, its ideas were even more so. Mankind was progressing not just socially and materially but morally as well, as democratic and equalitarian ideas resolutely drove out older religious ideas. The family, for its part, held onto its own special relationships for which ideas of value, morality, justice and truth made no sense whatsoever. The family did not so much reject such ideas, since it had no idea even how to conduct an argument; it simply ignored them.

Society, for the time being, decided not to force the issue of the family's incompatibility with the times, but let it continue to exist. It needed the family to breed new citizens. But the social institution surrounding the pure act of breeding constituted an enduring problem, so that shortly it began to be evident to some thinkers -- Engels and Charles Fourier were the most prominent -- that the matter could not end here. The family was apparently the source of certain ideas which, although harmless when limited to the individual parental group, became pernicious to society when they spilled outside the boundaries of this group. What was most ancient and anachronistic became, under certain circumstances, revolutionary and anarchistic.

Where the anachronism naggingly persists, so as to indicate to ourselves that it contains its own will and energy, it appears not as a benign relic that can be managed and contained in the museums and zoos of society; it becomes destructive of all that mankind "believes in" and of all that man, as inventor of institutions and values, has built over the millennia with great care and effort. The family came to be regarded as a sort of natural disaster, in the face of which men were helpless.

The family is anarchism without a conscious conspiracy, without any awareness on the part of the revolutionaries of what they are doing. This is because the family is not a value; it is a fact.

Such contrariness, so long as it is contained within boundaries of the isolated and individual parental family, is only a potential danger. Each family separated from others poses no real threat to society, for the same reason that any egoism, where and when it is small, is no threat. But this small family egoism, in its constant confrontation with the values of self-effacement and self-humiliation of an advanced cooperative society, becomes, sooner or later, as society becomes more massive and imposing, a large egoism. Such a massive self-centeredness is called race. Nowhere has the family displayed its awesome power in relation to institutions as it has in the combined familial strength of racism.

Race does not need a conspiracy or a revolution to

further its cause; it already is, in itself, a revolution.

The race has inherent in it all the passion of sex and family loyalty, which are expressions of the ego. Such energies evade values; they thwart any attempt to formulate them as "institutions." All the energy that the family had pent up within it during the period of collaboration with technics, in the era of the matri- and patriclans, is now projected into race, the most massive of all egoisms and the one which reconciles all the smaller egoisms. Masculine self-centeredness, perhaps the most physically violent of all egoisms, is, after long frustration, simply pooled together with all the other egoisms which, collectively, create an awesome "barbarian" force.

The *raison d'être* of the large group ego is that it has rolled into one massive force an agent capable of revolutionary action. Such a revolt will result in a mass anarchism -- by no means a contradiction in terms -- and a rejection of human artifice and human values. The contrived order of society will be replaced by an order of nature.

The formula stands: what is most ancient, so long as it has its own will and volition, is most revolutionary.

(To be continued)

Ponderable Quotes

When Elizabeth Hardwick, a Southerner, explains that she came to New York to make herself over into a Jewish intellectual or John Berryman avers that he considers himself an honorary Jew, they describe the sort of ethnicity most appealing to me.

Alan Lelchuk,
New York Times Book Review

I, myself, have serious doubts that there is such a thing as a "moral people." But even if there is, I'm quite sure we Jews do not fit the bill. We defy all the usual sociological characterizations.

Rabbi David M. Gordis
American Jewish Committee

To be born a Jew is to become a member of a worldwide constituency whose roots go back 5,000 years. One's ethnic makeup can neither be chosen nor changed. A Jew who wishes to disassociate himself from Judaism and take up Catholicism, Christian Science or Confucianism, for example, is still a Jew by heritage. No amount of disavowing will transform him into a Gentile.

Ann Landers,
Sept. 9, 1984

[The attack on Israeli athletes at the 1972 Olympics in Munich brought home the reality of who I am, where I am It taught me that as long as I'm alive, I want the world to know I am a Jew It brought home to me the realization that as long as I'm alive there will be someone, somewhere, out to get the Jews.

Howard Cosell,
Barbara Walters TV interview

We few, we happy few, we brothers!

WELCOME TO THE CONSPIRACY

Some time ago I suddenly became aware of the fact that I had been for many years acting in contradiction to my own interpretation of history. This "moment of realization" came as I was discussing the matter of democracy with a young liberal fellow attorney. I had remarked to him that I considered democracy to be an utterly unworkable form of government and that universal suffrage democracy had always led to the destruction of the nation adopting it.

While I had long believed this, I had consistently acted otherwise. I suspect the same is true of many Majority activists.

Democracy is not a viable political strategy for us, who espouse radical and indeed "un-American" programs because we could never, even under the best circumstances appeal to 51% of the voting public.

More people will always want to ride on the wagon than pull the wagon. The wastrels will always outnumber the thrifty. There will always be a bigger market for a political program which tells people there are no foreign threats and that world peace is merely a matter of goodwill and negotiations.

Even the most sugar-coated version of our platform will almost always be rejected by a majority of the voters.

Consider if you will a microcosm of the American people gathered together to hear two competing politicians.

One young politician, a conservative, propounds to them the following:

Our world is a place of struggle, competition and rivalry. We face international threats that require that we be strong and vigilant. This situation requires sacrifice. Therefore, all you momma's boy duds are going to have to shape up. You can forget about goofing off and lazing about during your late teens and early twenties. Instead, you are going to be drafted into the armed forces and made into soldiers. Your drill sergeant will roust you out of bed at 5:00 A.M. every morning so you can run the six-mile obstacle course. You can throw away the marijuana and say goodbye to the long hair.

As for you women, your role is to breed lots of healthy children for your country. You are not going to run anything. This will be left to the males, especially the white males. You will stay home and wash diapers.

All of you parasites: You can forget about the dole, food stamps and rent subsidies. You will not be able to take the products of other people's labor any more. Instead you will have to work, work hard and obey your bosses and supervisors. This way our country can compete again in the world markets, because you are going to get to work on time, work hard all day and forget about all of your benefits and perks.

We are going to have a great country. The way we are going to have it is by sacrifice, austerity, work and discipline. This way America will be great again.

Then the other young politician steps up:

My friends, thank goodness we do not all have the negative, pessimistic views of my good friend who just finished speaking.

This world is not the negative, hostile environment he seems to think it is.

The Soviets are human beings, just like you and me. They don't want international tension and conflict. They have seen what war does and the suffering it causes by their experience in World War II. They want peace, just like you and me.

Therefore, all of you fine young men, the greatest and best educated generation in history, you won't have to serve in the military. You can stay home and "find yourselves" and experiment with alternate lifestyles, mind-expanding substances and otherwise lead all of us with your brilliance.

Also, we are going to have justice for our women. Finally, we are going to see that you have the possessions of leadership in our society which are your due as a majority of the human race.

And our wonderful union brothers and sisters, we are going to protect all of your hard-won gains against the bosses. You will be able to work less and get more.

Finally, we are not going to tolerate any longer the spectacle of luxury and poverty existing side by side. We are going to take the ill-gotten spoils of exploitation and speculation and use them to help our elderly, our kids and our wonderful teachers.

This country is going to be great by being morally great. This country is going to be strong by being morally strong. This country is going to be secure by taking risks for peace and extending a hand of brotherhood to all nations of the world. All of this can be achieved without any hardship or sacrifice except on the part of a minority of bloated, privileged, rich white males.

Is there any doubt which program will get 50% -- way more than 50% -- of the votes?

While some may laugh at the foregoing scenario, the statement of our point of view above is not all that radical. It avoids the absolutely explosive subjects such as race. It only appears radically unpalatable because it is expressed baldly.

However, the voters are not as dumb as we would like to think. More often than not they can see through the packaging and see what the effect of the program will be for them.

For this reason, the infantile liberal philosophy will always enjoy an advantage over even the most sensible and restrained conservative one in a universal suffrage democracy. The truth of this statement is borne out to any objective observer by the entire political history of Great Britain and the Scandinavian countries in the 20th century.

America is no exception. Contrary to what President Reagan thinks or says he thinks, our country has no special divine mission. It is not loved by God or is in any sense God's little pet. The only reason we do not yet exhibit the terminal symptoms of the disease called democracy as do Great Britain and Scandinavia, is that we, unlike them, have only recently become a universal suffrage democracy. Until the mid-sixties Negroes (and thus the poorer segment of the population) were effectively denied the ballot in the Southern one-third of our States by a variety of devices such as the poll tax and the literacy tax. However, to quote James Buckley, the "conservative" ex-Senator from New York and the brother of William, "Thank God we have all that behind us now!"

Yes, Senator Buckley, we do have all that behind us now and America is a universal suffrage democracy.

Having established that we are now a universal suffrage democracy and that we are precluded from any chance of winning real power in elections, let us ask the only sensible question -- What do we do now? Where do we go from here?

Americans find it very difficult to envision a future for their country beyond the future of the existing political framework. This is so because of the long continuity -- albeit a superficial continuity -- of our form of government. A few specialists and political dissidents may realize the falseness of the continuity but the typical American does not see the radical departures and interruptions represented by the War Between the States and the direct election of Senators, to take two examples, which have changed the initial Constitution under which a Negro was three-fifths of a person to a government which mandates forced busing, reverse discrimination and affirmative action.

Even many political dissidents, such as you and I, fall victim to the same illusion. It is therefore important to stop and think that other kindred countries have seen many governments come and go but still exist and are inhabited by the descendants of the same folk who made up their populations years ago. France has been a feudal society, an absolute monarchy, a limited monarchy, a democratic republic and so on back and forth for centuries. Nevertheless, France still exists. In this century Germany has been a monarchy, a democracy, a dictatorship and then a democracy in the West and a Communist satellite in the East. Nevertheless, a recognizable German entity still exists.

We need to expand our thoughts to envision the disappearance of the present form of government and what that betides for us. The results of such thinking can be astonishing.

For instance, I was once at a party given by a Hungarian family in the city in which I reside. Late in the evening, after most of the guests had departed, a few of us were talking freely under the liberating dispensation of the grape. Someone asked our Hungarian hosts what we should do in the event of a Soviet conquest.

To my astonishment, my fanatically anti-Communist friends immediately replied that there was only one sensible course of action: we should immediately join the Communist Party!

As astounding as this view was, after they had explained themselves the truth of their assessment was obvious. Un-

der a systematic totalitarian regime such as that in the Soviet bloc, resistance -- either overt or covert -- was impossible once the regime was solidly established. Therefore, the only way to ameliorate the situation was to join the ruling party. The Hungarians explained that after the Soviet conquest of their native country in 1944 and 1945, the membership of the Communist Party initially consisted of true-believer Marxist fanatics. Life under such people's rule was difficult for the individual and destructive to the heritage of the Hungarian majority. Affairs were decidedly improved as the hardcore fanatics died out or were displaced by those who joined up for practical reasons. This was the only method for change.

What then do we do, faced as we are by the spectre of universal suffrage democracy?

Our own philosophy provides an answer.

We accept Nietzsche's aphorism that the sum of a million zeroes is zero. We do not believe in equality, whether equality of individuals, social classes or races. We believe in human differences. Unlike egalitarians, we believe in elites.

We also believe, as Aristotle put it, "History is what Alcibiades did and suffered" or phrased another way, great men make history.

One of the most illuminating books of our time is *The Climate of Treason* by Andrew Boyle, which has been a best-seller in the United Kingdom. Every earnest Majority activist should give this book close scrutiny.

It was Boyle's book which forced the admission by the British government that Anthony Blunt, the Queen's art advisor, had been known to have been a Soviet spy in the Philby espionage ring for years but had been protected because his exposure would have caused widespread embarrassment in upper-class circles.

On page 63 of *The Climate of Treason*, Boyle reveals that in the late twenties and early thirties the decision was made by the Comintern to target Cambridge and Oxford for Communist subversion. The Soviets, ostensible believers in the equality of man, gave up on their efforts to organize the working class. Instead, the shrewd operatives of the Kremlin analyzed British society and determined that the graduates of two universities governed the British Empire -- Oxford and Cambridge. They then made it their goal to establish a Communist presence in those two resplendent universities and to recruit undergraduates to the Communist cause. The world's leading egalitarians in theory became practicing elitists.

We Majority activists are few in number and our resources are pathetically small. We must husband our resources and use them carefully.

Why then is it that almost all Majority propaganda campaigns aim their message (1) to the already committed and/or (2) to the marginal, down-and-out element of our society?

We must borrow a page from the Communists' book and set out to subvert the elite.

I can anticipate the howls of opposition my proposal will evoke. I have heard them before. Nevertheless, my analysis of U.S. history is that our country has always been run by the graduates of the Ivy League, is now run by the graduates of the Ivy League and apparently will always be

run by the graduates of the Ivy League. It does us no good to curse Harvard, Yale and Princeton. Whining about Harvard's domination of America is on the level of complaining about the weather or condemning rivers for flowing downhill. Ivy League dominance is a fact; it is part of reality. Those who choose to ignore reality will eventually suffer a rude encounter with reality.

How then can we subvert and propagandize the preppies?

I suggest that we should start by selecting the finest prep schools in the country and targeting them for propagandization. Names such as Miss Porter's, Groton, St. Paul's and Foxcroft come immediately to mind. The advantage to making the prep schools rather than the Ivy League colleges the initial target is that the secondary school students have not yet been totally indoctrinated, as have many of the Ivy League undergraduates. As Samuel Johnson once said of Scotsmen, so we can say of the preppies: "Much, much can be made of a preppy if you catch him young."

The natural rebelliousness of some teenagers will incline them our way, especially when they see how much more furiously the establishment reacts to a rightist, racist rebel than it does to a leftist rebel. The headmasters and leftist teachers will undoubtedly assist us by their hysterical over-reaction.

Also, since we believe in the power of genetics, I surmise that many of the young preppies will have inherited the ruthlessness which is characteristic or used to be characteristic of the upper classes. This too will be of benefit to us.

The preppies whom we target will be, by virtue of the schools in which they are enrolled, heirs to large family fortunes and destined to occupy positions of influence and power in our society.

They will be strategically positioned to lead our country and our people out of the morass in which we find ourselves today. The growing number of Jews and other minority members in prep schools ought to force more and more non-Jews into a rivalry which will help open the latter's hearts and minds to one ideology.

The prep schools and the Ivy League colleges have not always been the exclusive property of the left. In the 1920s our philosophy was the reigning orthodoxy at Harvard (Lothrop Stoddard), Columbia (Madison Grant) and elsewhere. It is partially due to our own stupidity that we have gone from being the reigning orthodoxy in this nation to something lower than a cult.

It is a long road back, but let us be on our way.

It is my belief that democracy in America will self-destruct in our time. It will perish not so much because of anything we do. It will die because it has historically never been known to survive for long, even in a racially homogeneous society. Its death in the polyglot "melting pot" of 20th-century America will be comparatively swift.

When democracy self-destructs in America, the world will not cease turning. Some entity will occupy the territorial expanse known as the USA. The nature, shape and form of that entity will be determined not by what the masses want but by which tough elite emerges triumphant. Rather than view the death of 20th-century American society and its produce-and-consume system as a tragedy, we should

welcome it with joyous anticipation of the opportunities this upheaval will offer.

If we have recruited and honed our elite and have imbued it with our own alternative to the existing order, we can take full advantage of the crash. In so doing we will redeem the unspeakable horror which America has come to represent and put not merely our own country but our whole civilization and European man on the road to recovery.

We will never succeed in a universal suffrage democracy. That senseless dream must be set aside. Any involvement in democratic politics should be confrontational in nature, designed not for the purpose of making the system work or ameliorating the situation but for some other advantage -- the garnering of recruits, the spreading of cynicism and despair, the undermining of faith in our system and its leaders.

Our hope is in collapse and the opportunity that collapse will offer for an elite to emerge and displace the system.

My fellow conspirators, let us set to our task!

Cataline Jr.

