



The Nationalist

VOICE OF THE
NATIONAL DEMOCRATIC FRONT

No.69

August 1991

\$1.00

Truth is the most powerful propaganda.-- J.F.C. Fuller

AWB Turns Up the Heat in South Africa

On August 8th South African President F.W. de Klerk brought his race-mixing message to the town of Ventersdorp which happens to be the headquarters of the Afrikaner Resistance Movement (AWB) led by Eugene TerreBlanche. TerreBlanche led hundreds of his activists to the hall where de Klerk was speaking. As a provocation, de Klerk's speech

was broadcast by loudspeaker into the streets. The AWB attempted to break into the hall and the police fired tear gas to prevent it. The AWB responded with mace and a melee began. A van carrying Blacks careened out of control into the crowd of White protesters killing an AWB man. Shooting broke out, the Whites shooting at the Blacks and

the police shooting at the Whites. Police shot and killed one White protester and wounded nine others. Six police officers were injured in the pitched battles that followed. TerreBlanche was arrested.

Were these the first shots fired in the White Nationalist revolution in South Africa? We can only hope so. Apparently the AWB refrained from



Afrikaner Resistance Movement leader Eugene TerreBlanche confronts South African police outside a hall where President F.W. de Klerk was speaking.

firing on the White police, at least for the moment, but the police had no compunction against killing fellow Whites. This message will embed itself into the White psyche and will fuel the anger of the Whites, particularly the Afrikaners. Now things have become so much clearer, the lines drawn distinctly.

The Afrikaners, unlike the Anglo-Saxons, will not die without a fight. And once the fighting begins, the Afrikaner police and army may very well support the revolutionaries. They must be put to the test everywhere as they were in Ventersdorp.

The Afrikaner population is very well-armed, but is not yet well-organized as its leadership, the capitalist elite, has betrayed it, leaving it in confusion for the moment. It appears though that the AWB is pressing its strategy of confrontation which will force the population off the fence and into either the racist or multiracialist camp. The English will no doubt remain in the latter until they are actually being terrorized and slaughtered by the Blacks, but the bulk of the Afrikaners will back racialism.

Up to now the AWB has suppor-

ted the legal political opposition, particularly the Conservative Party. But the government under Botha and de Klerk subverted the Constitution in order to establish a multiracial regime so quickly that the White political opposition would be nullified under the new arrangements. So there seems little choice but violent revolution. The only question now is what form it will take. Political and military tactics and leadership will decide the issue. The NDF wishes the AWB all the best in its struggle for racial freedom.

Destroying the Myth of the Log Cabin

A plutocracy without a meritocratic myth cannot long survive, it cannot keep a lid on the bubbling discontent of the masses if it cannot convince individuals that they deserve their economic hardship and political powerlessness by virtue of their ineptitude in life. Revolts and revolutions begin to brew amongst the lower classes when enough poor individuals cease to blame themselves for the poverty and hunger of their families and come to believe that the game is stacked against them and in favor of the rich ruling classes.

That plutocrats can hide this fundamental truth from the masses so successfully is largely the result of their control of the means of education and propaganda, and their primary instrument in these areas is the Horatio Alger myth, or the myth of the Log Cabin as it has been called in regard to American presidents - the belief that any poor boy can become wealthy and powerful if he has the requisite talent and determination. The essential conse-

quence being that those without will and skill are left on the dungheap and deserve their fate because they are lazy or stupid or both.

Money is the Mission

This meritocratic myth reinforces every crucial aspect of the philosophy of individualism. What should be the proper goal of every intelligent child? To serve his people? No, to maximise his own wealth and power - the American Dream. And how will a man know if he is successful? Money is the measure of a man, the richer the man, the greater he is and the more power and privilege he deserves. And the losers? They deserve no more than pity and the occasional handout to keep them from starvation. It would be gross to actually have to watch these poor wretched souls wither away and die in the streets.

