



# The Nationalist

THE VOICE OF  
DEMOCRATIC NATIONALISM

Vol. 3, No. 3

March 1987

50¢

"Truth is the most powerful propaganda." — J.F.C. Fuller

## Jews Pushed Iranscam On Reagan

The Reagan Administration and Israel have been desperately trying to downplay the Jewish role in Iranscam, and the Presidentially-appointed Tower Commission tried to play along with very careful wording, but the bare bones facts revealed in the Tower Report indicate that it was Jewish pressure that caused the inane plan to be accepted in the first place, and then renewed time and again after each successive failure.

When the story broke last year, Reagan held a press conference in which he denied three times to an incredulous media that Israel played any role at all in the Iran affair. The White House staff sensed that that lie was not going to go down and immediately after the conference ended, Reagan issued a statement which admitted that Israel was involved.

Israel all along has claimed that it was only trying to "help a friend" and had very little to do with the affair. After all, Israel lied its way out of the attack on our intelligence ship the USS Liberty in 1967, lied its way out stealing weapons-grade plutonium from U.S. nuclear plants with the help of American-Jewish scientists, lied its way out of using U.S. anti-personnel bombs in Lebanon, lied its way out of selling Kfir jets and U.S. weapons to countries without American approval, lied its way out of the Sabra and Shatila massacres, and lied about spying in the U.S. in the Pollard Affair - so why not lie now since it has always worked in the past? And why has lying always worked? Because the media, which is dominated by Jews, chooses to play down or ignore

Israeli outrages against the U.S., and because American politicians are terrified of offending the Jewish Lobby.

### POLITICAL INTIMIDATION


Why are the politicians so afraid? Because the Jews own or control NBC, CBS, ABC, the Holly-

from political life. Such brave or foolish politicians find that their contributions dry up and that their opponent is awash in money. This happened to two former chairmen of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, William Fulbright and Charles Percy, as well as to a number of Congressmen.

Is Reagan aware of the facts of political life in America? The Jews make sure that every presidential candidate understands. In 1980 the Jewish Lobby boasted that it did not matter who won the election as they had a firm commitment from both Carter and Reagan in regard to supporting Israel. It is Reagan's understanding of American political reality, and not his confused bumbling or incompetent staff, that explains why the U.S. got into the arms for hostages deal with Iran. Reagan was too shrewd to say no to Israel. No other American politician survived a contest of wills with the Jews and he was not about to commit political suicide.

### MEDIA PROPAGANDA

As the leader of Syria, Hafez Assad, said last year in an interview with the **Washington Post**, "America does not have a foreign policy in the Middle East. Israel has a policy which America executes." Indeed, the truth is clear to the rest of the world, but Americans cannot see it because our alien-controlled media obscures the facts, more through what it does not report than through what it does. If the press wants to make something an issue, it reports on it daily, even if there is nothing new to say (such as in the present



wood film industry whence Reagan came, **Time**, **Newsweek**, **U.S. News and World Report**, the **New York Times**, the **Washington Post** and a vast number of other newspapers, radio stations and TV stations. Because the Jews contribute over half of all funds given to the Democratic Party and over a quarter of all funds given to the Republican Party. Because those politicians who say nice things about Arabs, or who criticize Israel, or who offend the Jews in some other way disappear



anti-White South Africa campaign.) If it wants a matter to disappear then it reports it once, in the barest form, and never mentions it again. In this sense all media outlets are propaganda organs, but of course Americans do not like to think that they are being fed propaganda - that's only for Commies and Nazis. We like to think that we are a free people who get the news, not indoctrination. But in fact the biggest difference between us and the Soviets, is that the Russians know that they are not free. We are too ignorant to realize it - the System sees to that.



JOHN TOWER



EDMUND MUSKIE



BRENT SCOWCROFT

The members of the Reagan-appointed Tower Commission.