Ponderable Quotes

In my opinion, the greatest enemy that America has ever had, posing the greatest threat to our way of life, are the three television networks and the people who run them

Ted Turner, founder of CNN
Washington Post, June 28, 1984

I'll tell you what we were writing. We were writing Gentes with Jewish emotions -- because you've got to have the action out, and the guilt, to get the passion.

Esther Shapiro, co-producer of
Dynasty, in *Harper's & Queen*
(June 1984), a British publication

The heads of the networks are parasites and tasteless mercenaries. They've trashed up the airwaves almost beyond repair. It's a subhuman situation.

Kathleen Nolan, president
Screen Actors Guild

What audacity, what hope, what irony that the son of a son of a slave should have greater impact on the thinking of conscious whites than any other writer.

Leone Bennett, editor of *Ebony*,
apoteosizing James Baldwin

The *New Republic* is currently the nation's most interesting and important political journal.

George Will, syndicated columnist

1984 Election Tally

Although Reagan's electoral college total (525) was the largest in history, as a percentage of total electoral votes (97.58 percent), it was slightly smaller than the percentage of the electoral college won by Franklin Roosevelt in 1936 (98.49 percent, or 523 of 532 electoral votes) and James Monroe in 1820 (231 of 232 electoral votes, or 99.6 percent).

Reagan's percentage of the popular vote (nearly 59 percent) was the fifth highest since popular election of electors became the general practice in 1824, exceeded only by Harding in 1920 (60.3 percent), Roosevelt in 1936 (60.8 percent), Johnson in 1964 (61 percent) and Nixon in 1972 (60.7 percent).

The turnout was estimated to be about 52.9 percent of the voting age population, slightly higher than the 52.6 percent who voted in 1980, and a reversal of the downward trend which began after the 1960 election, in which turnout was 62.8 percent.

The Republicans will hold just over 3,000 legislative seats in the country, about 100 more than four years ago; Democrats will hold about 4,300, a loss in 1984 of approximately 300 to Republicans and independents.

In the 13 elections for Governor, Republicans elected 8, Democrats 5, for a net gain of one Republican. As a result, in 1985 Democrats will control 34 governorships. Republicans control both Houses of 11 state legislatures, the same number as before the 1984 election. Ten legislatures are split between the parties, up from five before the 1984 election.

President Reagan carried the Protestant vote by 2 to 1 and the Roman Catholic vote by 3 to 2. Jews voted in favor of Mondale about 2 to 1 nationwide and favored Mon-

Final Count of Presidential Votes

Candidate and Party	Total Votes	Percentage
Ronald Reagan (Republican)	54,541,521	58.77
Walter Mondale (Democrat)	37,565,334	40.54
John Anderson (National Unity Party)	1,479	.0016
Gerald Baker (Big Deal Party)	872	.001
Dave Bergland (Libertarian)	227,168	.25
Delmar Dennis (American Party)	13,149	.014
Earl F. Dodge (Prohibition)	4,235	.0046
Gus Hall (Communist)	36,215	.039
Gavrielle Holmes (Workers World)	2,641	.0029
Larry Holmes (Workers World)	15,327	.017
Sonya Johnson (Citizens Party)	71,947	.078
Lyndon LaRouche Jr. (Independent)	78,773	.085
Arthur J. Lowery (United Sovereign Citizens Party)	822	.0009
Mel Mason (Socialist Workers)	24,672	.027
> Bob Richards (Populist)	66,168	.072
Dennis Serrette (Independent Alliance)	58,898	.064
Ed Wynn (Workers League)	14,363	.016
Write-ins	17,438	.019
Total Votes Cast	92,741,022	

dale by some margin in every state but Texas.

Many identifiable ethnic groups in America voted predominantly for President Reagan -- Poles, Slavs, Italians, Germans, WASPs, Scandinavians and Irish. Exceptions were the Orientals, who preferred Mondale by 54 percent to 46 percent, and black voters, who gave President Reagan 10 to 12 percent of their support.

Hispanics, who represent about 6 percent of the electorate and about half of whom live in Texas and California, favored Mondale, but not overwhelmingly. In Tex-

as, exit polls indicate that Mondale received 72 percent of the Hispanic vote.

In 1984, 90 percent of Southern blacks (and 87 percent of all blacks) voted for Mondale and 71 percent of Southern whites (and 63-66 percent of all whites) for President Reagan. Blacks are about 11 percent of the national electorate, and about 26 percent of the Democratic electorate; 97 percent of Republicans are white.

Source: *Congressional Research Service Review* (Jan. 1985)

How to Get to CBS

Jesse Helms, as demonstrated by his recent proposal that conservatives should buy control of CBS, hasn't got a clue as to how the media work. You'd think that a politician who has been kicked around by the networks for so long would be more knowledgeable about the electronic demon that is ruling his and our lives. Poor Jesse still believes that CBS is just another coporation -- that all you have to do is buy enough stock and "you can become Dan Rather's boss."

Not in a millennium! First of all, it would

take a mighty financial effort to acquire sufficient shares even to elect one or two directors. At present some 24,000 stockholders own 30 million shares (thanks to Helms, recently run up to over \$88 a share) of CBS stock. To own even 10% of these shares would cost \$264 million, probably more, since this much buying would boost the price even further. One person who would never sell to a conservative group is William Paley, the founding father of CBS and the one who owns the largest hunk of stock (6.55%).

Even if by some miracle conservatives could get financial control of the network and could agree on some kind of purification agenda, their troubles would just begin. The moment any attempt was made to inject a tad of conservative ideology or ideological balance into the program (the sitcoms are often more liberal and minority-oriented than the news itself), there would probably be an official or a wildcat strike,

and the network would go on short rations. CBS, from William Paley down to the lowliest mailroom clerk, is a dyed-in-the-wool, 100% liberaloid organization. It would take years to purge it of its sins and in the meantime sabotage, strikes, sitdowns and advertiser boycotts would bring any conservative owners to their knees in no time.

There is only one way to get to CBS and that is through the audience. The loss of a few ratings points in its most important shows would cost the network tens of millions of dollars a year and would cost conservatives nothing. By pamphleteering, by books, by public meetings, even by door-to-door solicitations, it might, just might, be possible to educate enough people to tune out CBS, stop buying products advertised on CBS and switch millions of viewers to other networks. The moment CBS bosses found out it was costing them real money to

spread their agit-prop, they would quickly take care of Dan Rather themselves.

Frankly, the only permanent solution to the banalization of Majority culture by CBS, ABC, NBC and half of PBS is either: (1) a government takeover, to which conservatives would be more opposed than liberals, or (2) an ideological revolution. Helms's proposal simply doesn't face up to the realities of the situation. You don't change the political composition of what is essentially a liberal-minority church by electing a couple of conservative directors, any more than you can change the color of a pink flamingo by painting a few of its feathers blue.

CBS, in case it has slipped Jesse's mind, is an integral part of the overall liberal-minority mind-set. Much of what Dan Rather eructs every evening comes from the *New York Times*, which is monolithically Jew-

ish, and many of CBS's prime-time programs are cranked out by Hollywood, which is top-heavily Jewish. Both the *Times*, Hollywood and the media in general would rush to CBS's rescue at the slightest sign of a shift to the right.

No, the fight is not against the CBS network, which is simply the worst case of present-day cultural perversion. The fight is against the entire liberal-minority intellectual ascendancy, which reaches from the CBS cameras and the *Times* building in Zoo City to the Hollywood studios and back again to New York Publishers' Row, the Ford Foundation and up to Harvard and Yale.

It's an octopus, Jesse, and you don't slay an octopus by cutting off just one of its tentacles.



Poison Pen

Hate is our overpowering legacy, and we have regenerated ourselves by hatred from decade to decade, generation to generation, century to century . . . In 10, 20, 30 years, the world of Islam will begin to consume itself in madness. We cannot live with ourselves . . . we never have. We cannot live with or accommodate the outside world. We never have. We are incapable of change . . . If we are not stopped we will march the world to the Day of the Burning.

Substitute the word "Judaism" for "Islam" in the paragraph above and the rhetoric is worthy of *Der Stürmer* at its stormiest. But, as it stands, the passage is almost a typical one from Leon Uris's very fictional bestseller *The Haj* (Doubleday). Also typical is the fact that Uris places the slur in an Arab's mouth.

How is the establishment press treating Uris in the wake of his 566-page hatefest against the Palestinians?

- Gerald Green, author of *Holocaust*, writes for the *Chicago Sun-Times* syndicate: "If I had the power, I would make *The Haj* required reading for the entire membership of the United Nations." Green appears to accept every word in the book as gospel, and admires and drools over its author.

- *Variety* recently ran a big ad for a documentary film called "The Klan: A Legacy of Hate in America." In large letters near the top of the ad are none other than Leon Uris's words of praise for the Charles Guggenheim film.

- The *Weekend Australian Magazine's* Sally Macmillan still calls Uris a "liberal idealist" in the wake of *The Haj*. She writes

of his "old-fashioned values," such as "a rigid attitude toward the Soviets" (which we somehow doubt is long-held in *his* case).



Leon Uris

Admittedly, some reviewers have been unsparing in their condemnation of Uris. *Newsweek's* Jerry Adler says his book "does not strike a single convincing note in a vast symphony of sound." But he notes how one of Uris's far-out creations, the Arab archaeologist Dr. Mudhil [Mudhill?], is made to sagely observe: "We are a people living in hate, despair and darkness. The Jews are our bridge out of darkness."

The *Washington Post's* Milton Viorst notes that Uris's Arabs "are, without exception, despicable."

In discussing Palestinian culture, Uris seems to have a fixation with excrement, in its diverse forms. It appears regularly, not only in the customary places, but on

bodies, in houses, on streets, in beds. He brings it up constantly among his characters. Deliberately or not, Uris suggests it is a metaphor for Arab life.

Uris's Jews, in contrast, are invariably heroic, intelligent, compassionate even to Arabs and dedicated to the public weal. Their metaphor, as he presents it, is their shelves stacked with books, usually in several languages.

Significantly, Norman Mailer discussed Egyptian culture in excremental terms in *Ancient Evenings*, and Richard Rubenstein did the same for German culture in *After Auschwitz*, which is required reading in many theology schools. The truth, of course, is that Jews, from Freud on down, have been obsessed with "anality" beyond all other peoples. It was they who left the so-called "Israeli calling cards" all over Arab belongings following their June 1982 invasion of Lebanon.

Switching metaphors, Leon Uris is poison, not only for the Islamic world but for the Europeans whom the "ardent Zionist" chooses to live among. In 1968 he married a 25-year-old former model and silversmith named Marjorie Edwards. Very soon they quarreled, and the depressed *shikse* shot herself fatally in the mouth. Two years later, the aging but rich Uris married 22-year-old Jill Peabody, a photographer. The connection, at least so far, has paid off for Jill, because the sweet young thing from nowhere soon found her photography being promoted by the top publishers and praised by the top reviewers. As her husband says, "Critics are like sheep."

☆ ☆ ☆

Hitler's Foreign Soldiers

In 1977 the Swiss military historian Peter Gosztony published a book called *Hitlers Fremde Heere* (Hitler's Foreign Armies).^{*} Most readers were surprised to learn that these foreign auxiliary units had never been requested by Hitler.

It all started with Mussolini, who hemmed and hawed in 1939 when Hitler conquered Poland in 28 days. When his ally invaded Russia in 1941, however, Mussolini, who had already stabbed France in the back in 1940, thought it opportune to join the German drive on Moscow, even though it was against the wishes of Hitler. Il Duce ignored Der Führer's objections and ordered three divisions to the Russian front "because Italy cannot stand aside, but must be actively engaged in this war." Gosztony writes:

Mussolini tried hard to have his troops looked upon as equals of the Germans. In August 1941 he invited Hitler to inspect Italian divisions during a giant parade. The German general Keitel (later hanged at Nuremberg) accompanied Hitler and was shocked at what he saw. He wrote, "Especially the over-age officers make a poor impression, and make one wonder about the 'Kampfkraft' (fighting efficiency) of their troops. How can such 'semi-soldiers' stand up against Russian troops when they had been unable to stand up against the Greek soldiers"

Later the Italian dictator ordered more divisions to leave for Russia, declaring pontifically, "We must not stay behind smaller countries We owe these troops to our German allies." Italy was not the only European state to give military aid to the German cause. Croatia, recently freed from the harsh rule of the Serbs, followed suit, then Romania, Slovakia, Hungary and Spain, with its famous Blue Division. All this without a word from Hitler. These national units must be distinguished from the many thousands of individual volunteers who served with the German armed forces. The national units had been ordered to fight alongside the Germans by their rulers who wanted to impress Hitler when he seemed to be a sure winner. As it turned out, he was not at all impressed.

In regard to Romania, Hitler assured Marshal Antonescu that Germany did not want or expect his participation in the war. But the Romanian dictator declared heatedly that his country would join Germany the moment the invasion of Russia began. He had his way. At Stalingrad the poorly

led and poorly armed Romanian units were totally destroyed, and the Germans were unable to send their own troops in time to prevent a Russian breakthrough. Stalingrad was lost, and with it the German Sixth Army.

As the war progressed and a German victory became more and more doubtful, the friendly cooperation and goodwill between Germans and their allies began to deteriorate. Foreign units complained they were being positioned at the most exposed points in the battle line, while Germans grew more convinced "foreigners" were no match for the Russians. In addition, most non-German troops were poorly equipped for the bitterly cold winter. Massive desertions began. Whole regiments surrendered when Russian T-34 tanks lumbered into sight. Only the Spanish Blue Division held out admirably, as did French units at Berlin, where they fought against Russian armor to the end. As for the non-Germans who were integrated in German units, such as the Waffen SS, they fought like tigers and set new standards of military excellence.

By 1944 the governments of the foreign units had contacted Moscow and offered to withdraw their troops or even to order them to fight against the Germans. Romania's Antonescu was overthrown and shot. Finland left the war and concluded a separate armistice with Moscow, after having lost 59,000 men. The Hungarians (136,000 dead) switched sides and began to fight against the Germans in their midst. Croatia and Slovakia adopted the Hungarian approach, and in both countries a wild period of massacres by Communist-led forces began. Thousands of anti-Russian Croats and Slovaks were murdered.


And Mussolini? In January 1943, when events were already pointing toward a German collapse, he asked Hitler in a letter to "allow the Italian troops a place of honor within the German frontlines, because other tasks like behind-the-front security would be considered an affront to the Italian units in Russia." Hitler declined his fellow dictator's request and had the Italian troops returned to their homeland. He explained, "It makes no sense to comply with his [Mussolini's] request. We supply them with our best arms, but this fact alone does not improve their worth. I will not be fooled again."

Propaganda Minister Dr. Josef Goebbels wrote in his diary, the Italians "cannot be used at the Eastern Front, and they cannot be used in North Africa. They cannot be used in the submarine warfare, not even for their own anti-aircraft at home. Der Führer asks rightfully why the Italians are fighting at all."

In the postwar era it was not wise to mention the eager military conscripts and volunteers who tried to help Germany destroy the Soviet Union. In 1945 soldiers returning from the Russian front were treated like pariahs. Powerful Communist parties in the West demanded that historians refer to them only as "national fascist troops." After the war an almost total silence about the foreign units prevailed, until the Swiss military historian published his book and proved by facts and figures that Hitler had never wanted them and even had some of them sent home when they proved not to be battle-worthy.

222

Misleading Pitch



**HADASSAH
WANTS YOU!**

Join your efforts to the largest movement of women volunteers in the U.S.A.

- Support health, education and land reclamation in Israel
- Youth and education programs in the United States

If the above solicitation is correct, then one of the best ways to meet blondes is to join this splashiest Zionist female organization.

^{*} Published by Econ Publishers, Düsseldorf, West Germany.



Will We Share the Eagle's Fate?

That eagle's fate and mine are one,
Which, on the shaft that made him die,
Espied a feather of his own,
Wherewith he went to soar so high.

Edmund Waller
"To a Lady Singing
a Song of His Composing"

The idea of the eagle struck by a feather from its own wing is proverbial. It appears not only in Aesop's *Fables* and the works of Aeschylus, Euripides and Dionysius of Halicarnassus, but in the poetry of Byron and Thomas Moore.