Little wonder that in societies where hunger and poverty are the order of the day for the great majority of the people, the Communists have been able to prove that

the lazy and inept make extraordinary fighters. But nevertheless, despite all the external and internal evidence that should act to dispel the myth, the White middle class in America still believes in it. Perhaps this is because they identify poverty with Blacks, and they know that Blacks are lazy and inept. But the sad truth is that the great majority of

SUBSCRIBE

Let us mail The Nationalist directly to you each month. Send your subscription to: NDF, P.O.Box 30505, Knoxville, TN 37930

Name:

Address:

Subscription rates: \$15 for 12 issues; Foreign - \$25 international money order for 12 issues.



William Henry Harrison was the founder of the myth of the log cabin. He was born on a grand plantation to the second wealthiest family in Virginia, but claimed to be born in a log cabin in his presidential campaign. It worked, and the myth was off and running.

the poor are White and these Whites are racially no different from the Alpines, Mediterraneans and Nordics who live prosperously in Switzerland. So why are these sub-races intelligent and industrious in the Italian, French and German cantons of Switzerland and degenerate in America?

Still Vital

The political and historical illiteracy of Americans cuts two ways in the destruction of the myth of meritocracy which is so crucial to the survival of the U.S. plutocracy. This massive ignorance certainly makes our propaganda task as a revolutionary movement more difficult, but it also provides an opportunity for real change because ignorance can be corrected. It would be far worse if the masses knew the truth but were so spiritually dead as not to be able to act upon it, as appears to be the case in Britain. White Americans are still vital and energetic. They want to do right, and they will one day find their unity and mission under revolutionary White Nationalist leadership. We must prepare the way by patient step by step attacks on the

pillars that hold up the corrupt and lying System. The following excerpt from Edward Pressen's 1984 book, **The Log Cabin Myth: The Social Backgrounds of the Presidents** debunks one of those mythical pillars:

"Were successful men in America as upwardly mobile as a long-popular myth claims they were, a fair share of the men who became presidents could be expected to have been born to poor or humble parents or families. Almost 150 years ago Alexis de Tocqueville's **Democracy in America** told us that most rich Americans had earlier been poor boys whose families had felt "the sting of want." Over the following generations, Horatio Alger and other publicists agreed that rags to riches continued to be the dominant motif in the career lines of successful Americans. But these cheerful findings of Toqueville and later yea-sayers were based, essentially, on a quick glance at the surface of American society and on the assumption that Andrew Carnegie, John D. Rockefeller, and a handful of other highly publicized industrial magnates born to modest circumstances were typical of the rich. But they were not.

Probing a great mass of evidence on the backgrounds of the wealthy and the successful, for all periods in American history, sociologists, historians, and other scholars have discovered that, in the memorable phrase of one economic historian, poor boys who became rich or successful business leaders "have always been more conspicuous in American history books than in American history." Very few began at or near the bottom. The lives of the presidents only illustrate this principle: Americans who attain great worldly success, whether in

wealth or property accumulation, occupational prestige, or politics, have typically been born to youthful advantages that were instrumental in accounting for their adult success. That is why few of the presidents were poor boys. They reached the heights largely because of the significant boost given most of them at birth and during their youth....

The historical record is sprinkled with evidence of high-minded persons born to great advantages, who devoted their lives to the unpopular cause of redressing the social imbalance. But such well-born radicals and social critics have always been in short supply. And they are altogether rare in those great opportunistic coalitions - the American major parties. Every single president had had a career that gave assurance of his acceptance of the prevailing social and economic order, whatever its inequities. The major parties have so often reached out for men of substantial political experience because these political careers could be closely scrutinized for the candidates' operative values and because these careers could disclose as well whether the candidates knew how to play the game. So many of the nominees have been lawyers not because presidents need to have the technical expertise in lawmaking that only legal training ensures but because lawyers are so often respectful of property rights and of the social and economic order built on



these rights....

Democratic presidents no less than Republican have been opposed to anything more than slight tinkering with the prevailing social order. As the political scientist Philip Burch has recently shown, whether of the one party or the other, whether purportedly liberal or conservative, American presidents almost without exception confine their choice of cabinet, judicial and ambassadorial appointees to men who have been inordinately rich and successful. The reforms associated with FDR's New Deal have been denounced in some quarters as radical and even revolutionary. That these reforms were actually designed to preserve the system by protecting it against its own worst abuses is indicated by the fact that similar reforms had been introduced a half century earlier in Europe by the great conservatives Disraeli and Bismarck....