### ALL AGAINST

The Tower Report revealed that according to George Shultz's notes of a top level meeting with the President in late 1985, not only was Shultz opposed to the Iran deal, but so were Casper Weinberger and Don Regan. Robert McFarlane has testified that he was also opposed to the idea when the Israelis initiated it. So the National Security Advisor, the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of State, and the Chief of Staff were all initially opposed to the idea. Who does that leave folks? Only six-gun Ronnie and the Jews, who included not only representatives from Israel but the Jew Michael Ledeen, who was supposed to be a consultant to the National Security Council (NSC), but who in fact had a very close relationship with the Israelis.

The Israelis refused to cooperate with the Tower Commission, but

the report did not attack the Israelis for this, instead it said that since it could not get both sides of the story it could not be sure that the American version of the Israeli role was correct. Despite this groveling, the Commission did find that Israel was "probably" responsible for initiating the plan and that it played a "crucial role at critical points along the way in keeping the operation alive." Yet in its five point summary of the important conclusions of the report, the **Washington Post** failed to even mention the Israeli role. In the press conference that took place when the report was released, it took almost an hour for the press to raise a question about Israel's role. The politicians, John Tower and Edmund Muskie tried to softpedal Israel's role, but retired general Brent Scowcroft, a non-politician, cut to the heart of things when he explained that the plan served only Israel's interest and was in direct conflict with America's interests in the region. Israel was trying to help Iran in order to keep the Iran-Iraq War going, and it was trying to further distance America from the Arab cause. Israel and its quasi-governmental arms dealers also made a lot of money off these arms sales to Iran.

### REAGAN'S KOWTOW

This is not the first time that Reagan abandoned America's interests in the Middle East in order to please Israel and the Jewish Lobby. Does anyone remember Reagan's Middle East Peace Plan that he announced with so much fanfare in

1981? It included the notion that the Palestinians would be granted an independent state of their own, so then-Israeli Prime Minister Menachem Begin told Reagan to shove his plan where the sun don't shine and he did just that - we never heard another word about it. And our relations with the Arab states have continued to deteriorate since then to the great pleasure of the Jews. After Israel invaded Lebanon in 1982 and got itself mired in a bloody guerrilla war, it asked Reagan to send the Marines into Beirut to help keep the various forces separated there. Ronnie consented to this even though it could not possibly serve America's interest to be there. In fact it only further fanned the hatred of Arabs for America, as the U.S. was seen as doing Israel's dirty work. That episode ended with an Arab suicide bomber killing 250 of our soldiers by driving his truck-bomb into an American barracks. Reagan then put his tail between his legs and left Lebanon, making proper apologies to the Jews. Reagan is not the first President to kowtow treasonously to the Jews nor will he be the last. This process which began with Franklin Roosevelt will only end when the NDF takes power.

The Jews were very pleased that the Tower Report said that, "U.S. decision-makers made their own decisions and must bear responsibility for the consequences." Israeli Defense Minister Yitzhak Rabin jumped on this statement saying it absolved Israel from blame.

### THE SCAPEGOAT


Not only did Reagan's hand-

The Nationalist welcomes manuscripts of articles for possible publication, as well as Letters to the Editor. No payment is made for articles published and they become copyright of The Nationalist. The Editor reserves the right to amend articles. No one may reprint articles from The Nationalist without the Editor's permission.

Gary Gallo, Editor  
Matt Malone, Asst. Editor  
Robert Landt, Graphics



picked Tower Commission help him in regard to the Jews, but it also played the "blame it all on North" game, so that Reagan could appear as ignorant and deceived. President Eisenhower used to play this game masterfully by appearing slightly confused about embarrassing events, and Reagan too is masterful in this, but this time it is just too much to believe that Lt. Col. Oliver North ran the Middle East and Latin American policy of the United States. In fact, the computer messages and memorandum in the report indicate clearly that North was by no means in control. He is obviously responsible for execution and not planning. And in regard to execution, he complains of having too much to handle, of being overworked, and of being "slightly confused" in regard to the profusion of financial accounts and operations that he must oversee. His computer messages to McFarlane and even to Poindexter literally beg for help. In April of 1986 North prepared a memo for the President which was routed through his superior National Security Advisor Admiral Poindexter (who had replaced McFarlane, though McFarlane was still intimately involved in the operational side of the NSC). North's memo outlines the Iran affair to date, and asks for permission to go on with the next steps which are to send a team to



**LT. COL. OLIVER L. NORTH**

They have made him the scapegoat.