If one assumes that only Europeans had the genius to create modern technology, but that many other peoples, far more numerous, have the talent to sustain it, then it is possible that future conflicts will deliver us to a fate like the proverbial eagle's. Much more likely, however, the "feather on the fatal dart," in Byron's words, will be our Western medicine and humanitarian impulses, which could finally cause our demographic overwhelming.

The Nordic "aesthetic prop," used increasingly against Nordics, illustrates the same principle. When, in World War II movies, Jewish actors are cast as Nazis and Nordics are cast as Jews, our own virtues, physical and otherwise, are turned wickedly against us.

Last year, ABC ran a miniseries called *The Mystic Warrior*, about a fictitious Sioux Indian named Ahbleza who strove unsuccessfully to unite all Indians in peaceful harmony. He was driven by visions of an approaching enemy, the white man, who was much stronger than all the red tribes combined. Watching the valiant Ahbleza struggling to convince his pig-headed fellows of their impending fate, one was continually reminded of *Instauration's* lonely mission. Two aspects of the program which especially provoked sympathy for the Indian cause was its haunting music -- European music -- and its handsome actors -- also European, in many cases. *The Mystic Warrior* was deeply moving at times -- but the emotions triggered were purely ersatz, in a racial sense.

Yes, one could almost feel those Nordic feathers lining the arrow as it pierced one's bleeding heart!

Outhouse Art

An "artistic montage" largely consisting of a repainted and retouched three-hole outdoor toilet seat has been hailed in the *New York Times* as an authentic work of

the late Dutch immigrant, Willem de Kooning, a producer of some of the ugliest junk in the modern art scene. It will be interesting to see how much the work will fetch when it is put on the auction block. Some de Kooning canvases have sold for as much as \$2 million. One art expert compared the outhouse with a urinal sculpted by Marcel Duchamp in 1917, which is now considered a masterpiece by the Stone Age creatures who officiate as curators of U.S. art museums.

The Greens Are Coming

At a most inopportune time, just when they are getting hell in West Germany for harboring Nazis in their ranks, the Greens are coming to the U.S. Many Americans, including some Instaurationists, have been receiving a pamphlet announcing the birth of a North American Greens Network (P.O. Box 392, New York, NY 10013).

The letter contains slogans that are not exactly hostile to *Instauration's* line of thought: "Neither right nor left but ahead," "Unity through diversity," and suchlike. The "four pillars" of the Greens are prominently underlined: (a) ecological action, (b) social responsibility, (c) base democracy (how base?), (d) nonviolence. A nationwide computer conference is set for sometime in the spring.

The Greens, as we all know, have made quite a splash in West Germany, mainly as a reaction against the stodgy Bonn political machine. They touched a popular nerve with their concern about the environment and about preventing West Germany from becoming the battleground of a nuclear war between Russia and the U.S. It goes without saying that the Greens are all for nonalignment and neutrality. Who can blame them? Instaurationists might also be "neutralists" if they were West Germans, within reach of Russia's biggest -- and smallest -- warheads. Many Germans (and many Americans) realize it would be much more convenient (and less messy) for Russia and the U.S. to slug it out on German territory than to nuke each other's country.

Because *die Grunen* in the Vaterland have not been afraid to speak their minds, they have recently been denounced by various Jewish organizations. The Greens' candidate for President of the Federal Republic is Luise Rinser, a maverick feminist who was jailed by Hitler, but whose studies of the origins of Jewish thought have led her to the conclusion that Jews are responsible for many of the evils of modern society. Moreover, Green parliamentary members have not participated in the servile, all-out support given Israel by the two major par-

ties. Recently, a Green member of the European Parliament, Brigitte Heinrich, was stopped at the Allenby Bridge and refused entry into Israel when she arrived at the border along with an official Middle Eastern delegation. The Israelis claimed that Fraülein Heinrich was a "terrorist."

Who Was First in America?

The name of John Lloyd is now inscribed in the ever lengthening roster of those hardy souls who have been designated possible discoverers of the New World. In 1475, 17 years before Columbus's first voyage, Lloyd, a Welsh seafarer, who also went under the name of John the Skillful, sailed a group of Greenlanders to the land around Hudson Bay. In 1477, when he returned to check on the settlers, he found everyone had frozen to death.

Arthur Davies, a British geographer, claims that Lloyd kept his voyage a secret because he was trading illegally with Greenland and because he didn't want any competition in his search for a Northwest passage to the Orient.

John Lloyd may have beaten Columbus to the New World by 17 years, but according to American scuba divers off the coast of Brazil, the Romans may have beaten Lloyd by 17 centuries. Two hundred Roman amphorae (jars) of the type made in the second century B.C. have been found by two American undersea archaeologists, as well as some remains of the presumably Roman ship that carried them. However, right in the midst of the undersea research in Guanabara Bay, near Rio de Janeiro, the Brazilian Navy cancelled the divers' permits. A few days later a Brazilian dredge arrived on the scene and covered the area with mud. Some superpatriotic Brazilians apparently don't want anyone, especially two gringos, to challenge the universally accepted claim that Brazil was discovered in 1500 by Portuguese navigator Pedro Alvares Cabral.

Budgetary Fangs

The budget is not real; it's merely symbolic. If it were real, the government would be officially bankrupt.

As a symbol, the budget informs us that things are not what they used to be, that the Protestant Ethic is dead in these parts. The country and we the countrymen no longer pay our way, which is paid not by work and profit but by debt and printing presses.

The budget tells us that from a land of producers we have turned into a land of plungers. Half of the population is now laboring to provide handouts for the other half. Soon the ratio may be 25% doers, 75% undoers. Soon most of us will be spending most of our time maintaining and

repairing manufactured goods from abroad, as the USA becomes the United Services of America.

Some day the symbolism of the budget will become harsh reality, as the fuzzy and blurred psychological view of the economy fades and the real picture comes into focus. Then we better hold on to our hats. Inflation will bounce into the double-digit bracket. The government will start singing the old song about price controls, socking it to the rich and taxing everybody and everything in sight. As the black market gets blacker, the ink and the politicians will get redder. It will take a shopping cart full of paper money to fill our shopping carts with cardboard-tasting food. Welfarists will have more dollars than ever, but will never get less for them.

Close to \$200 billion deficits in a time of economic recovery -- in a boom, yet! What will happen at the next downturn in the economic cycle? What will happen when Congress has to double and triple spending to stop food riots and inner-city looting? A yearly \$300, \$400 or \$500 billion deficit will have difficulty remaining symbolic. Somebody, somewhere, will start counting. Somebody, somewhere, will start using a little arithmetic.

One day, my friends, one day the piper will have to be paid. But with chaos, not with dollars. Hidden inside them, some symbols have long and poisonous fangs.

A Different Kind of Immigrant

The Exposition of Nordic Culture and Art held at New York's Lincoln Center in September 1982, featured Vigdís Finnbogadóttir, president of Iceland, as the keynote speaker. She took as her theme the words of Emma Lazarus and their inapplicability to the Scandinavian immigrants who also sailed past "The New Colossus."

The historical fact is that most of the Scandinavians who came here were not "huddled masses" or "wretched refuse." They were sturdy, skilled people who had sufficient ambition to want to better themselves, and who had saved the wherewithal to do it. They were the get-up-and-go people who -- got up and went!

And this was just as true of the Viking Age as it was of the 19th century. The Vikings came thrusting out of their homelands not because they were refugees from poverty and oppression, but because they had tasted the good things of life in Scandinavia -- and wanted an even better place in the sun. And what did they have to "declare"? Genius, yes, in some things -- in their artwork, for instance, in their literature as well. But above all, raw energy.

And those are the very things that the

new Vikings of the 19th century immigrations had to declare in their cultural baggage: raw energy; a capacity for hard, skilled work -- no, more than that, a real desire for hard, skilled work; a love of good craftsmanship, whether in words or in wood; and above all, a reverence for learning. They were farmers, perhaps, who enjoyed cultivating their culture as much as tilling their fields.

Just think of the . . . enormous cultural contribution that the Scandinavian nations have made to the world -- especially when you consider that all the Nordic [i.e., Scandinavian] populations put together only add up to about 20 million.

The complete address appears in the Spring 1984 edition of *Scandinavian Review*.



President of Iceland

Subtract 2 from the 6×10^6

Anyone who knows anything about big-time capitalists knows that they are the last ones to rock the political boat. Though American corporation chiefs generally prefer the Republican Party, they have also contributed heavily to Democratic candidates. But who can name one CEO who has angeled the Populist or other Majority-oriented third parties?

Since the birth of the Third Reich it has been common practice for leftwing and Marxist historians to attribute the rise of Hitler to the financial subsidies of German industrial magnates. To add more myth to this mythology, David Abraham, a Princeton historian, recently wrote *The Collapse of the Weimar Republic*. The book contains dozens of doctored quotes and at least 60

misleading footnotes -- so much fabrication and distortion that even a few members of the cowardly, fact-shy American Historical Association summoned up the courage to denounce Abraham and his work.

One typical Abraham ploy is revealed in his dedication, which reads as follows: "For my parents, who at Auschwitz and elsewhere, suffered the worst consequences of what I can only write about." These heart-tugging words are somewhat obfuscating since Abraham's father and mother are both very much alive.

No Pick Up, No Delivery

Federal Express has decided to stop picking up and delivering to the Bedford Stuyvesant wasteland of Brooklyn. Who can blame it? Its drivers and couriers have been assaulted, wounded, robbed and threatened with death. But prodded by government agencies, which in turn had been prodded by Leonard H. Weiss, one of the few remaining businessmen in the Brooklyn battlefield, Federal Express may have to continue its deliveries or lose government business or, worse, be brought to the dock for racial discrimination.

Which raises an interesting legal point. Can men and women be charged with a crime for refusing to enter a life-threatening combat zone like Bedford Stuyvesant or South Chicago? If so, the Postal Service, in spite of its high wages, United Parcel and other package and delivery services are going to have difficulty finding drivers. And the stock of companies like Federal Express is going to fall like a ton of bricks.

Persecution Plus

Early last November a dozen members of the International Committee Against Racism (what a misnomer!) stormed the office of Dean Bruno Boley of Northwestern University and presented him with an ultimatum: "Fire Arthur Butz." A tenured professor of electrical engineering, Butz is the gutsy author of what may turn out to be one of the most banned books of all time, *The Hoax of the Twentieth Century*.

Boley said he would only speak to three of them. When the others had shuffled off, the remnant persisted in asking him why he hadn't fired Butz. When he replied that he had no intention of doing so, the trio staged a sitdown, warning that they would not leave until Boley caved in to their demands. Boley called the campus police, who arrested the three. They were quickly released under a \$50 bond.





Model Democrats

The controversies surrounding John A. Zaccaro are never-ending: shady associates, chiseling on taxes, helping his wife bend the campaign financing rules, illegally borrowing funds from the estate of an aged nursing home resident, ad nauseam. Zaccaro's dirtiest (known) deeds came early in 1983, when he falsified various documents to make his net worth look like \$21.6 million instead of \$4 million, in order to obtain financing. Through his crooked dealings, Zaccaro stood to gain \$333,000 in brokerage commissions plus an 8% interest in some apartments worth \$12 million. Luckily, some routine auditing last spring of credit union loans put the feds on Zaccaro's trail, and the end result was his guilty plea to a wrist-slap misdemeanor fraud charge.

Calling her husband a "decent, honorable man," Geraldine Ferraro said he had "freely [sic] admitted his mistake" and suffered because of her candidacy (rather than because of the audit). Zaccaro pointed out that he had "received no benefit" from the scam. Quite right -- because he was caught! But he stood to gain at least a million.

If Zaccaro had been a Republican or an ordinary Joe, he would have gone to jail. In Democratic Zoo City, a Democratic judge sentenced him to 150 hours of "community service."

Mr. Druse Speaks Out

Some interesting quotes came out of the *Playboy* interview (July 1984) with Walid Jumblatt, leader of Lebanon's Druse, who are described as "the most homogeneous group in a country of vividly contrasting nationalities, sects and political ideology." Jumblatt, who has a strikingly attractive blonde Jordanian wife, predicted:

America will be invaded by the Hispanics, Mexicans and others. I think that you can't build a fence. The whole Western world will be invaded by the poor of the world, from the south, before they die of hunger.

Describing the Druse for the benefit of the *Playboy* interlocutor, Jumblatt explained, "Well, it's an Islamic sect, an offshoot of Islam. It goes back to the tenth century. Although its roots are in Islam, we were greatly influenced by Greek philosophy, Platonic philosophy . . . Among the Druse Plato and Socrates are venerated."

As for the American intervention in the Lebanese war,

[The *New Jersey*] shelling destroyed entire villages. But that doesn't mean that I have any profound hatred or dislike toward Americans or Westerners . . . They're not responsible. The GIs who went to Beirut are not responsible for Reagan's policies. He decided to send them to be killed . . . I didn't think of them [the Marines] as enemies. But they were ordered to fire on me, so I had to answer back. Poor chaps. I don't think they knew what they were doing in Lebanon . . .

The fault, Jumblatt said, lay elsewhere.

[Henry Kissinger is] partly, or greatly, responsible for our problems . . . He thought it was possible to have separate deals between Israel and the Arab states . . . The policy of Kissinger and Nixon [was]: one, separate Egypt from the Arab world; two: deal with the Palestinians in Lebanon, strike at the Palestinians there; weaken them.

And so it was done.

Spare That File Cabinet

Those who would save Western man from drowning beneath an imposed alien tide should be stockpiling rare books and manuscripts more than guns. The new technology of information storage could make our racial conservators' task easier -- unless the past masters of book-burning take to erasing computer tapes.

The information battle is more than an academic game. It is ultimately a fight for survival. An article in *The Spotlight* by Sasha Rakoczy (Sept. 10, 1984) recounted the tactical use of arson by Israeli secret agents on a global basis. The best-known incidents occurred in Cairo and Alexandria, Egypt, in 1954, when Mossad operatives torched the U.S. Information Agency libraries and tried to pin the blame on "Arab terrorists" (the "Lavon Affair"). But, Rakoczy warns, Egypt is "not the only country where Israeli terror operatives were ordered to burn books, set fire to files and other research documents, and raze the cultural resources of any institution that generated critical or revisionist scholarship about the Middle East."

During the late 1970s, academic research centers in Nantes, France; Hilversum, Netherlands; and Milan, Italy, were destroyed by fires that investigators found to have been deliberately set.

At first, this terrorism was blamed on "right-wing extremists" who had been involved in public disputes with left-radical student groups. Eventually, however,

the acts of arson were traced to David Zameret, identified as the son of an early Israeli spymaster, now himself an agent of the Mossad's Covert Action Detachment.

With the rank of colonel, Zameret subsequently served in Washington as the head of a military purchasing and coordinating mission, an assignment that gave this spy the temporary rank of deputy ambassador.

Last summer's arson attack on the anti-Zionist Institute for Historical Review in Torrance, California, caused Rakoczy to reflect that Jerusalem nearly always places one of its leading terror-oriented Mossad agents into the number-two embassy position in Washington. Zameret, Ephraim Evron and Zvi Rafiah are several of the top Mossad terrorists who have served their country and disserved America as deputy ambassadors to the United States.

The Pontiff's Threat

High-school history teaches us that the papacy gave up or was stripped of the last of its temporal power in the 19th century. Not so. Any reader of *The Year of Armageddon* by Gordon Thomas and Max Morgan-Wits (Grenada, London, 1984) cannot help but come away with the opinion that the Vatican is the center of a worldwide political network. It may be promoting peace, but it is promoting it more as a political than a religious undertaking. The Pope may be cracking down on priests running for or holding office, but he himself in some of his activities seems to be following more in the footsteps of the Renaissance warrior pontiff, Julius II, than in those of the recently deceased "gentle" John XXIII.

The two authors tell us that when the Russians were mobilizing and about to march into Poland to put down Solidarity once and for all, John Paul II is reported to have written the Kremlin that if the Soviet military should interfere in Poland, he would resign his triple crown, return to his native land and personally lead the Polish armed resistance.