In fact, as Richard Hofstadter, William N. Chambers, and other students of American politics have observed, both parties have been dedicated to maintaining the status quo. They have taken some pains to confine candidacy for the nation's highest political office to men known to be sympathetic to its fundamental social, economic, and political arrangements. And they have searched for such men from among the upper levels of the prevailing order, where contentment with the way things are is most likely to reign....

A close reading of the [presidents'] biographies and their utterances leaves the impression that those who grew up amidst unusually favorable circumstances somehow learned to regard both their good fortune and the social arrangements responsible for it not only as the way things are but as the way things

ought to be. And those who attained great success largely on their own were similarly disposed toward an order flexible enough to permit the virtuous and the talented to rise from obscurity to its most exalted ranks. The evidence suggests that the American political system is more ideological than the conventional scholarly wisdom likes to think it is....

It is because our parties are as strenuously ideological as they are in fact that they brush merit aside and focus rather on their candidates' ideological convictions. That our truly ideological parties are widely believed to be unideological testifies both to the great success they have enjoyed in propagating yet another myth and to Americans' fumbling grasp of a concept - ideology - that we like to believe is nonexistent in our politics just as class is supposedly nonexistent in our society."

When Science Died

Modern Christian writers have pointed out, quite rightly, that science died in the ancient world before Christianity became the predominant mode of thought and before it was adopted as the state religion by the Romans. Many reasons for this have been proffered, none of them convincing. In this article we will review the state of science under the Greeks and Romans and will attempt to answer this question ourselves, for when reason and science fail, mysticism and religion are sure to fill the vacuum.

Most assume that the Dark Ages can never recur. Much as we would like to so believe, history is not encouraging in this regard. Few

realize how truly modern ancient society and ancient science were and how far we Europeans fell. Few realize how fragile is a society built upon science. For such a culture to last all the pieces must operate smoothly together. Such coordination requires an effectively working national, if not international, order. But highly organized societies are far more vulnerable to disruption than less sophisticated cultures (see *New America* #3 on the collapse of Inca civilization under Spanish attack). In America we have seen whole cities blacked out for the failure of an electrical switch. Can you imagine what chaos civil war would bring, especially one along racial lines? Would the collapse of

organized society stimulate the growth of reason or mysticism?

The Beginning of Science

Greek science began, as one might expect, in the mechanical arts with the invention of the potter's wheel by Anacharsis (c.592 BC), the soldering of iron by Glaucus (c.550 BC) and the level and the lathe by Theodorus (c.530 BC). But the mechanical arts were considered a lower intellectual form and the best Greek minds became philosophers. It was the philosophers who developed science. The Greeks established formal rules of logic and divided them into inductive (credited by Aristotle to Socrates) and deductive (Plato and Aristotle) thinking.

Formal thought helped the Greeks to perfect the arts of scientific observation and analysis, but it was Greek imagination and willingness to challenge intellectual convention that separated them from all others. The foundation of science is cause and effect, the belief that the explanation of phenomena is to be found in natural rather than supernatural forces. Leucippus, who established the Abdera School in Thrace in the early 5th century BC, wrote "Nothing happens without a cause, but everything with a cause and by necessity." Believing such, the Greeks were impelled toward scientific inquiry.

Atomic Theory

Indeed, the philosopher Democritus, the protege of Leucippus, reasoned from observed facts and first principles to create the first atomic theory, incredibly modern to our eyes. He taught, "According to convention there is a sweet and a bitter, a hot and a cold, and according to convention there is color. In truth there are atoms and a void."

Democritus recognized that reality

The Nationalist welcomes manuscripts of articles for possible publication, as well as letters to the Editor. No payment is made for articles published and they become copyright of The Nationalist. The editor reserves the right to amend articles. No one may reprint articles from The Nationalist without the Editor's permission.
Gary Gallo, Editor

could not be determined by the senses alone. His tiny, invisible atoms existed from time eternal and could never be destroyed. They differed in size and shape but were identical in substance. The different properties of the elements resulted from differences in the size, shape, position and movement of these fundamental particles. They move through the void striking one another, attracting like-kind to create elements and forming substances and worlds which grow and decay, leaving only those systems which are fit to their environment (presaging Darwinian natural selection.) There is no up and down, and motion, he said, persists unless opposed, thus founding the idea of inertia, rediscovered by Galileo in the Renaissance.