Tehran with some weapons to finalize the deal with the Iranians. The team would include North and McFarlane. North then says in the memo that \$12 million from the deal would be transferred to the contras.

John Tower was asked by Sam Donaldson on ABC's **This Week with David Brinkley** if they had asked Reagan about the memo. Tower replied that they had and that Reagan said that he had never seen it. But a half hour later Chris Wallace on CBS asked Brent Scowcroft the same question and he replied that they had not asked Reagan specifically about the memo but only generally about whether he knew of the contra fund diversion. Reagan said he did not. Tower's performance on the Brinkley show was one of a Reagan apologist. He argumentatively denied Reagan's knowledge of anything of substance and yet defended Reagan's competence. North's memo was clearly meant to keep Reagan apprised of the course of events and to seek permission to go forward. Why would North write such a paper if he were running a rogue operation without the knowledge of his superiors as the Tower Commission and Reagan claim?

#### A REPRIEVE FROM THE PRESS

Why is the press not doing more to ask the obvious questions that would demolish this convoluted story? All of a sudden the media seems strangely willing to accept the thesis that Reagan was just befuddled and not Machiavellian - that he is ignorant, rather than lying in this affair. Why? Because as we explained in our Dec86 issue the the press senses that the people will not tolerate the destruction of another president by the media, and moreover if we do get to the bottom of things we are going to find Jews there, and the press lords very much want to avoid that. They will settle for paralyzing Reagan for the next two years; they will not urge either his resignation or his impeachment even though it is clear that he acted illegally both in the transfer of arms to Iran, which was listed by the State Department as a terrorist regime, and in the transfer of funds to the contras during a time in which U.S. support for the Nicaraguan rebels was prohib-



**HENRY A. KISSINGER**

The press let him get away with murder as National Security Advisor

ited by Congress. The press will, however, continually cast doubt on Reagan's judgement and competence in order to make it difficult for him to have much influence on the course of events. In that respect, the Tower Commission is a blessing for both Reagan and his enemies. It absolves Reagan of impeachable conduct, but in so doing it makes him look as if he has lost his grasp - a political compromise in the best traditions of American planned institutional ineptitude.

#### BUREAUCRATIC INDISCIPLINE

One other aspect of the Tower Report bears examination. Its description of the operation of the NSC and the top bureaucrats who ran it cannot encourage a faith in American political institutions or leadership practice. NSC functioning was supposed to be the primary focus of the Tower Commission study.

The Report depicts both Shultz and Weinberger as men who placed

See IRANSCAM, Page 8



# Communism and Capitalism Converge


The Soviet Union's national basketball team arrived in America this past winter to play a series of televised games with U.S. college teams. The Communist team being all decked out in their revolutionary red suits was no surprise, but what was that strange emblem on the front of their jerseys? A label which read--"Adidas", the well-known manufacturer of sporting goods. It was a visible mark in tribute of a capitalist victory. Every time the camera focused in on a Soviet player, millions of TV viewers were treated to a close-up of that label. One more triumph for the makers of athletic shoes, jeans, and just about everything else, who have tried to turn people into walking billboards with their corporate emblems. The distinctions between "us" and "them" grows finer all the time. Just like Americans, the Russians can go out and purchase shirts and running suits with a brand name.

Since our government does not support its best athletes to compete in sporting events, they must rely on corporations to put up the bucks, resulting in the inherent commercialization of even the greatest of all physical contests, the Olympics. When asked by a Soviet reporter, during the Goodwill Games, why the sweatsuits of the U.S. swim team were plastered with

labels advertising sponsoring manufacturers, swimmer Chris O'Neill answered, "That's just the way it's done in America." No doubt the ancient originators of the classical games are spinning in their graves at those very words.