The threat worked. The Russian armies stayed put. But the Soviets never forgave the Pope for this power play and their dislike of the papacy grew to such proportions that the KGB ordered its Bulgarian hitmen into action. Mehmet Ali Agca, the professional Turkish assassin, almost pulled it off, his bullets just missing the Pope's vital organs. Agca, by the way, had just ended a torrid homosexual affair with a fellow Turk when he set out on his mission.

The Year of Armageddon leaves the reader with some disturbing thoughts about the aftermath of the attempted assassination. The CIA, at the behest of higher-ups in the Reagan administration, has been urging the Italians to go easy in their investigation of

the Bulgarians, who Agca claims used him as a hired gun. The CIA argued that, since the trail was bound to lead to Moscow, it would only embarrass the Politburo and make it more difficult to come to a Soviet-American arms agreement and restore détente. Such CIA machinations, needless to say, have been most displeasing to the Pope, who forgave Agca after a personal visit to his jail cell, but who will never forgive Agca's paymasters, who happen to be the past and present oppressors of his native land.

They Never Apologize

The newly published papers of the late Colonel Truman Smith have taught us that Charles Lindbergh's "friendliness" toward Nazi Germany was largely a ploy which allowed him access to some of their military secrets. Though Lindbergh admired certain facets of the National Socialist system, he did so as an American citizen with his nation's interest always first in mind. In light of how the media have long smeared Lindbergh for the basic civility which he showed the Nazis, one shudders to imagine the reaction had he gone to Germany in the late 1920s and exhorted the people to join the Brownshirts!

Yet Helen Foster Snow, with and without her husband Edgar Snow, wrote books which praised Chinese communism -- books which *Book World* assures us caused "educated Chinese [to commit] their lives to the Communists"; and, having exerted such an influence, she is still roundly praised by the weekly literary supplement of the *Washington Post*.

Snow's recently published memoir, *My China Years* (Morrow), complains bitterly of the problems she had selling her writings for a brief spell during the McCarthy era. Back in the 1930s Helen and Edgar had started a salon for revolutionaries in Peking. Their pro-Maoist books subsequently achieved translation into many languages, including Chinese, where they probably had the greatest influence. Recently, Helen was nominated for the Nobel Prize for Literature. *Book World's* Jay Mathews concluded his puff piece by calling her "so early, so ahead of her time."

The same reviewer also gushed over Anna Louise Strong, the late "belle of the progressive literature circuit," whose hagiography, *Right in Her Soul*, written by a grandnephew and his wife, has been published by Random House. The authors, we are told,

collected 7,600 pages of government reports, mostly from the FBI. The agency kept a lively interest in this descendant of some of the oldest families in America who often let Communist Party officials review her stories and was married dur-

ing the '30s to the editor of a Soviet newspaper. If they had read her private letters, perhaps the newest and most telling sources in this book, they would have seen how little they had to fear.

Strong, it seems, was "supremely intelligent," "wonderfully open-minded," yet "innocent," with a "church-bred social conscience." But in a revealing passage, Mathews writes, "The disappearance of many of her friends in the Chinese Cultural Revolution eroded her confidence [in the Reds] . . . even while her famous *Letters from China* extolled communes and educational reforms." Still, no irony was intended when Mathews concluded, "she helped many of the rest of us see things more clearly."

That Willie

If Budweiser decides to revise its "Great Black Kings" poster series, it should drop the white Hannibal and add America's contribution to the genre, Willie Brown. When he isn't blaming reactionary honkies for keeping black people poor, the Speaker of the California State Assembly is stuffing his closet with \$1,500 hand-stitched Brionno suits, \$250 red lizard boots, \$50 Pancaldi ties, \$110 Schlogon shorts and filling his garage with a Mercedes, a Porsche Carrera and a Jaguar. "If you can't wear it, drive it or make love to it," says Willie. "I don't want it." That sounds very much like an old Earl Butz joke, but it's not Brown, but a California newspaper publisher, Ernest V. Joiner of Sebastapol, who's in trouble for having written apropos of the Speaker, that "use of the term 'nigger' [is justified] in special cases."



Willie Brown

Rock lovers can see Willie in a recent video by Jefferson Starship, jiving on the dance floor. One scene shows a "U.S. adviser" holding Latin American soldiers on chain leashes.

Last summer, California voters passed Proposition 24, an initiative specifically de-

signed to strip Brown of most of his perks and prerogatives by requiring a two-thirds majority vote for most procedural decisions in the California legislature and removing Brown's power to appoint committee chairmen and members. It also reduced by 30% the legislature's \$130 million budget, which almost doubled in the last four years.

So far Brown has simply defied the will of the California electorate and gone about his old business at the old stand in the manner of black African dictators. Not only are the California legislators too wimpish to implement the law, they haven't even taken Brown to court. All the anti-Brown faction is doing is drafting a new proposition to put before the voters in 1986 in the hope that this one, should it be approved, can bring Brown to heel.

If, on the off chance, Brown is finally tamed and retired from a body in which he is the least capable of all men to serve, he may return to his old law practice in the Haight-Ashbury district, where he made his first claim to fame defending pimps and prostitutes. Meanwhile, after having recently acquired a ticket for driving 95 miles an hour while reading a newspaper, Brown tools nonchalantly around Sacramento in his 12-cylinder black Jag.

Will the Real McCoy Please Stand Up?

A recent four-color flyer hustling subscriptions to *Cobblestone*, a history magazine for kids, contains the following piece of biographical fantasy:

Meet remarkable people -- such as "the real McCoy," a black railroad engineer whose clever invention in the 19th century made his name synonymous with product quality and integrity . . .

Since it would cost \$8.50, the price of the subscription, to find out, we will probably never learn about McCoy's "clever invention." But, ever skeptical about Negro inventors, we found an entirely different McCoy in the *Random House College Dictionary* (1980):

McCoy, n., slang. The genuine thing or person as promised, stated, or implied (usually preceded by "the" or "the real"): "Those other paintings are copies, but this one is the McCoy." Said to refer to a [white] U.S. pugilist, Kid McCoy, distinguishing him from an obscure or inferior boxer of the same name.

The *Encyclopaedia Britannica* (Vol. 3, 949) has its own special McCoy, a white sea captain named William McCoy who smuggled liquor into the U.S. during the bootlegging era and "who took pride in having his cargo known as 'the real McCoy.'"



Cholly Bilderberger



(Continued from front cover)

Speaking of aid to Israel, Clem Bottomley, of the permanent staff at the Bypassing the Executive and the Legislative Action Committee On Behalf of Israeli Budgetary Needs, says, "Not to worry. If Congress or Reagan or Shultz start dragging their feet, we'll go over their heads to the American people. Plus putting the squeeze on all Congressmen and Senators, who talk big in public, but wag their little tails in private. We can deliver 82% of the Senate and 87% of the House of Representatives on any important Israel vote. And Reagan and Shultz know it. And know we know it. They only talk to hear the sound of their own voices."

Ecstatic: Americans everywhere about the success of the public television series *Heritage: Civilization and the Jews*. Officials at WNET, the New York station where the show was produced, have announced that the first national run of the nine-part series on the history of Jews in the development of Western civilization was seen by 50 million people. Interest was particularly strong in the Bible Belt and the western states. There has been a great deal of spinoff. An accompanying book by Abba Eban, the Israeli diplomat who served as host and chief consultant for the series, is a best-seller. Viewer's guides have been snapped up in large numbers to assist discussions in schools throughout the country. More than 150 colleges have used *Heritage* as a course, and more than 500 are expected to do so next fall when the series is rebroadcast.

"We couldn't be happier," says the Reverend Jim Bob Simpson, assistant to Jerry Lumpkin, the founder of *Fair Play in Passion Plays*. "See, the way it works is in layers. First, we had to see that the state of Israel received all-out support so that the prophecy of the angel Gabriel and Billy Sunday and Dr. Graham would be realized -- that the Jews would return to their homeland. Next came the revelations of the Holocaust, which show that Satan could beat on the Jews all he wanted to, but they would get away in large numbers and stronger than ever. Now we're moving into the phase in which all the peoples of the earth, but especially those in my own state of Arkansas and the rest of Dixie and all Americans wherever they are, come to understand that without the Jews there would be no America as we know it because America is the culmination of all Western civilization, and Western civilization could not have moved out of the caveman phase had it not been for the Jews. In their God-mandated position as the Chosen of this world, the Jews have shown us since antiquity how to attain civilization. When we have followed them we have been blessed, with everything from the Holy Grail to television. When we have resisted them, we have gotten into terrible hot water -- Black Plagues, wars, pestilence, the Four Horsemen unleashed, Hitler and Idi Amin, and just

plain savagery in general. This series explains how it all works, and should be seen by every American at least twice a year. Accompanied by discussion or college courses." The same sentiments are echoed by Gervase Bracklay, now Toynbee Professor of Civilization and Challenge at Yale. Professor Bracklay, the author of 43 books on the perils, past and present, facing civilization, began his career at Cambridge, and was much influenced by Bertrand Russell, "Freddie" Ayers and Gerald Heard. In his youth he found time to be linked romantically with Iris Tree, Nancy Cunard and E.M. Forster. He served as Field Marshal Montgomery's personal adviser on civilization during World War II, and later filled the same role on an informal basis for many British and American groups. "The Reverend Jim Bob puts it crudely," he says, "but not inaccurately. Without the Jews, Western civilization would not have happened -- after all, they supplied the bedrock for the religion which was its foundation -- and could not have been maintained. I very much hope that the *Heritage* series will assist in creating permanent realization of this fact, not only in this generation of young people, but in all generations to come. I also hope that the next step in world education will be a series dealing with the future as brilliantly as *Heritage* dealt with the past. Perhaps simply called *Hope*, it could show us a future in which Jewish values and ethical responsibility triumph at last over non-Jewish indifference and moral lethargy in the West. Under Jewish leadership -- finally overt and 'out of the closet' -- we might be able to surmount those challenges which every civilization to date has failed."

In a recent poll taken by the Friends of Incoming Hispanics Ad Hoc Pro Tem and De Jure Committee of 1,562 high-school students in the Miami area, only 27% were aware that Cuba is not part of the United States. 34% do not speak English and 11% do not speak Spanish. The rest -- 55% -- are bilingual. 8% thought Fidel Castro was governor of Florida, and 32% did not know that Florida was part of the USA. Only 42% could identify Ronald Reagan as president of the country. 73% thought Texas was part of Mexico. 87% did not realize that unlimited immigration is theoretically illegal. 2% had heard of the *Mayflower* and Plymouth Rock. 0% had heard of Jamestown. Only 4% could identify the opposing sides in the Civil War. 92% had heard of the Alamo, but only 19% could identify the defenders correctly.

To the Point: A bulletin from the John Garfield/ Arthur Rubenstein/Clifford Odets/Lillian Hellman Chapter (Mid-Manhattan, East Side) of the Find Josef Mengele for Elie Wiesel and Anne Frank National Pledge, in which the following suggestion is made: "When caught, Mengele

should be taken to Jerusalem in irons, and tried and convicted, and then executed in front of the Wailing Wall. All top officials of the U.S. State Department and Pentagon, the organization which shielded him for so many years, should be forced to attend the execution, as should President Reagan, Elizabeth II and other heads of state. After Mengele is pronounced dead, they all should abase themselves before the Wailing Wall in appropriate fashion and beg forgiveness for having allowed the monster to elude justice for so long."

— — —

Literary Find of the Decade: The Civil War diaries of William Coffin Peabody, the Boston aristocrat who organized the Crispus Attucks Regiment, composed entirely of runaway slaves, and led them to victory as a top fighting unit in General Sherman's Army. Colonel Peabody, enlightened and amazingly anticipatory of attitudes scores of years in the future, had to keep many of his reflections confined to these private memoirs. The diaries lay for over a century in the attic of a distant cousin, Imogene Lowell, in Wellfleet, Massachusetts. They were discovered a few months ago by Cabot Portnoy, Senior Fellow for Trans-African Studies at Harvard. Dr. Portnoy is currently readying them for publication. We are privileged to be able to offer some extracts:

"May 13, 1864, near Dalton, Georgia: Heavy fighting yesterday, my men distinguished themselves as always. Thoughts of home — order, the piety of our leading men, which we so take for granted, Thoreau, Emerson — and then compare with the pathetic barbarism of the whites we see here. And they in marked contrast to the splendid-looking, and remarkably intelligent slaves who throng to us Yesterday, a chess game and a discussion on freedom — Platonic conception of — with an ex-slave named Scipio. Contrast with Captain Sumner, a wretched specimen from the 14th, who came to me complaining that his men could not bunk down in the former quarters of some slaves on a plantation near here because of 'the nigger stench.' Went to the quarters with him and found his men stinking so formidably that no other odor could be detected. For my own enlightenment, went into slave quarters elsewhere which were pristine — as they were, no whites having billeted there — and inhaled deeply to find only the pleasing muskiness of Negroes in a close space for many years. Far less offensive than a white smell under comparable circumstances. In fact, not offensive at all, but invigorating and attractive.

"May 26, 1864, Dallas, Georgia: Scipio has now joined the Regiment and he and Mrs. Scipio — Letitia — came to tea yesterday afternoon. Their perfect and instinctive breeding in contrast to the crudity of Southern whites. They made no mistakes with teacups, utensils and little cakes, and kept up a steady flow of conversation which would have passed with high colors in Louisberg Square, or even Concord Compare with a silly woman named Hortense Pelham who came to see me to assert that two of my men stole some chickens from her and cooked and ate them in full view of her house, and subsequently raped her mother. A preposterous story, which I disproved by interrogating the men in front of her. She insulted them by

calling them liars, and I had her escorted back through our lines. There is no limit to the mendacity of Southerners. . . . A walk with Mrs. Scipio — Letitia — along the banks of a pretty stream near here. I complimented her on her frock, and she told me she had copied it from one of her mistress's Paris importations. I confess that I am amazed at the remarkable skills of these ex-slaves. And their charm. And beauty — Letitia has the form of a goddess and as noble and beautiful a face as any I have seen in any part of New England.

"July 9, 1864, before Atlanta: A long walk with Scipio after our daily chess game. He is concerned about his future, and I told him I would sponsor him for Harvard College. However, his spelling and writing are a bit rusty, and I suggested that he return to the plantation where he formerly lived — many miles north of here — in order to find the peace and quiet he needs to brush up on his studies. After this cruel war is ended, I shall send for him, and he can come to Boston. He was not altogether enthusiastic about this suggestion, but changed his mind when I gave him a horse and arms and a letter authorizing him to make the necessary requisitions from civilians along the way and at the plantation for his personal needs and comfort Later, a lovely ride with Letitia, during the course of which I outlined Scipio's plans for his future, and my regrets that she could not accompany him back to the plantation because of the dangers in this wartorn area, but would remain with the regiment under my protection. She nodded her head gravely and then gave me a radiant smile. I shall buy her a new riding habit when we take Atlanta In the evening, a talk to the men on the debt owed them by their former owners, and ways and means of collecting it in full. Read Plutarch's *Amatorius* before retiring, and derived much edification from it. Also wrote a letter to Mr. and Mrs. Gibbs, the parents of Private Gibbs, who was discovered in *flagrante delicto* with General Sherman's horse last week and sentenced to three months confinement. I explained to them that the sentence was based on the color of his skin and not on the evidence; and that I am lodging an appeal with the reviewing court and the senior adjutant."

Eagle

Let eagles soar where eagles dare,
Beyond the smoke-filled plain,
Where mortals fill their stagnant air
With talk of wealth and fame.

Allow that single soaring beast
To rise on currents proud,
Above the warm and earthly feast
So valued by the crowd.


Let winter close around his home
In mountains lost from sight,
Where from his crag -- and quite alone --
He rules the Arctic night.

I've been sounding off on the dispiriting state of American television for several months now. Judging from the mail, at least a few Instaurionists have been reading the Satcom Sam column, perhaps more than a few, if we're to believe that old adage that only one out of a hundred subscribers ever writes a letter to a magazine. Since my correspondents have made some interesting points about America's electromagnetic obsession, I am going to let them take over my column this month, while I take an undeserved break.