Unfortunately for Europe in the Middle Ages, Aristotle rejected this atomic theory for a more conventional system of basic elements (Empedocles' fire, water, earth, and air), and since Aristotle was considered by the Christians as the great authority at a time when authority was infallible, atomic theory had to be recreated much later by Dalton, Avogadro and Cannizzaro. But despite Aristotle, it is clear that atomic theory was accepted by some if not most Romans as the 2nd century Roman scientist Lucretius preserved it (with some minor changes) in the form of a poem. This theory may also have influenced Roman acoustical science which was based on the correct notion that sound is vibration of the air.

Heliocentrism

The philosophic Greek mind loved theory, and mathematics was its great playground. Over the centuries (BC) Thales, Euclid, Pythagoras and Archimedes developed geometry (from Egyptian surveying methods) into its modern form. Plato created

Book Sale

Race by John Baker - \$20.00 (paperback.)
 The Holocaust Story and the Lies of Ulysses by Paul Rassinier - \$12.00.
 Auschwitz: A Judge Looks at the Evidence by Judge Wilhelm Staeglich - \$12.00.
 The Forced War by David Hoggan - \$35.00.
 Churchill's War by David Irving - \$40.00.
 The War Between the Generals by David Irving - \$18.00.
 Imperium by Ulick Varange - \$12.00.
 Harry Elmer Barnes: Learned Crusader edited by Arthur Goddard - \$22.00.

Please add 10% for postage and handling and make checks payable to NDF, P.O. Box 30505, Knoxville, TN 37930.

the notion of negative numbers. Hipparchus (of Rhodes and later Alexandria) invented plane and spherical trigonometry, and he also invented many astronomical instruments.

Unfortunately, Hipparchus (along with Aristotle) rejected the heliocentric theory of the universe developed in the 3rd century BC by Aristarchus of Samos (and repeated by his contemporary Archimedes) which said, "that the fixed stars and the sun remain unmoved, that the earth revolves round the sun on the circumference of a circle, the sun lying at the center of the orbit."

Hipparchus' geocentric universe was the accepted theory in Alexandria, Egypt (a Greek colonial city now under the control of the Romans) as Claudius Ptolemy was growing up. Ptolemy was a brilliant



LETTERS

The concept of a Republic is European. It is not African, or Asian and never never Jewish. When the European is a minority the Republic is ended although the title may remain. This is how I see it.

The best to you and yours,
F.H. Leckinger, New York

Thank you for the kind words on my idea of Synthesisism.... While it is wonderful to have an established scholar [Cattell's **Beyondism: Religion from Science**] see the natural sense of racialism, by way of affirming divergent evolution, which cannot be denied, I do not believe that sublime conceptions, or divine reason, can supply the needs of religion, which are emotional, and require heroes, martyrs, and "non-rational" social bonding. I think Beyondism, and Deism in general, lack the soul and blood and tragedy required of religion; and religion also requires theology, which is beyond science. I am working in this very area, as you might have noticed. Still, Beyondism is really a sublime scientific work....

Sincerely,
Charles Schauf, Minnesota

The economy continues to deteriorate and the corruption of the establishment continues to be more and more exposed. Why has the BCCI scandal been exposed? Is it because they are not a Jewish central bank?

Your comrade,
Bim McKinney, Kansas

Editor - This is a very good question. Apparently the CIA knew that BCCI

was the "Bank of Crooks and Criminals International" as it was called in the Agency for more than ten years yet did nothing to alert the proper authorities to close it down. Indeed, the bank's political backing was so strong that the Justice Department dragged its feet when it became aware of the facts four years ago and is still stalling.

Sen. John Kerry (D-MA) stumbled onto the truth about BCCI in 1989 through the investigations of his Subcommittee on Narcotics and Terrorism and seemed ready to run with it until Democratic Party bigwigs advised him that he would pay the price for attacking Clark Clifford, BCCI's agent and lawyer in Washington. Clifford shortly thereafter sweetened the pot with several thousand dollars of contributions to Kerry from himself and other attorneys in his firm. (Clifford lied to the Washington Post about this claiming that he always gave money to Kerry, but in fact records showed it as the first time.) Kerry dropped the matter shortly thereafter, which enraged his chief counsel, a Jewish lawyer named Jack Blum.