O'Neill was puzzled that the issue was even raised, "I've never been asked that before," he said. Apparently he has become quite used to international corporations making a profit from every aspect of life. Now the clothes we wear and games we play are just one big advertisement. How soon, one might think, will it be before we wind up in a Rollerball scenario, where big business has replaced the governments, and sponsor gladiatorial games to keep the consumer populations content.

We are told that the U.S.S.R. was compensated handsomely by the good West German capitalists who run Adidas. And they and other companies certainly aren't tight with payments to U.S. basketball, track, and tennis stars, and other domestic athletes who act as their billboards. The biggest stars, it is said, get as much as \$250,000 a year for trying to persuade us to buy what "Adidas", or the other brands, pay them to wear. Even relatively unknown athletes, supposed "amateurs", manage at least \$10,000 for wearing particular brands of sporting gear.



**FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT**


He was not as astute as Joe Stalin.

The editor of *Harper's Magazine*, Lewis H. Lapham, reacting to the labeling of sport stars, suggested that the members of Congress enliven the TV coverage of their proceedings by donning jump suits decorated with "the trademarks, decals, and corporate monograms of their sponsors". During roll call votes they could also display baseball caps "bearing the insignia of the principal lobby or special interest that they stood ready to defend against the ingratitude of the poor."

For the big time capitalists, everything is a game to profit from. Nothing is sacred, not even what should be the noble display of amateur athletic ability in the Olympics. And as Mr. Lapham implies, our houses of government are even less revered.

The only thing which angers Western plutocrats about Communism is that those fellows in the Kremlin use different business tactics-- guns instead of stock certificates and loans. But maybe if the "evil empire" catches on, it too can play the game, with labels and all. Then we wouldn't have to worry about Communists any more since they would become our best trading partners.

Stalin said to Roosevelt during World War II that capitalism and communism were moving closer and closer to one another. Roosevelt replied that while that was true there would always be a 20 point gap between the two. Stalin has been proven the more perceptive political observer - the 20 point gap is rapidly disappearing. **MM**





# SHORT BURSTS

## Pollard Tells All

Convicted Jewish spy Jonathan Pollard is so upset that he has been left twisting in the wind by his beloved Israel that he has decided to tell all. In a 61 page pre-sentencing memorandum filed with the court Pollard says that it is "beyond reason" to believe that the top levels of the Israeli government were unaware of his spying.

In his most recent visit to the U.S. Prime Minister Yitzhak Shamir once again claimed that "this was a rogue operation that was not authorized and against the will of the government. As far as we're concerned, the matter is closed." But Pollard says that Shamir and the rest had to know that the information he was giving them was coming via espionage from the U.S. because it consisted of satellite photography and signals intelligence that America has always refused to provide Israel.

Moreover, each month Pollard was provided with a list of information by ministry which Israel needed. He met regularly with high level offi-

cials and says that "A near famous ex-Mossad assistant chief of operations... a highly decorated member of the air force, two senior science attaches and a leading international arms broker do not coalesce out of thin air."

Pollard calls himself a "misguided idealist" and says that he feels "like a prostitute" for accepting payments from Israel. The leading newspaper in Israel, the **Jerusalem Post**, recently said that Pollard was a "master spy" who gave Israel access to virtually all U.S. intelligence. Prostitute or Jewish patriot, Pollard deserves the death penalty, but you can bet he won't get it.


## RFK Betrayed Dissidents to KGB

Soviet poet Yevgeny Yevtushenko recently revealed that in the mid-1960's Robert Kennedy told him that the U.S. betrayed to the KGB two dissident Russian writers who had their manuscripts published in America under pseudonyms. Kennedy said to Yevtushenko, "I would like you tell your government that the names of Sinyavski and Daniel were given to your agents by our agents."

Yevtushenko was incredulous and asked Kennedy why he did it. "Because our people wanted to take take advantage of the situation, and your people took the bait. Because of Vietnam, our standing has begun to diminish at home and abroad. We needed a propaganda counterweight." This account of the conversation was confirmed by the translator Albert Todd of Queen's College. The Soviet Union arrested and imprisoned the two writers and this provoked an international outcry. We have to wonder what this act of cynicism cost us in terms of cooperation with the internal enemies of the Communist regime in Russia. It is another example of how close the U.S. and the U.S.S.R. have come to one another in methodology.