□ I generally do not watch much TV -- not more than 10 hours per week -- but I must confess to being a fan of *Star Trek*, currently being rerun each afternoon on a local station. It is the only "integrated" program I watch. I probably react to *Star Trek* the same way all too many Majority members react to everyday life -- I blink away the non-whites.


Blasphemy of blasphemies, Mr. Spock is my favorite *Star Trek* character. In many ways he comes close to acting like a Nordic -- cool, self-composed, thoughtful and logical. An outer-space Marlboro

Man with pointed ears. *Star Trek* seems to concentrate on contrasting human emotionalism with Vulcan unemotion-alism, though I'm not sure the contest can't be more properly described as mudmanism vs. Nordicism. To see Mr. Spock neck-deep in emotional humans is to see Nordic man neck-deep in the dark-white and colored races.



Race-mixing is a common *Star Trek* theme, a number of the programs being nothing but miscegenation messages of the utmost transparency. Spock, himself a mixed breed, is often a victim of the disruptive interior stresses produced by hybridism, since he is constantly tormented by his Vulcan devil warring against his human devil. It is curious that the *Star Trek* producers and writers have capitalized on a theme which accentuates the problems caused by gene mixing. Possibly they didn't think their viewers were intelligent enough to draw the appropriate parallels between alien and human mixes and black and white mixes. In Spock's case, his Vulcan half dominates his human half, which to me is the same as a Swedish Italian striving to be Swedish -- an almost unthinkable turn of events in this late, anti-Nordic 20th century.

Of course, I realize that the real Spock is a Jewish actor named Leonard Nimoy, just as I know that Captain Kirk is the Jewish actor William Shatner. But when it comes to actors, it's not what they are, but how they play their roles. Spock, no matter what his chromosomes, plays a man of Nordic temperament, just as Shatner with his peroxidized hair plays a naval officer with a Nordic sense of order and command.



Two other TV productions attracted my attention recently. The first was a rerun of a mid-70s flick, *The Norseman*, starring Lee Majors. Besides the horned helmets, the prime inaccuracy I noticed was the presence of a black Viking -- woolly hair, thick lips, prognathous jaw, receding chin, flat nose, burnt-toast eyes and all the rest. I may be wrong, but I suspect there were about as many Negroes on longships as there will be on starships. Can you imagine *Homo Erectus Rhodesianensis* (I use the name advisedly) ever taking "one giant leap for mankind" in any direction other than backwards?

□ Am I the only one who is utterly repulsed by those inane little TV spiels they run during all the football games urging young people to "join the Armed Forces"? Is this what Western civilization has come to -- military service "marketed" by ad agency sharpies along with their beer, dental glue and laundry detergent accounts? "Demographic research" has told them that the age group they're after watches Sunday afternoon football, and on such research rests the success of the defense of our Western civilization! Is this the way Alexander the Great recruited his armies? Did Hermann the Cherusker summon up the manpower to defy the Roman legions with a 60-second commercial promising an education in "electronics and computers"? Surely, whatever the inequities of the draft, it had more dignity than this.

□ Recently Leroi Jones/Imamu Amiri Baraka, the high-octane Negro racist with the Jewish ex-wife, appeared on PBS's *Late Night America*. Dennis Wholey, the wimpy, ex-alcoholic host, slobbered all over him, serving up the usual combination of creampuff questions and worshipful respect, while Jones/Baraka worked an overworked vein in an overworked mine. All very predictable to an Instaurionist. Leroi's peak "moment of rage" (rage being, after all, what pays his rent) came in response to some hapless

white who called in and suggested that a considerable part of the Negro's problem was his poor performance in school -- primarily because he doesn't put much time in the three Rs, especially the first one. Jones immediately shifted into overdrive. Why, he screamed, it used to be a crime to teach a black child how to read, etc., etc. We've all heard it before. In the antebellum South it was indeed difficult for blacks to become literate, as Baraka proclaimed, but in their African homeland they had much more difficulty -- not because it was "against the law" but because they had no written language and consequently no books.

□ The other night I was watching the *Tonight Show* on one of those many occasions when Joan Rivers (née Molinsky) was filling in for Johnny. Her final guest was Dr. Ruth Westheimer, the 4'7" German-Jewish sex therapist, who is fast acquiring a national reputation on the order of Dear Abby (née Friedman) or Dr. Joyce Brothers (née Rapoport). Within minutes the talk was of masturbation, clitoral and vaginal orgasms, erection dilemmas and all the other "intimate" jargon we hear on media outlets trying to boost their ratings. Dr. Ruth's foul-mouthed rantings indicated the average Majority member's uneasiness about open discussion of sexual matters. I couldn't help but reflect that this uneasiness -- and indeed the whole modern phenomenon of the Dr. Ruths and the mania for the public airing of matters once considered private -- is really very similar to the Buscaglia syndrome (*Instauration*, April 1984). The Northern European, who has a natural reserve about sexual concerns, especially in mixed company, is inherently predisposed to regard sex as an intensely private affair, with its proper situs being behind the proverbial closed doors. One cannot help but feel that this relentless drive toward stripping sex of its highly personal context, whether in Philip Roth's fiction or Dr. Ruth's "advice," is yet another cultural imposition of the Levant upon the West. The pressure makes us feel uncomfortable and guilty and persuades many of us to question our deepest (and best) instincts. Not only have we been pilloried for our racial exclusivity (racial privacy), but now we are being ridiculed for our habit of sexual privacy.

□ Bryant Gumbel's ostensible job is co-host of NBC's *Today Show* (Steve Friedman, producer). His real job is to hammer home the black-is-just-like-you-and-me myth into the minds of white Americans for two hours a day, five days a week, 52 weeks a year. We've come a long way, too long a way, from the days of Dave Garroway and Jack Lescaulie.

□ Here is what a TV publication had to say last summer about the ABC series, *Call to Glory*. "Craig T. Nelson stars as Air Force Col. Raynor Sarnac, a half-Indian fighter jockey with all the right stuff, both in the air and at home where his wife (Cindy Pickett) and three children live with the endless tension of his perilous profession."

By the gods, the Colonel is half-Indian! That Nelson, who acts like a wooden Indian, has not one redskin in his family tree, is beside the point. The series was supposed to

appeal to the "new patriotism." Having had some exposure to American television, I knew in advance what variety of patriotism would be pushed. One episode I caught dealt with the Colonel's son and his black friend defending themselves against a gang of white racists. After a few minutes viewing of another segment about atrocities committed on Viet Cong prisoners of war by our South Vietnam allies, I tuned out for good. They never let up.

□ Rabbi Meir Kahane was on ABC's *Nightline* with Ted Koppel (Oct. 22, 1984). Contrary to the host's usual practice, the guest was given what was virtually a rhetorical carte blanche to explain his program (pogrom?) for an all-Jewish, zero-Arab Israel. Not one single question was asked. Imagine how Koppel would have reacted if the visiting racist had been a non-Jew. Ted's eyes would have squinted, his jaw would have clenched and said guest would have been peppered with so many hostile queries he'd hardly have been able to open his mouth.

□ During an interview on PBS (June 14, 1981), actress Jane Alexander discussed her long association with *The Great White Hope*, one of the most popular race-mixing potboilers. She played the white girlfriend of the black prize fighter, John Henry Johnson. In one melodramatic scene, after a ferocious argument with her black paramour, she commits suicide by jumping down a well. Later the black "hero" goes into a sobbing fit over her body. Miss Alexander recalled during the early 1960s, when audiences were overwhelmingly white, she would always hear accompanying sobs from the audience. But in the late 1960s, when the ticket buyers had become overwhelmingly black, the audience "cheered and laughed."

Ponderable Quotes

We advocate the preservation of conditions favourable to the growth of beautiful things -- imposing palaces, beautiful cities, elegant literature, reposeful art and music, and a physically select human type such as only luxury and a pure racial strain can produce. Thus we oppose democracy, if only because it would retard the development of a handsome Nordic breed.

H.P. Lovecraft

Can the whites succeed? How can they succeed if the gospel itself rejects everything that white society attempts to maintain and defend? How can the whites succeed if the gospel of liberation that Jesus Christ effects condemns white "Christianity"? . . . This "Christian civilization" is established on self-centeredness, selfishness, murder, and the theft of the land.

Dr. Allan Boesak, President
World Alliance of Reformed
Churches

Talking Numbers

In spite of all he has done for Jewry, in spite of the billions upon billions he has heaped upon the state of Israel, 56% of Jews, says the *New York Times* (December 3, 1984), still regard Reagan unfavorably. That's only four points less than their high disregard for Jesse Jackson. All of which demonstrates that the grandiose neoconservative (Jewish) attempt to woo Jews to the Republican cause has been rather much of a failure.

#

From 1976, when the Supreme Court relaxed some of its restrictions on the death penalty, through 1984, 31 murderers have walked the last mile -- 10 blacks, 21 whites, no Jews. Today, 1,450 convicted murderers (40% of them black) inhabit death rows in the 38 states which are not afraid to buck the liberal permissiveness that outlaws capital punishment and consequently encourages capital crimes.

#

Ruth Love draws down \$120,000 a year for presiding over the Chicago Public School System, the student body of which is now only 15% white. The contract of the highest-paid school superintendent in the country expired on March 25 and the Chicago School Board voted not to renew it. Claiming she was fired because she was "black and female," Love has filed a \$4.5 million suit against the country's second biggest municipal disaster.

#

State legislators in Alaska pocket \$48,000 annually; in New York, \$43,000; in New Hampshire, \$100. Alaska lawmakers sit and supposedly work for 120 days a year; New York, 2 to 4 days weekly for six months; New Hampshire, 45 days a year.

#

Japan so far has accepted only a few hundred refugees a year from Southeast Asia, in contrast to the 700,000 taken in by the U.S. and the hundreds of thousands resettled in Canada, Malaysia, China, Australia, Thailand and France. A few months ago Japan finally -- and grudgingly -- agreed to raise its Southeast Asia refugee quota to 5,000 a year.

#

14 male prisoners in a Kansas City (Missouri) prison have charged they were strip-searched by women guards. The warden was not moved. He said the local prison system would not change its habit of treating men and women guards exactly alike. To make strip-searches of men the exclusive duty of men would be rank sexism.

A few years after the 1917 Bolshevik putsch, Harbin, once but no longer the Paris of Manchuria, had a population of 100,000 White Russians. Now it has fewer than 65.

#

Talk about Adolf's bunker! The West German government has built a \$1.7 billion nuclear bomb shelter 1,000 feet under the Ahr Valley. It can house and feed 3,000 politicians and bureaucrats for two years. The 18-mile maze of tunnels include some that were used for assembling V-2 rockets in WWII.

#

Roger Ebert, an otherwise intelligent Chicago movie critic, wrote disingenuously in the *Sun-Times* (Sept. 27, 1984), he had "learned that 41 years ago there were 800,000 Jewish farmers living in Hungary, and that today only 20 of their descendants still survive. The rest were the victims of Hitler . . ." Before the war there were less than 450,000 Jews in Hungary (*American Jewish Yearbook, 1941-42*). As for farmers, Jews have never been known to crowd into that poorly-recompensed line of work.

#

One vice-president, 4 governors, 43 mayors, 44 judges, 60 legislators and 260 sheriffs and policemen were indicted for corruption in the 1970s. (John T. Noonan Jr., *Bribes*, Macmillan, 1984)

#

There were 6,250,613,000 crossings of U.S. borders by visitors, citizens and permanent resident aliens between 1941 and 1980, according to INS records. The ten-digit figure does not include the goings and comings (mostly comings) of illegal aliens.

#

Boston Latin School's 2,300 students must take at least 5 years of Latin and somewhat less Greek (the language, that is, of Aeschylus, not Melina Mercouri). Before the day of desegregation dawned, minority students comprised less than 10% of the student body (grades 7-12). Today they're 30%, a feat accomplished by devising two different entrance exams -- one for whites, one for blacks and Hispanics. Nevertheless, 40% of minority students embarrassingly drop out by the end of their first year. Boston Latin may decide to solve this problem by two different graduation standards. This may work for Latin and Greek in a vestigial learning establishment, but what about two different standards for a civil engineering degree at MIT? On that day ferries on the Hudson River and San Francisco Bay will become very popular again.

In 1953, 2 billion unscared passengers rode the graffiti-splattered Zoo City subway. By last year the number had dropped to 1 billion terrified riders. What used to cost a nickel not so long ago now costs 90¢.

#

Hassanal Bolkiah, the Sultan of Brunei (population 210,000), lives with a pack of fawning courtiers in a \$500-million pleasure dome of 1,788 rooms. He is now building a separate palace for his second wife.

#

The Smithsonian Institution's plans to build a \$75-million Islamic art and cultural center underneath the Washington Mall is being held up by Rep. Sidney Yates (D-IL), who is worried about security and whether a Saudi subsidy of the project has "any strings attached." The Jewish congressman had no objection whatever to the construction of an equally expensive Holocaust memory jogger only a few blocks away.

#

Racial, religious and occupational run-down on the 99th Congress:

	House	Senate
Women	11D, 11 R	2R
Hispanics	12 D	0
Blacks	20 D	0
Catholics	82 D, 43 R	11 D, 8 R
Jews	23 D, 7 R	4 D, 5 R
Lawyers	121 D, 69 R	32 D, 29 R

Two of the Hispanics listed above and one the blacks are non-voting delegates, representing, respectively, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands and District of Columbia. The Jewish count exceeds the "official" media figure by 1 in both the House and Senate. *Instauration* defines Rep. Mickey Edwards of Oklahoma and Sen. William Cohen of Maine as Jews, even though the former has converted to Christianity and the latter did not have a Jewish mother.

#

Saudi Arabia has given \$700,000 to Israel for make-work projects in Bethlehem. The birthplace of Jesus has a Palestinian Arab mayor.

#

In the 5 years ending Oct. 31, 1984, *Washington Post* newsmen resorted to the term "far right" 390 times; "far left" 131; "ultra-right" 47; "ultra-left" 12; "ultraconservative" 74; "ultraliberal" 27. 56% of stories dealing with extremists referred to rightists, 5% to leftists. "Archconservative" appeared in 58 *Post* pieces; "archliberal" in only 1. In the TV network coverage of the presidential campaign, "far right" was used 10 times more frequently than "far left." (*Conservative Digest*, Jan. 1985, p. 47)



Rep. WILLIAM GRAY III (D-PA) is the new chairman of the House Budget Committee, not exactly an unimportant post in these high-deficit times. But it is doubtful if the black congressman will fire up any campaign to cut anything except defense. Gray is an ordained minister of the Baptist Bright Hope Church of North Philadelphia, where he preaches regularly. Church and state? Being a big-city Negro, he has nothing to worry about. It's only right-wing white preachers who are ordered by the *New York Times* to get out or stay out of politics.

☆ ☆ ☆

An outfit that calls itself the **ISRAELI COMMANDOS** and claims to have cells in Buffalo, Cleveland and Pittsburgh has warned the town fathers of Hayden Lake, Idaho, that they better get rid of the Aryan Nations Church "in six months" or "we will go in and rid you of them once and for all."

☆ ☆ ☆

On page 606 of the *Irish American Who's Who* **Archbishop JOHN J. O'CONNOR** (NYC) lists as one of his achievements and honors his selection as "B'nai B'rith Man of the Year"!

☆ ☆ ☆

ANGELA DAVIS, the perennial Communist Party candidate for Vice-President, has been ordered by a San Diego judge to pay \$2,212, which represents interest and principal on the defaulted 3% student loan that she received way back in 1967 when she was enrolled in the University of California. Davis is now a well-paid professor at San Francisco State University.