Blum, no doubt seeing his racial interests at stake, was determined to crush BCCI and its American subsidiary First American whose president was Democratic bigwig Clark Clifford - the most influential attorney in D.C. The Jew Blum went with his story to the Jew Robert Morgenthau, the Manhattan district attorney. Morgenthau jumped on the chance to indict a bank owned by Islamic Arabs and Pakistanis, and he had no fear of Clifford who was claiming a naive ignorance as if he were deaf, dumb and blind.

Once the indictment was announced the Jewish media lept into the fray,

perhaps not realizing how many important people BCCI had bought - there seems to be some regret about involving Bert Lance and Jimmy Carter in the scandal, or at least the latter. Like Clifford, Lance and Carter also claim that they were deceived by these Moslem scoundrels.

Unfortunately for Clifford, BCCI virtually ran his bank First American even though it was officially only an investment advisor for the majority stockholders. Clifford claims he was completely unaware that BCCI secretly owned First American. But investment advisors do not hire key executives and negotiate acquisitions as BCCI did for First American - this is the province of senior management, i.e. Clifford.

The point is that Clifford was bought and sold by people with money. The best in Washington was ready to hire himself out for both legal and illegal dealings to the worst - terrorists, thieves and drug dealers. And this is what capitalism and its self-interest ethic always come to in the end. Any crook with enough money can buy a bank and the influence-peddlers and politicians necessary for its protection. Control of First American only cost Agha Hasan Abedi and his associates half a billion. Indeed, BCCI would probably still be operating (despite Jewish pressure) if it had not gotten greedy and plundered its depositors thus causing the bank to fail. How many other such banks are out there. No one knows and no one will know until they fail. It is utterly ridiculous for a nation to allow its financial system to be run by capitalists. How much different from Abedi was the great man Clifford - two peas in a pod, the kind that control the economic destiny of the U.S.

SHORT BURSTS

Justice for All

Once again that great pillar of freedom and justice called the United Kingdom has been condemned by Amnesty International for its human rights policy. The story is the same: torture, murder and the manufacture of evidence against mainly Marxist, Irish dissidents who are members of the IRA or Sinn Fein. But the same treatment is meted out by British authorities to racial nationalists as we well know, and Amnesty chooses to ignore this side of the issue for political reasons. It is difficult to judge which is more hypocritical, the government of Britain or Amnesty International.

Unmitigated Gall

A special commission in New York empaneled to investigate racism in the court system has found it to be "infested with racism." The report was widely praised in the New York media even though its evidence was largely anecdotal. The truth is that our court system is racist - in virtually every major city it is impossible for a White person to get a fair trial because the juries, if not the judges, are Black and these Blacks hate Whites. For those who doubt it, we suggest a reading of **Drylongso** by Black sociologist John Gwaltney (reviewed in *New America* #4.) But, of course, that is not the sort of racism that this commission was established to find. It found what it was supposed to: racism of Whites (what few are left in the cities) against Blacks. Similar commissions have been empaneled in Arizona, California, Florida, Iowa, Massachusetts, Michigan, Michigan,

Minnesota, New Jersey, New Mexico, Oregon and Washington and no doubt these will issue similar "findings." When will the White man wake up and realize that this a war to the death, that our enemies will not relent until our Race is in chains and ready to accept its genocide?

The Real Racism

From the book **The Myth of a Racist** criminal Justice System by Prof. William Wilbanks of the Florida International University:

"There is interracial violence in America. In 1985, 629,000 interracial crimes were reported (where victims survived to identify the criminal); but nine out of ten were committed by blacks against whites. Where white criminals, 98% of the time, prey on other whites - to rape, rob, and assault - black criminals chose fellow blacks as victims less than half the time. Black criminals seem to prefer attacking white people. While only 2% of the victims chosen by white criminals are black, more than 50% of the victims targeted by black criminals - to rape, rob, and assault - are white."