## Joe Slovo - The Good Red

In a further illustration of the



YEVGENY YEVTUSHENKO

## Stunned by Bob Kennedy's cynicism.


convergence of Communism and Capitalism, the **Washington Post** published an amazing puff piece on Joe Slovo, the Jewish head of the South African Communist Party and the commander of the military wing of the African National Congress. The article on Slovo was published on February 24th after an eight hour interview in Zambia. Remember Slovo is the man responsible for the

## DISTRIBUTE THE NATIONALIST

One of the most important things that you can do to support the White Nationalist Cause is to sell or distribute copies of **The Nationalist**. You can purchase current issues at the following rates:

2 copies	\$1.00
5 copies	\$2.25
10 copies	\$4.00
20 copies	\$7.00
50 copies	\$15.00
100 copies	\$25.00

Make check payable to The Nationalist and specify which current issue you are ordering.



JONATHAN JAY POLLARD





ANC leader Oliver Tambo, left, with Joe Slovo, who became banned group's first white executive committee member.

bombing campaign against civilian targets in South Africa and is responsible for the horrible neck-lacing murders of Blacks who support the White regime. A necklace is where a tire is placed around a man so he cannot escape while he is being burned alive.

The *Post* had this to say about Slovo:

"Some people who know Slovo, who is 60, think age has mellowed him."

"Slovo is portrayed [emphasis add-

ed] in South Africa and parts of the West as an ogre...."

"Pretoria claims that he is a colonel in the KGB, but Slovo laughed off questions about this."

"Slovo denied Pretoria's allegations that the Communist Party's alliance with the ANC means the black nationalist organization is a puppet controlled from Moscow."

"He [Slovo] described the alliance [between the ANC and the Communists] as a special relationship in which the Communist members unconditionally accepted the leadership of the ANC and were bound by its policy decisions even if these conflicted with their own party line."

"Once a nonracial democracy was established, ideological differences between the various elements of the alliance could be resolved in a democratic way, he [Slovo] said."

"The only possible restriction on political freedom might be a prohibition on political parties which advocated racism, Slovo said."

Well it is reassuring to know that Joe Slovo, the son of Jewish immigrants from Lithuania, is a democrat and is not like the lying, savage Communist Jews who ravaged Russia, Poland, Hungary, Bulgaria and Czechoslovakia. We feel so much better about the fate of South Africa now that we have gotten to know this charming man.

#### Soviet Genocide

Over the years no single people have received more media fanfare than the Jews and their overly

publicized "Holocaust". Films, TV specials, magazine articles, and books have made billions of dollars out of the "six-million" legend. And as the Jews in the entertainment industry have acquired a virtual monopoly on the world's sufferings, the tragedy of so many others seems to have gone unnoticed. That is, until a recent upsurge in interest in the Communist terror campaigns of the 1920's and 30's which rival the atrocities of World War II.


A recent review in *Time* magazine has brought to light a new book by Robert Conquest, entitled *The Harvest of Sorrow*. Conquest provides in depth data, including shocking eyewitness accounts, of Stalin's infamous war against the Russian peasantry. Between 1929 and 1934, some 20 million family farms were wiped out to make room for sovietized collectives. In the process, defiant and hardworking "kulaks", who were reluctant to surrender their way of life, fell victim to the ultimate form of Communist efficiency-- a campaign of terror.

As the book reveals, Stalin viewed the family farmer as hostile to his regime. They were relentlessly driven from their lands and were deported, imprisoned, or executed. Many Russians were put to work in the labor camps of Siberia. When peasants retaliated against collective measures by slaughtering cattle, tens of thousands of men and women were shot. In some military districts airplanes were used to strafe villages. Meanwhile productivity plummeted and Soviet agriculture lay in ruins.

The final phase came in a man-made famine in 1932-1933. Stalin aimed his genocide especially at the Ukrainians, whose nationalist yearnings he feared. Of the 7 million who died of hunger in this finishing blow, 6 million were Ukrainians. Robert Conquest reckons that the final death toll of the entire war against the peasants was over 14 million souls.