☆ ☆ ☆

From sundown Friday to sundown Saturday, the lift in **RENÉE REICHMANN's** mansion in Vancouver, B.C., moves automatically every ten minutes from the first to the second floor and back again. This "Sabbath elevator" is designed to raise and lower the 82-year-old millionairess without the necessity of her touching the elevator button, one of the myriad forms of work forbidden to Orthodox Jews and Jewesses on their Day of Rest. The Reichmanns arrived in Canada from France by way of Morocco 30 years ago. Today the family has amassed some \$13 billion in assets. Just one of their businesses, Olympia York, the real estate investment firm of which Renée is chairperson, makes a profit of \$700,000 a day. The Reichmanns are the moneybags behind the Simon Wiesenthal Holocaust racket in Los Angeles.

At least he had good taste in women. **MICHAEL J. MATTHEWS**, the former Atlantic City mayor who was sentenced on New Year's Eve to 15 years in prison for "selling his office" to organized crime, had been tape-recorded by undercover federal agents. At one meeting in the West Indies, while sipping drinks with an FBI man, the playboy-official recalled judging a Miss Penthouse beauty contest: "Boy, you ought to see the girls from these . . . countries. The girl from South Africa is a doll baby. A girl from, it used to be Rhodesia . . . a doll baby. The girl from Canada is no slouch, either."

☆ ☆ ☆

On December 15, the professional fraternity **SIGMA PI PHI** held a private dinner-dance at the Philadelphia Art Museum for 120 of its elite black members and their friends. The next morning, a \$100,000 oil painting was missing. A police source reported that security had been very lax: "Some of the men had briefcases and nobody was searched, and guests had the run of the place. The guards felt intimidated by all these important people in tuxes and evening gowns." On the assumption that the painting was "too hot" to remain in Pennsylvania, the FBI entered the case, and subpoenaed the guest list of 120, which included Mayor Wilson Goode.

☆ ☆ ☆

Miami's Puerto Rican mayor, Maurice Ferre, is annoyed by some of the rosy reporting on local race relations. "Blacks and Cubans don't get along just fine," he says. "There is antipathy." What bothers Ferre most is the media coverage of the city's periodic race riots, epitomized for him by a picture in the French magazine **PARIS MATCH**, which showed black youths carrying a casket: "What they were doing was looting, putting goods into the casket. But the caption with the picture said, 'Three Miami blacks carry one of their fallen comrades.'"

☆ ☆ ☆

She only did what people have been shot for doing in other wars . . . **JANE FONDA**, now 46, who damned America from Hanoi in 1972, is now turning up in opinion polls as perhaps the most popular female entertainer in the land. "Opportunities to generate vast amounts of money pop up right and left," writes John M. Wilson in the *Chicago Tribune*, "and she has seized many of them." (Compare the economic fate of Anita Bryant, a nationally known personality before she spoke out against "gay rights.")

Two white Louisville youths got lost in the local ghetto on their way to a football game last fall. They kept stopping to ask directions. At about 9 P.M., two black toughs named **GEORGE WADE** and **VICTOR TAYLOR** abducted them in a restaurant parking lot. Next came robbery, sodomy and a couple of fatal shots in each white head.

☆ ☆ ☆

The most ambitious pol in New York may be Manhattan borough president **ANDREW STEIN**, who wants to be the first Jewish President. Stein's rich father, **JERRY FINKELSTEIN**, founder of the *New York Law Journal*, was a pal of **NELSON ROCKEFELLER**, who helped get young Andrew appointed to the chairmanship of an important state committee. Last fall, Stein, now 39, contested the East Side Manhattan congressional seat of Bill Green, a liberal Jewish Republican. New York's 15th or "Silk Stocking" District has the highest per capita income among the 435 congressional districts nationwide, and has served as the launching pad for politicians like **JOHN LINDSAY** and **ED KOCH**. Appropriately, Green vs. Stein probably outspent most of the other 434 races. Voter eyebrows were raised over the vast sums contributed to Stein by the same real estate firms which also take a lively interest in his zoning decisions as borough president. This may explain why Mr. Ambition got only 44% of the vote.

☆ ☆ ☆

Wall Street swindler **JOHN EFLER** has been sentenced to 20 years in prison. Over a two-year period, while employed by the Prudential-Bache brokerage firm, he issued \$18.9 million in dividend checks to bogus companies, which then kicked back half the loot to him. With his \$9 million share, Efler consumed most conspicuously, while investing in a lesbian bar, a gay bar, a sex club and a "sex art gallery." He also paid a mobster half a million for "protection." \$5.5 million remains unaccounted for. Since Prudential-Bache's loss was covered by an Aetna insurance policy, all us little people will long be paying for Efler's gambling, prostitutes, race horses, flashy cars and overpriced jewelry.

☆ ☆ ☆

Convicted of draft dodging, once considered the most heinous of crimes, **MUHAMMAD (Cassius Clay) ALI** nevertheless maintains his hero status. In fact, some years ago the politically hep Supreme Court even went out of its way to reverse the lower court's verdict. Now the punch-drunk ex-World Heavyweight Boxing Champ is suing the government for \$50 million for refusing to classify him as a conscientious objector during the Vietnam fiasco.

Primate Watch



As *Instauration* has already pointed out, the new junior senator from Illinois, **PAUL SIMON**, who claims to be a Lutheran, has let it be widely known that he has pinned a mezuzah on his doorpost. Not to be outdone, another non-Jewish legislator, **Rep. MARK SILJANDER** of Michigan, has informed the media that he displays a mezuzah with its scriptural Jewish homily at the entrance of his congressional suite of offices. As reinsurance, the born-again Christian congressman has a second mezuzah tacked on the door of his personal office.

☆ ☆ ☆

For many years World Publishing was the world's leading seller of the King James Bible. A few months ago **DAVID ZEVIN**, who headed the publishing house from 1945 to 1966, expired in Miami Beach. He was a national director of Americans for Democratic Action and prominent in Jewish community affairs.

☆ ☆ ☆

FIDEL CASTRO'S SON has just completed a visit to Israel. Since the Israeli foreign ministry is practically a wholly owned subsidiary of the U.S. State Department (or vice versa), this may be a sign that U.S.-Cuban relations are on the mend. Already Papa Castro has agreed to take back 2,746 of the 25,000 or 30,000 criminals, mentally ill and other misfits that came here on the Mariel boatlift. The catch, the big catch, is that the U.S. will now accept 3,000 more Cuban political prisoners, as well as approximately 300,000 family members of the 100,000 or so Marielitos who are being given permanent resident status. This renewed immigration from Cuba probably means the end of Miami as anything approaching an American city, since most Cubans settle there.

☆ ☆ ☆

As the 1984 presidential election approached, **NANCY REAGAN** was told to cool her constant socializing with the likes of **BETSY BLOOMINGDALE**, wife of the late Diner's Club pervert, and **JERRY ZIPKIN**, a Zoo City flutterbug. After the electoral landslide, she immediately took up with her dear friends again and now sees them more than ever.

☆ ☆ ☆

The U.S. government charged that **HAROLD ROSENTHAL**, 53, ran the biggest cocaine smuggling ring in history. In November a court sentenced him to life and a \$425,000 fine. Rosenthal claimed, unsuccessfully, that he had been working for the CIA.

Brooklyn District Attorney **ELIZABETH HOLTZMAN**, the nation's leading female Nazi hunter, inexplicably dropped charges against 15 landlords and real estate people and two "torchers" for burning several occupied buildings and plotting to burn ten others in a multimillion-dollar arson-for-profit scam. During the investigation Holtzman refused to testify about misconduct charges against her office which arose in the course of a 4-year investigation. One of those let off the hook was Rabbi Abraham Slochowsky, a former principal of a Brooklyn Jewish school, who has already been convicted of arson and racketeering and is now in prison. Holtzman, who recently made a headline-loaded trip to Paraguay to find Josef Mengele, the Auschwitz sawbones, seems more interested in prosecuting an aged German refugee, or his ghost, than criminals in her own backyard.

☆ ☆ ☆

LUIS RIVERA, 14, was arrested for the murder of Barbara Purvis, a 37-year-old mother of two, who was dragged from a Brooklyn street while returning from a bingo game and raped and stabbed to death in the foyer of a housing project -- within earshot and eyesight of dozens of apartment dwellers. The rape-murder took all of 15 minutes, during which time the onlookers did nothing to help the dying woman. No one even bothered to call the police until Mrs. Purvis was practically dead.

☆ ☆ ☆

It was inevitable. **ZE'EV CHAFETS**, born in Wisconsin, currently the director of the Israeli Government Press Office, has written *Double Vision: How the Press Distorts America's View of the Middle East* (Morrow, \$16.95). The author's thesis is that the American media have been unfair to Israel.

☆ ☆ ☆

Between January 1980 and the summer of 1984, \$2.7 million in federal aid was given to the Greater Pittsburgh Business Development Corporation, an agency ostensibly created to help minority-owned firms compete in the marketplace. A recent audit determined that nearly \$800,000 was misspent, and, additionally, that nearly 50% of the \$1.3 million in loans granted had ended in default. It is now believed that some of the defaulting firms never existed. **BURREL A. BROWN** was the black president of the agency during those years, but he and his staff have not yet been charged with any crime. At last report Brown, a former NAACP chapter president, was still active in community affairs and had been nominated for the presidency of a black lawyers' group.

After a media blitz in the U.S. and Europe for receiving the Nobel Prize and for his support of anti-white black terrorists in South Africa, **BISHOP DESMOND TUTU** flew off, not to starving Ethiopia, where blacks really need help, but to South Africa, where they enjoy the highest living standard of all their racial cousins anywhere on the continent. What Tutu didn't tell Western audiences is that South African blacks, not South African whites, have been the principal killers of blacks of late. Deputy Mayor Sam Dlamini was recently hacked to death by 12 black radicals. Deputy Mayor Phillemon Diphoko of Evaton was stoned to death by 40 black militants. Mayor A.P. Kuhlamo of Katshehong was fire-bombed, together with his family, by blacks who accused him of selling out to whites. Altogether more than 30 black mayors or lesser politicians have been assaulted by fellow blacks in the last three months.

☆ ☆ ☆

The District of Columbia is planning to issue \$1 billion in bonds. Watergater John Mitchell, a specialist in the municipal bond business, said he was against the idea until Mayor **MARION BARRY** and his cronies stopped running their welfare fief "like the Amos 'n' Andy Taxicab Company." **DAVID CLARK**, the chairman of the D.C. Council, a white who keeps a cautious weather eye out for the black vote, denounced Mitchell's statement as "racist."

☆ ☆ ☆

DAN RATHER recently spent some of his precious air time on the Ogorodnikovs, a Russian couple who had inveigled Richard W. Miller, an FBI agent and excommunicated Mormon, into becoming a Soviet spy. What Injun Dan failed to relate was that Nikolay Ogorodnikov was really a Russian refusenik named Wolfson, who adopted his Gentile wife's name after their marriage.

☆ ☆ ☆

It was so nice and reassuring after the Miss America contretemps to have a decent young black lady like **KRISTINA KAYE SMITH** as Queen of the 1985 Pasadena Tournament of Roses. So nice and reassuring until it came out she had been fired from her sales clerk job a few months earlier for placing \$21 she had received from a customer not in the cash register where it belonged, but under the counter where the money could eventually belong to anyone, maybe even her. For this L.A. police gave Smith a petty theft citation. Nevertheless, the show had to go on. Tournament of Roses officials, thankful at least that she had not posed for hardcore lesbian pictures, cheered the Queen as she wore her crown proudly in the New Year's Day Parade.



Canada. To speed up its transformation into a police state (two Mounties recently marched into a university library and removed the banned book of Arthur Butz, *The Hoax of the Twentieth Century*), Canada has now reduced the top weight of first-class mail coming into the country from 17.6 to 1.05 ounces. This gives Canadian customs officials a much greater opportunity for snooping for "dangerous" literature, since the law forbids them from opening first-class mail.

Britain. A 17-year-old office worker, a virgin, was assaulted and raped in London last autumn by her Kenyan-born cab driver -- and then charged an excess fare! "I like to do this to white girls," Warejit Kholi said during the attack. "I hope you get pregnant and have a boy." After the cabbie deflowered her, he promised to burn down her parents' home if she told anyone. She had the pluck to disobey him. Most shamefully, Kholi received only a 4½-year sentence after pleading guilty.

* * *

The white reaction against the nonwhite crime wave in London has been incredibly subdued -- throwing stones, breaking windows, shouting epithets. Yet because the latter actions are deemed "racist," they are being harshly dealt with by authorities. The latest threat of the leftist Greater London Council (GLC) is to have all those charged with "racial harassment" evicted from public housing. (Confessed rapists may stay.)

Recently, the Bangladeshi tenants in two housing estates grew tired of finding eggs, dog excrement and other refuse hurled against their doors and windows. Consequently, six large brown families have *actually moved out*. This minor demographic miracle has members of the local "Race and Housing Action Team" in a dither. Having already given away much of Britain's scarce public housing to aliens, they are now resolved to take much more from those remaining whites who, every day, are forcing themselves to go out and curse, spit, whistle, bang on doors and "look menacing" in a deliberate ploy to assure that their great-grandchildren will be white.

* * *

Last November, the GLC awarded a £95,000 grant to a group of seven women and one man whose job will be to provide "good quality anti-racist and anti-sexist play activities" for the local two-to-four-year-old set. Babies Against Racism is the theme. Pro-black, pro-"ethnic" and pro-female images are to be built into all toys, puzzles and games provided for toddlers. A second aim of the grant is to "encourage

the involvement of lesbian women working with children." Chairing the GLC Women's Committee which awarded the funds was one Valerie Wise. Her report stated that the eight recipients found it increasingly hard to maintain their multiracial enthusiasm while waiting 22 months for the money. Touching . . .

* * *

An equally vile group is the Inner London Education Authority (ILEA), which, by September, will require all local students to use a new "anti-racist" mathematics curriculum. In place of the old apples and oranges problems will be the following:

- Calculate ratios of population to land among the different racial groups in South Africa.
- Draw graphs showing racial unemployment rates in Britain. (But not graphs showing IQ or fertility, crime and immigration rates!)
- Determine profit margins of Western multinational corporations doing business in Third World countries.

Tory Professor David Smith accuses the ILEA of promoting "Marxism" through mathematics. However, nothing this sinister was ever taught in any *Russian* math class. And why does Professor Smith badmouth poor Karl Marx, a Germanophile who believed in Negro inferiority? Why not call "anti-white racism" by its correct name?

Smith is right to observe, "If ideas like this gather pace then people will be moving out of London because they won't want this sort of education for their children."

That, indeed, is the whole idea: not conversion (impossible), but replacement. The minority and Third World hordes stand to inherit a majestic, white-built city where none but the wealthiest and most insulated of whites will dare or care to live.

* * *

The London madness is unending. The Lambeth Council of South London has been trying to rename Rhodesia Road as Zimbabwe Road as part of GLC's "Anti-Racism Year." Hardly any real Englishmen still live on this street filled with blacks, Poles, Italians, Irish, Russian Jews and God-knows-what-not, yet the few English "survivors" -- like everyone else -- are indignant about the proposal.

Tory MP Sir Geoffrey Finsberg denounced the GLC's "hypocrisy" for championing "democracy" when "here we have the democratic wishes of the people of Rhodesia Road being totally ignored because it does not fit in with the theories of the Left." Yes, Sir Geoffrey, but were "the

democratic wishes" of the displaced Englishmen and women of Rhodesia ever consulted?

* * *

The same concern with petty traditions, at the expense of living flesh and blood, turned up in Birmingham recently, where the first woman Lord Mayor, Mrs. Marjorie Brown, is upset because the headmistress of her old girls' school is trying to ban the school song because it (allegedly) "smacks too much of Empire":

Where the iron heart of England
Throbs beneath its sombre robe
Stands a school whose girls have made her
Great and famous round the globe.

The head, Miss Anne Percival, explains: "The song was written before the school had any coloured pupils. Now we have a small number and that certainly influenced my thinking." Lord Mayor Brown, who has never decried the unsought browning of her city, says, "I don't want the song changed. I am a traditionalist in things like this."