The Nature of the Black

From *Newseek* magazine, August 12, 1991,:

"The boys never meant any harm against the girls. They just wanted to rape." Joyce Kithira, deputy principal of St. Kizito's boarding school in Kenya, where 71 schoolgirls were raped and 19 others died when a group of boys raided the girls dormitory.

Kenya's murder rate is 7 times the murder rate of the U.S. which has the highest murder rate in the

industrialized world. Blacks commit half of all murders in the U.S. and Hispanics commit another 15%. Only 35% of the murders in the U.S. are committed by Whites even though they constitute over 70% of the population. Yet Blacks in America murder far less than they do in Africa. This lesser violence may be because of the amount of White blood within them or it may be due to the inhibitions of living in a civilized White society. Whatever, the comparative figures from Africa and America explode the argument that "White oppression" is the cause of the extraordinarily high levels of Black violence in the U.S.. Indeed, "White oppression" may be the only thing that keeps a lid on Black violence. In their own countries they are but animals, raping and murdering at incredible frequency.

In the Land of the Free

A substitute teacher in New Jersey was fired in June for telling his students, in response to a direct question, what life was really like in the Hitler Youth. Dietrich Katterman served in the Hitler Youth and explained that much of what the group did resembled Boy Scout activities, and that was enough to get him fired. We smell a Jew in the woodpile, but thank heavens we live in a free country where freedom of speech is guaranteed. Katterman may get his job back but only after it costs him far more than its worth in legal fees. Such is the nature of freedom in the land of the free and the home of the brave. Rights are for those who can afford to buy them or defend them.

and meticulous astronomer who somehow figured out a series of apparently elliptical movements of the sun and planets which fit with the geocentric theory. It was extremely complicated, and wrong, but it worked to predict the positions of the planets and so was accepted until Galileo and Copernicus shot it down through telescopic observation and mathematical calculation in the 16th century AD.

Earth the Sphere

Eratosthenes of Cyrene (273-192 BC), a younger contemporary of Archimedes, moved to Alexandria where he became Curator of the Museum and the ancient world's greatest geographer and mapmaker. He knew, as did most Greeks at the time, that the world was a sphere and using geometric and algebraic principles on shadows cast by rods at different latitudes, he accurately calculated its circumference. (Eratosthenes recognized from the differences in the length of the day at different latitudes that the earth was turning on its axis.) Eratosthenes also accurately calculated the distance from the earth to the sun. From the similar patterns in the tides in the Indian and Atlantic Oceans he claimed that the two were connected.



Plato's School, which was closed down after nine centuries of operation by Justinian.

He wrote that the Eurasian-African land mass was an island, and that it was likely that there was another land mass, running north to south, on the other side of the world.

A later geographer, Posidonius, rejected Eratosthenes' circumference calculation and underestimated the size of the earth by about one-half. Columbus read Posidonius and was encouraged by the wrong distance calculation to attempt to circumnavigate the world. So Posidonius' error may have been more beneficial than Eratosthenes' truth.

The Great Organizer

Beyond his philosophic achievements, Aristotle (384-322 BC) was the great scientific organizer. He collected knowledge, classified it and put it together in a coherent fashion in his encyclopedic works. He clarified and added to knowledge in many areas, but his greatest expertise was in biology. He established the principle that generation is the combined product of mother and father, whereas the patriarchal socie-

ties of the Mediterranean had previously believed that only the father was the agent of creation. He dissected animals and accurately described the step by step development of the chicken embryo. Aristotle classified animals into families and classes according to their likenesses, not according to

their contrasting natures (opposites or contradictions) as was previous practice. He lists all sorts of biological facts that were only rediscovered in recent centuries.

Clinical Medicine

Greek medicine was systematized by Hippocrates in the late 5th century BC. Hippocrates established a method of medical analysis similar to the modern clinical approach whereby the physician focuses on symptoms and observations to establish the presence of a specific disease which is treated by a specific remedy or course of treatment. Aristotle's method of determining final causes in the treatment of illness never held back the development of medicine in Greece and Rome because the physicians were more interested in practical results than in theoretical correctness. Indeed, we may finally be reaching the point in medicine where Aristotle's method could work.

To be continued in the Sep91 Nationalist