France. From a *Parisian Instaurationist*. A perfect instance of the embarrassment of the French media vis-à-vis Jean-Marie Le Pen is the admission of *Le Quotidien de Paris*, an influential right-wing daily, that his 1984 election victories made him France's fourth "Man of the Year." At present Le Pen is winning all his libel actions against the various left-wing and minority fanatics who have accused him of being a fascist or Nazi. This is not too difficult a task since he is basically a French nationalist who contends a minimum amount of order and morality are necessary for the survival of the Republic. The media, however, consider him both dangerous and nutty because he praises values which only 50 years ago were the common stock in trade of every Western country. French patriots -- less than 50% of the population -- are gravitating toward him in ever greater numbers and would gravitate much faster if he were allowed another hour on TV. Right now Le Pen, though given the electronic silent treatment, continues to receive more than a 10% approval rating nationwide. Meanwhile, the other political parties are helping themselves to plank after plank from his political platform. In the latest poll in Perpignan, an important city in the south of France, he and his anti-immigration campaign were viewed favorably by more than 44% of the voters. Unfortunately, Le Pen is beset with some personal problems. His wife, Pierrette, has left him for a sympathizer of the Front National. Pierrette was a starlet Jean-Marie took up with when he was a college student. They have three attractive girls with nice Nordic complexions. Pierrette was very courageous during



the many times her husband was bombed or attacked, but she never had any serious interest in politics. The way she dressed has always been an embarrassment to a politician seeking the support of the most moral sector of the French population, though Le Pen himself is no model of Puritanism.

* * *

The French government is practically overrun with Jews: Trigano, the Club Méditerranée tycoon, is now Minister for Industry, and Pisani, a Jewish Maltese with British nationality, has been given full powers to solve the New Caledonian mess. As expected, he is leaning away from the French settlers and leaning toward the native Kanakas, who had barely advanced beyond the coconut stage of civilization until the French arrived. Pisani suggested that as the New Caledonia poll provided the pro-French, anti-Independence group with an enormous majority, new polls should be initiated based on race. This is 100% against the French Constitution. It is amusing to watch the same people who defend this racist view for New Caledonia, denying it in France when it is a matter of considering Arabs, blacks and Jews as distinct communities. Apparently racism is good in New Caledonia when it works against Frenchmen, but bad in France when it works for Frenchmen.

Many French have ignored the existence of New Caledonia -- a true South Pacific paradise -- which their ancestors claimed just over a hundred years ago. The population consists of a minority of natives and a majority of whites and crossbreeds. The victory of the independence-seeking Kanakas would be a disaster for the hybrids as well as for the whites, since it would lead to a total collapse of the economy. The final settlement will probably be an "association" arrangement, somewhat similar to the relationship of New Zealand with the United Kingdom. If so, this would be a considerable victory for Mitterrand, who could then convince French opinion that he had succeeded where (in Algeria and Indo-China) his opponents had failed -- forgetting, of course, that he was Minister of the Interior during the greater part of the Algerian war.

* * *

It turns out that France's most famous Nazi hunters, the Jewish Serge Klarsfeld and his brainwashed German wife, Beate, may have been a couple of would-be assassins. Klarsfeld has now both admitted and denied that he and Mrs. Klarsfeld paid a Bolivian hitman \$5,000 as travel expenses to kill Klaus Barbie, the former Gestapo chief of Lyons, in 1982. There were several other people in on the plot, which was

cancelled at the last minute when a new Bolivian government agreed to Barbie's extradition (kidnapping) to France.

Klarsfeld has no regrets about his involvement in the attempted murder. "You can say I would pay the same for Brunner in Syria," he said, before he corrected himself a few days later. Brunner, a former aide to Adolf Eichmann, now supposedly resides in Damascus.

In any civilized country, Klarsfeld would be brought to trial and handed a stiff jail sentence for joining a kidnapping conspiracy (a charge he freely admitted). But for Nazi hunters and Holocaust avengers, the criminal justice system has long ago been scrapped. They belong to a uniquely privileged class which can commit any crime and spout any libel without the slightest fear of punishment.

Austria. Walter Reder, often called "the last prisoner of World War II," is back home in Austria. As *Instauration* pointed out in an extensive article (May 1981), Reder is more sinned against than sinning. His "war crime" was to have commanded an SS battalion fighting Italian partisans in late 1944 when German forces were retreating in northern Italy. In the course of an engagement near the jerkwater town of Marzabotto, where partisans had the active help of the local population, a lot of civilians got killed after guerrillas had killed a lot of German soldiers. After the war the leftists and Communists in Italy blew up Marzabotto into a great hot-air balloon of anti-fascist agitprop, which terrorized a spineless Italian court into finding Reder guilty of war crimes, although he had been cleared by the British and Americans. When he was sentenced to life imprisonment, the Reds got their gallon of blood.

In spite of a last minute, largely Jewish-inspired worldwide press campaign, Reder was paroled last January after spending 37 years in what was little better than a dungeon in Gaeta, Italy. On his return to his native country of Austria, he was greeted at the Graz military airport by Minister of Defense Friedhelm Frischenschlager, who claimed the official reception had been necessary for security reasons. While the press screamed to high heaven, a gathering of the World Jewish Congress met in Vienna to seek "reconciliation with Austria after the Kreisky era." Zionist speakers recalled that Frischenschlager had been guilty of some "ambiguous" remarks about the Mauthausen concentration camp sometime back, and muttered that his Freedom Party had a substantial number of ex-Nazi members. Threats were made that the World Jewish Congress would leave Vienna if Chancellor Sinowatz did not personally apologize. This Sinowatz did, looking

like a fat schoolboy on TV as he sat in the front row and was told off by "President Bronfman." After this, Frischenschlager had to beg pardon in writing for his "grave political mistake," and it was interesting to see which politicians ganged up to demand his dismissal. As usual, prominent politicians of the "conservative" People's Party were very much to the fore, and its leader, Alois Mock, tabled a resolution declaring no confidence in Frischenschlager. But the scales were turned in Frischenschlager's favor when the leader of the Freedom Party, Norbert Steger, threatened to leave the coalition if Frischenschlager had to resign. Socialists like to keep their snouts in the trough, and the departure of the Freedom Party would have entailed new elections.

Even in the Freedom Party voices were raised against Frischenschlager, but support for him remained solid in Salzburg and in Carinthia, while a national press conference of the party at Linz decided he had acted correctly. Clearly, Jewish pressure on the Austrians was proving to some extent counterproductive, but the usual Jewish calculation is that the Jews will be more persistent long-term, and so will win out. We shall see. Meanwhile, Foreign Minister Gratz deserves some credit for stating on TV that he was answerable to the government and not to any foreign organization.

At present Reder is resting in a hospital, where he spent his 70th birthday. He lost part of his left arm in the battle of Kharkov in 1943 and part of his stomach as the result of a digestive ailment. One leg was gravely injured in his battles with the Italian partisans. Since he is not likely to enjoy his late-in-life freedom too long, let us wish him some happiness in his last days and let us grant him his desire "to die in silence." In his prime he was one of the handsomest officers on any side of the Second Nordic Internecine War.



Reder before it all happened

Major Reder gave practically the best years of his life to his country. For that he is now without honor in his country. If he is a war criminal because his men killed civilians who were aiding ununiformed guerrillas against the rules of modern warfare and had been ambushing and killing German soldiers, what does that make Churchill for ordering an all-out air attack on the civilian-jammed, undefended city of Dresden, which produced the single greatest massacre in world history (anywhere from 125,000 to 250,000 killed)? What does that make Truman, who ordered the incineration of the undefended city of Hiroshima (some 100,000 dead)? It is our bet that if the Germans had won the war, Churchill and Truman would probably have lived out their lives in safe but humble circumstances. The Nazis, when they overran France, didn't sentence French generals to death and they didn't hang or torture one of their bitterest enemies, ex-Preimer Léon Blum. Why is it that Roosevelt, Churchill and Stalin had to kill or jail their military and political counterparts? Would they have done so if there had been no Jews whispering in their ears? Indeed, would they have gone to war at all if there had been no such whispering in their midst?

Gibraltar. Chief Minister Sir Joshua Hassan and Mayor Abraham Serfaty are two of the 600 Jews who inhabit The Rock, the total population of which is 30,000.

Greece. A trial court in Iraklion, Crete, recently took a very unpluralistic attitude in sustaining the Greek Orthodox Church's complaint that the Brooklyn-based Jehovah's Witnesses sect was an organization akin "to Judaism aiming at the creation of the world Zionist empire with Jerusalem at its center."

Granting an unusual petition from the Holy Archdiocese of Crete, the civil court scotched formal state recognition of the establishment of a "Christian Church of Jehovah's Witnesses of Crete," finding the proselytizing cult part of a sinister conspiracy "against Christianity and the nation," and bent on imposing a "theocratic Zionist state" in which some members would be "appointed as governors of the most important areas of the world and that the ruler of Jerusalem will be issuing directions concerning world affairs."

This most unecumenical ruling immediately touched off the usual flurry of recriminations from organized Jewry whenever the Great Wall of Mind Control is publicly breached. Jozef Lovinger, President of the Central Board of Jewish Communities in Greece, reflexively denounced the ruling as "sheer nonsense," and expressed alarm that such judicial pronouncements would add fuel to the propaganda of European reactionary elements. Israel Singer, Executive Director of the World Jewish Congress,

put pressure on the socialist Papandreu regime, already on very thin ice for its rather mild utterances of Palestinian support and for serving as host for the 1984 Gaddafi-Mitterrand negotiations, to officially distance itself from the errant Iraklion court in deepest, nearly Jewless, Crete.

While the Jews of Greece were described as "angered and disturbed" by the ruling, local public and press opinion supported it. Increasing numbers of incidents like these may cause some of the Chosen to wish for a return to the old days under the "anti-Semitic" nationalist Colonels, many of whom remain interred.

Russia. Svetlana Alliluyeva is back in Moscow after a long, turbulent exile in the U.S. One reason for the return of Stalin's daughter to her native hearth, explained the London *Jewish Chronicle* (Nov. 23, 1984), was her desire to see son Joseph Morosov, 39, a Moscow heart specialist. Joseph is the offspring of her first marriage to Joseph Moroz, a Russian Jew, a marriage Father Joe called a "Zionist plot," as Svetlana elucidated in her book, *Twenty Letters to a Friend*. Stalin had another Jewish grandchild, the daughter of his son, Jacob, who died in a German prisoner-of-war camp, and Julia, a Russian Jewess whose last name was not furnished by the world's haughtiest and prissiest Jewish journal (excluding the *New York Times*).

Poland. Adam Schaff, the "Polish" Communist Party's leading theoretician during the grim postwar years, who was finally expelled from the Party after having become too much of a "Marxist" and not enough of a "Communist" (Elsewhere, October 1984), was in the news again recently. The shrewd, only half-truckling, political commentators, Rowland Evans and Robert Novak, devoted their column of December 13 to a secret letter which Schaff sent to General Jaruzelski in 1983. The 30-page epistle warned the general of the grave danger he faced from "neo-Stalinist" (i.e., pro-Russian) hard-liners within the Polish party. He should have purged them all in December 1981, said Schaff, when martial law was first declared, but, not having done so, would likely be toppled by them if they ever got the chance. Should that happen, the Polish army would probably side with the people, which would guarantee Russian military intervention, war and, conceivably, the "liquidation of Polish statehood."

Schaff's letter to Jaruzelski was smuggled to the West in November of last year. As Evans and Novak cautioned, his motives in sending it are "shrouded." Right up until his expulsion from the party in 1983, Schaff was seen by Moscow as an "anti-revisionist" Marxist-Leninist purist with close ties to the Kremlin. Evans and Novak speculate that "he may have been changed by Po-

land's brief glory during the daring days of Solidarity," but it seems more likely that his change, and the entire Solidarity phenomenon itself, are both symptoms of a glacially slow "inversion" (of sorts) which has been occurring in world politics -- toward nationalism and cultural conservatism in the Red East and toward rootless cosmopolitanism and born-again capitalism in the West. Each Jewish intellectual will have his own breaking point as this drawn-out ideological realignment proceeds, but most are shifting their sympathies even further toward the West.

Schaff's letter to Jaruzelski warned that the first danger sign would be an attempt to isolate him in the Polish Politburo by cutting down his close allies. Foreign Minister Stefan Olszowski and secret police boss General Miroslaw Mikewski were seen as dangerous, while Interior Minister Czeslaw Kiszczak, a good friend, was felt to be vulnerable.

Perhaps the letter partly explains the unprecedented public trial of four members of the secret police in the murder of Father Popieluszko, which has seen all Poland riveted to its radios. The unique force of Polish nationalist sentiment also helped to make the trial possible; a leading Polish novelist says it would have been unthinkable "in any other East-bloc country." Although the chief prosecutor behaved at times like an attorney for the accused, the pro-Russian hard-liners were badly embarrassed and pushed back nonetheless, when all four defendants were found guilty. It is said that General Mikewski may soon be out of a job.

Perhaps the role of Adam Schaff in this great national drama has been overrated by some. Perhaps the only reason we hear about him and what may have been an utterly inconsequential letter is that he or his wife has the inevitable "cousin in Brooklyn." Who can say? Jews often boast of their power to shape events; their foes usually take them at their word; but maybe at times they are the only bird on the elephant's back, who imagines that he directs the lumbering beast.

Romania. The great danger of small national minorities who do not behave as well as the majority is that the situation can only be rectified in one of two ugly ways: either the majority must take harsh coercive measures against the minority, or it must descend to the minority's level of behavior to maintain its place. The present Gypsy population explosion in Romania brings this home.

White activists are right to screech about European fertility rates when they slump to outrageous, suicidal levels like 1.3 children per women, as in West Germany. But the moderately low levels of 1.9 to 2.1 children per women which prevail in countries like Romania are actually not a bad thing in



themselves. The good European earth needs a chance to recuperate, as the shocking, Hiroshima-like photos of some acid-rain-devastated forests ought to bring home. It is largely the fear of prolific hordes from outside Europe overrunning the beloved continent which tempts the white racial conservatives to opt for overpopulation.

Romania remains a nice, roomy country. Yet Communist dictator Nicolai Ceausescu has launched a drive to double the birthrate in six years, to four children per couple. Why? According to Gwynne Dyer, a London-based columnist, "the presumption in Bucharest is that he is worried about the Gypsies." There are "only" a million or so among Romania's 22 million people, but anyone who has been around Gypsies very long knows that a ratio of 1 swindler to 20 "marks" spells disaster -- and the ratio is getting worse. (So bad are the Gypsies that some years ago the American TV show *Kojak* actually had an episode which showed them for the cheats they generally are. Those watching the program felt they had reentered the pre-"civil rights" era through a time warp.)

Why should Gypsies be permitted to outbreed the host population which sustains them? Distressingly, even a tough hombre like Ceausescu does not feel safe enough from "world opinion" to undertake a program to sterilize Gypsies. And so, because of the whims of a million or so interlopers from India, Romania is condemned to a breeding war it doesn't really want and that its land doesn't need.

Israel. At a Jewish feminists' conference held in Jerusalem last summer, Elizabeth Holtzmann, a sort of female Simon Wiesenthal, drew gasps of surprise from the audience when she stated that half of all Democratic Party convention delegates are women. Another American speaker, Betty Friedan, recalled her first trip to Israel, "where to my amazement I was regarded as a leper and Golda wouldn't see me." Israeli feminist Shulamit Aloni said that there was a myth about the independence of Israeli women because Golda Meir had become prime minister. But, said Mrs. Aloni, Meir had gained cabinet status only because David Ben-Gurion had insisted, "I won't preside over a cabinet without a woman."

Though there are 10 women in the 120-seat Knesset, feminism here is going nowhere fast. The religious courts, which handle all divorce cases and other family matters, still do not allow women in judicial positions. Indeed, women are not even permitted to appear as witnesses before such courts. (The civil courts do allow female judges and witnesses.)

Mrs. Aloni feels that the status of women is declining in Israel as the religious parties (with significant female backing) gain strength. The only feminist group in Haifa (Jewish population 210,000) was recently discontinued "for lack of interest."

A study released late last year by the Institute for Social Research in Tel Aviv compared the "self-image" of Israeli women to that of American blacks before the civil rights revolution. Interviews with 1,040 Israeli workers -- male and female -- showed that the latter do not see themselves in any way "disadvantaged," despite their low status in the labor market. (Their more fundamental status as mothers and wives was, of course, not addressed.) While 40.4% of the men interviewed felt they were underpaid, only 31.6% of the women felt the same way. (One wonders how many of the women felt their husbands were underpaid.)

The "solution"? Israeli women must be "sufficiently socialized" so they will develop among themselves a feeling of "fraternal deprivation" (a curious choice of words).

Another new Israeli study suggests that while "some 50% of Israeli couples are sexually incompatible . . . only 1% are willing to seek help." This, said Dr. Ronald Wertikowsky, is because the Israeli male is generally "vain and boastful," a "highly ambitious type who loves to regard himself as a success," while the Israeli female is often frigid and "extremely passive in and about sex." She would allegedly "rather buy new shoes" than see a much-needed sex therapist.

Another Israeli with blunt things to say about his countrymen is former Knesset member Meir Pa'il, now a history professor at Tel Aviv University:

Israel is a parasitic consumer society. In the U.S., people work for it. But the environment [here] is of a consumer society paid for by the U.S.

"Equal Employment Opportunity" is an unknown concept in Israel. On October 14, the Arabic-language daily *al Ittihad* translated three not very unusual advertisements from the Hebrew-language *Yedi'ot Aharanot* of October 5. All three ads had been placed by Israeli employers who would not consider non-Jewish applicants. One was quite explicit:

Workers! You are turning our factories over to the Arabs. You are becoming beggars who prefer handouts from the government and the Histadrut [Jewish Trade

Foundation]. Ta'mas Industries offers you work and wages. With your support we continue production. Call 03-801121/3.

Last June, the Israeli Military Government in the occupied territories decreed a prison sentence of 20 years for the throwing of stones at Israeli vehicles. The Jerusalem Radio Hebrew Service reported on October 15 that 800 Arab residents had recently been convicted of stone-throwing.

The Palestinian fishermen of Gaza have been restricted to progressively smaller fishing grounds, and now must stay within a tiny 10-by-24 kilometer strip of the Mediterranean, while their Israeli competitors go to the richer grounds further out. In order to survive, the Palestinians sometimes risk stiff fines, boat confiscations and being fired upon by the Israeli coast guard.

On December 11, the American and Israeli navies began a joint antisubmarine warfare exercise in the eastern Mediterranean. It was part of President Reagan's year-old agreement to increase "military cooperation" between the two countries.

The Narkis Street Baptist Church, in Jerusalem, has the largest Christian congregation in Israel. After repeated unsuccessful bombings, the 60-year-old sanctuary was destroyed by arson in October 1982. Some \$400,000 has been raised toward its rebuilding, but Orthodox Jewish demonstrators are trying to keep it from happening.

The Responsa Project in Tel Aviv is feeding the Talmud and other Jewish holy books into a computer, permitting easy access to the whole by selection of a word or subject matter. Project director Yaacov Choueka says, "Our aim is to eventually put all of Jewish culture on computer."

It was just three years ago that the Knesset ruled that Israeli lawyers could cite precedents from Jewish law in civil (as opposed to religious) courts. Previously, only secular European legal codes had been quarried. So quickly is Israel being "theocratized" by the nation's antidisestablishmentarians that the Responsa Project's computer terminals are already being consulted regularly for Jewish precedents by the nation's "civil" lawyers and even by its Supreme Court justices.

Legal scholars for whom "Jewish law" once meant *European* Jewish are now citing the opinions of ancient Syrian and Yemenite rabbis, whose answers to social problems are unprejudicially spewed out by the computer alongside those of the most celebrated Polish rabbis.

Black Africa. Smith Hempstone, the editor-in-chief of the *Washington Times*, spent three years in the late 1950s traveling from Eritrea in the African northeast to the Cape of Good Hope in the south to Senegal in the northwest. Today, he says, the 60,000-mile journey would be impossible. "Law and order has collapsed over large areas of the continent as fast as the bridges that once spanned its rivers."

Only 8% of the Western aid which has

flowed into the drought-stricken nations of Africa over the past 10 years has reached the people directly in need. Most has gone toward cosmetic projects like modern airports, or to pampering the elite class, which, "with very few exceptions, [has] been having a marvelous time." Few Africans actually died of hunger during the colonial era, but many do today, even as a nation like Ethiopia spends \$2.5 billion (46% of its GNP) on Soviet military hard-

ware and \$150 million on a celebration of the first decade of Marxist dictatorship.

Hempstone closed by advising the Reverend Walter Fauntroy, Washington D.C.'s nonvoting delegate in Congress, to leave the fashionable protestors outside the South African Embassy and proceed to the Ethiopian Embassy at 2134 Kalorama Road. "But there are no votes for you there," he warned.

Stirrings

Pro-White Video Mill

The first white racist leader to exploit the video revolution in a major way appears to be Tom Metzger, the founder of the White American Political Association (WAPA, P.O. Box 65, Fallbrook, CA 92028). Metzger and at least a dozen of his supporters have gone through the video workshops provided free to citizens by Cox Cable of San Diego as part of its federally mandated "public access" requirements. In WAPA's own private studio, which is of professional quality, the Metzger team began at once to produce a long series of half-hour discussion programs featuring the sort of "controversial" figures who do not easily obtain a fair hearing. The first six programs to emerge from the Metzger video mill:

- The Odinists. Californians who follow the old Norse gods explain their worldview.
- White Student Union. An interview with the national director, Greg Withrow.
- Truth Missions. Holocaust revisionism is discussed by David McCalden.
- Ku Klux Klan. A California leader of the Klan relates its three-part history.
- "Mountain Churches" of Michigan. Rev. Robert Miles tells about his growing organization.
- Moslem Fundamentalism. Mohammed Zaky defends his people's perspective.

The Metzger talk show, called "Race and Reason," has been running on southern California public access cable channels since September. The goal at that time was to reach 50 cities nationwide by early 1985, but its attainment depended on dozens of individuals doing their part.

Those who would like, for once, to see people with unpopular views allowed to speak freely at length without feeling bullied and backed into a corner, should write to WAPA for further information on becoming a local distributor. WAPA also publishes the newspaper *White American Resistance* and sells older audio and video cassette tapes showing Metzger debating college professors, confronting the Jewish Defense League and otherwise resisting

what some would call "the inevitable." In the future, the energetic Metzger expects to produce a new "Race and Reason" program each week.

Another white activist with extensive video plans is David Duke of the NAAWP (Box 10625, New Orleans, LA 70181), who will likely be running for President in 1988, and hopes to get plenty of free publicity via cable television.

Liberty's Last Chance?

Every Western democracy except ours and Holland's provides in some way for a federal referendum or initiative, which (ideally) allows the people to vote directly on issues of pressing concern. Every state except Delaware provides for a referendum in its constitution, and 23 make allowances for initiatives. (The difference is that referenda let the people approve or disapprove statutes or amendments entered into law by the legislature, while initiatives permit citizens to write their own statutes or amendments.)

In 1977, a populist group called Initiative America was founded in Washington to push for a constitutional amendment establishing a national referendum. It won support from former United States Senator James Abourezk, who is best known for his even-handed approach to the Middle East, which has deeply offended the Zionist lobby. Hearings on the initiative amendment were held by the Senate Judiciary Committee, but nothing came of them.

Today, certain populist groups are advocating what they call the Annual Federal Referendum, or AFR, which they say would combine the best features of both the referendum and the initiative. Under the plan, as many as six hot issues would be decided by national popular vote in September of each year, and the results would have a sobering effect on politicians running in the November elections.

One place to read about the AFR is Chuck Aronson's rambling populist newsletter, *Peephole on People*, which is published monthly at 11520 Bixby Hill Rd., Arcade, NY 14009. A 14-page issue called

"Initiatives and Referendums" is full of names and addresses for aspiring AFR activists to correspond with. Aronson, 71, who says he invented the Transverse Front Drive automobile in 1931, when he would have been 18, sprinkles his writing with references to "exploding parasite populations" and "wimpy politicians" ("Mondale would tilt Italy to straighten up the leaning tower of Pisa -- that's the kind of wimp he is"). It is the newsletter editor's deeply felt conviction that *direct* democracy is about the only way left to spare America from its historically almost inevitable drift toward dictatorship by special interests.

Books for Aryanists

The Viking ethos and mythos have and should have a consuming interest for Instaurators. To appease this interest, one of our most intensely Nordic subscribers has supplied us with a list of Viking or Viking-related books available at new or used bookstores. Bantam Books, he informs us, started releasing Eric Nielson's *Haakon* volumes last year. Three titles (\$2.95 each) are in print so far. Since 1979, Zebra Books has published several of Sigfridur Skaldaspillir's *Eric Brighteyes* series, based on Sir H. Rider Haggard's adventure classic of the same name, in paperback. These books can be had in used book emporia for about half the original \$2.25 price. Also from Zebra is Poul Anderson's movingly written trilogy, *The Last Viking*, featuring that greatest of Scandinavian filibusters, Harald Hadrada. The 7'1" blond giant nearly succeeded in creating an immense "Aryan Arc" of power extending from Russia (Novgorod) through Sweden and Norway to the British Isles (York and Dublin). The powerful mentality and amazing physical vitality which generated this tremendous geopolitical feat are almost unimaginable to the degenerate, played-out descendants of Hadrada who inhabit Scandinavia today. Anderson's other contribution is *Hrolf Kraki's Saga*, which Ballantine published and later brought out under its Del Rey imprint. By far the goriest Viking tomes are those of Eric Langholm, whose series, *The Vikings*, was printed by Sphere Books in the U.K. and by Pinnacle Books, beginning in 1975, here in Vinland. Finally, persistent bookhounds might uncover

Edison Marshall's two titles from the 1950s, *The Viking* and *West with the Vikings*.

While the paperback editions mentioned above should be relatively easy to acquire, two notable hardcover titles from the early part of the century will take some searching: Paul du Chaillu's *Ivar the Viking* and E.R. Edison's *Styribiorn the Strong*. One last suggestion: John Richard Jefferies's *The Story of My Heart*, the epitome of Indo-European nature mysticism and one of the seminal books of early-day Aryanism, is available for \$3.75 retail from Charles River Press, 1 Thompson Square, Boston, MA 02129.

You'll Love "Hate"

The annual American Film Festival in Zoo City is billed as the most influential documentary and short film showcase in the U.S. Some presentations are well crafted and entertaining, but the social-issue films have been a litter of liberal-minority doctored-dramas -- distorted, subjective manipulations masquerading as fair and equal treatment of controversial themes. These make for tedious viewing at best, infuriating viewing at worst. However, amid this year's ocean of blackness shone one glimmer of light: a Canadian documentary called *Hate*.

It is a very un-student-like student film that puts to shame the "editorials" of Injun Dan, not only because of its technical proficiency, but because of its truly well-balanced presentation of differing points of view concerning the race issue. There is no on- or off-camera narrator/journalist "safely" re-interpreting the words of those interviewed. The film editing does not distort, but rather clarifies ideas as each interviewee states his opinions in an uninterrupted manner -- the Chairperson of the Committee for Racial Equality; the Chaplain, and National Director of the Canadian Knights of the Ku Klux Klan; and a Canadian Black Nationalist.

Hate's departure point is the conflict in Toronto between the Klan and the Committee for Racial Equality, which stages a swarming "Ban the Klan" protest through the city's streets. It is a mistake to dismiss this Canadian film as inapplicable elsewhere. The issue, problems and opinions it explores are presented in a way that emphasizes their universal relevance. The unwashed mass of muddies, despoilers, proditors and trucklers that populate the film's "anti-fascist" demonstrations are the same refuse that threaten our race's survival whether they flock together in Toronto, Brixton, Washington, D.C., or Jonestown by the Bay. Contrary to what some may believe, Canada has not escaped the culture-mulching minority influence, clearly revealed in this film.

The title, *Hate*, can be understood to describe the methods and views of the "anti-racists" who hypocritically hurl threats and invective at their opposition. Throughout the film the "Chairperson" of the Committee for Racial Equality (who happens to be a "professor of sociology") foams with undeniable hatred against the Klan to a crowd of eager journalists, voicing his rabid intolerance for the freedom of speech and assembly occasionally and partially extended to it. On several occasions the Klan leader retaliates by accusing the Committee for Racial Equality of being a thinly disguised Communist front group, and notes communism's enormously more violent historical record -- yet, he adds, the Klan does not seek to suppress their freedom of speech. The Klan leader is calm, rational, clean-cut and well-spoken. He contrasts sharply with the "Chairperson" primate whose repulsive appearance and abrasive demeanor scream out his racial affiliation like a neon yarmulke.

Some of the Black Nationalist's statements are surprisingly honest, unique in a film, and support *Instauration's* views in key points. For example, the Black Nationalist denounces the deceptive anti-racism of the Committee for Racial Equality because "they are just as racist as the Klan." He asserts quite clearly that whites have a right to be racists, and that he happens to be a black racist who opposes integration and defends racial purity, as he believes many blacks do. All in all, his remarks add up to a great unmasking of the racial equality movement.

Hate's most interesting sequence is at the end: in an interview, the "Chairperson" explodes into a verbally abusive fit of self-righteous victimization, then openly admits that he is a Communist of the shockingest pink, presumably unaware that he is still being filmed. This exceptional disclosure definitely strikes a powerfully disturbing blow to the slumber of the uninitiated, and is probably the most satisfying piece of film since the Klan galloped to the rescue in Griffith's *Birth of a Nation*.

Hate is the film that Instaurationists (especially high-school teachers, university and college professors and other educators) should enthusiastically welcome as the opportunity to present a truly balanced perspective on the race issue. With some intelligent guidance, it can lead young and not so young truth-starved Majority members on the path to racial reality. Winner of the award for the best Canadian documentary and a participant in the Cannes Film Festival, *Hate* (16mm, B & W, 25 min.) has won the praise of some of North America's most talented cinematographers. An educational, political or historical institution could hardly spend its audio-visual dollars more importantly. Interested parties should con-

tact Omega Films Limited, 70 Milner Avenue, Unit 5A, Scarborough, Ontario, Canada M1S 3P8. Phone: (416) 291-4733.

A ZPG Man Wises Up

If America seems to have a great food surplus today, it's partly because so many of its citizens bred responsibly in the past. Yet appearances are deceiving, and much of our best soil is now being lost as we try to feed the irresponsible Third World hordes. Until that situation changes, "we should not give away our resources -- not so much as one bushel of wheat." So argued Johnson C. Montgomery, a founding member of Zero Population Growth, in *Newsweek's* "My Turn" column for December 23.

Now don't ask me to cut my children back to the same number of calories that children from large families eat. In fact, don't ask me to cut my children back on anything. I won't do it without a fight . . . Nancy and I made a conscious decision to limit the number of our children [to two] so each child could have a larger share of whatever we could make available. We intend to keep the best for them.

After all, "someone must protect the material and intellectual seed grain for the future." A high quality of life at home is the greatest contribution America can make to the world.

Music for Us

Attention all musically inclined readers! If you have (or know anyone who has) composed or performed tunes dealing with social/racial issues from the *Instauration* perspective, please send them to: Majority Music & Entertainment Association, P.O. Box 16007, Alexandria, VA 22302. Eric Matthews, a young, up-and-coming music and video arts critic, seeks to collect a diverse mixture of pro-Majority music. Of particular interest are recent underground efforts in a new wave, punk, pop or country vein. If enough original tunes are worth listening to, his tape-editing/duplication equipment could be used to assemble a compilation tape to send out to all interested takers. Future projects may include developing a network of contacts to spur pro-Majority musicality.

Unponderable Quote

In their comparative study [of test results], the North Carolina researchers used a narrow definition of "whites," which excluded Orientals, Hispanics and American Indians.

T.R. Reid,
Washington Post