Conquest's research has been turned into a TV documentary which is being sponsored by conservative William Buckley. So far Buckley has gotten only PBS to show it, and it was only shown once whereas most PBS programs are broadcast over and over. This unparalleled tragedy in recent history will soon be once again overshadowed by more Jewish "Holocaust" crusades.

## SUBSCRIBE



## TO THE NATIONALIST

Let us mail *The Nationalist* directly to you each month. Send your subscription to: The Nationalist, Suite L-290, 444 N. Frederick Avenue, Gaithersburg, MD 20877.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Subscription Rates: USA \$12 for 12 issues; Overseas \$15 International Money Order for 12 issues.



# LETTERS

Dear National Democratic Front:

With pen in hand, I shall write unto you these few lines. Your party is truly a national socialist party, not another Third Reich copycat that is so often presented as national socialism. Hitler, Rosenberg and Codreanu would respect it.

Only one problem with National socialism is, this is America. To get White people to function as one is next to impossible in this country. Most Americans pride their independence as history shows. Total independence can be a "losing force" as seen with the Viking loss of power.

Nationalism will work only in

certain areas. The only solution is solitaritism. To have different groups working for a common goal, this way independence can still be attained.

Keep up your good work, you have a worthy programme.

Godspeed  
Sherman Hayhurst  
Stockton, CA

Editor- Though we reject the terms national socialism and fascism to define ourselves, I understand what you mean and appreciate your comments. Every nation must build its own form of nationalism in line with character of its own people. We do not want to be bound by foreign precedents and dogmas, nor by

images that Americans have of foreign movements, true or false. The independence of Americans is not necessarily a bad thing - it need not inevitably conflict with national discipline. Under great leadership, that independence would be channeled into healthy initiative. We should remember that the French were notoriously independent and ill-disciplined during the Revolution. Even under Napoleon very few French units could maintain a straight line in battle. If you cracked a British or Prussian line, the entire unit would panic and break. If you broke a French line it made little difference because the French could not tell if they were in line or not. Napoleon was able to direct the extraordinary energy of the French into a national mission to which the French willingly subordinated themselves. That seemingly chaotic energy had foiled all of the previous would-be leaders of France. Leadership is the key - leaders are what we will develop.

## ESSENTIAL READING FOR THE NATIONALIST:

CORNELIU ZELEA CODREANU



### FOR MY LEGIONARIES

(THE IRON GUARD)

Editura "Libertatea"  
Madrid

#### FOR MY LEGIONARIES

by Corneliu Codreanu, 353pp., \$8.00  
Codreanu's exciting autobiographical account of his struggle to build Romanian nationalism through the Iron Guard.



#### LEGION: THE NEXT LEADERS MANUAL

by Corneliu Codreanu, 96pp., \$5.00.  
A new reprint of Codreanu's organizational handbook for the Legionary Movement. A must for political activists.

THE NATIONAL DEMOCRATIC FRONT'S



PROGRAM OF DEMOCRATIC NATIONALISM

#### THE NATIONAL DEMOCRATIC FRONT'S PROGRAM OF DEMOCRATIC NATIONALISM

published by the NDF, 7pp., \$.50.  
The program of the NDF explains the basic ideology and plans of the Party. Easy to read.

Make all checks payable to: The Nationalist, Suite L290, 444 N. Frederick Ave., Gaithersburg, MD 20877. The prices listed above include postage.



# Iranscam

From Page 3

their disapproval of the Iran policy on the record, and then studiously avoided finding out anything about it when they were overruled. This is truly careerist conduct. A loyal official would have either resigned on such an important matter or would have thrown himself into the execution of it to increase its likelihood of success. Instead, they let the understaffed NSC attempt to carry out the operational end of things. Little wonder that North was begging for help and turned to people like General Secord who could get things done. The CIA, the Defense Department, and the State Department were all dragging their feet in an effort to undermine the policy. With such a lack of internal discipline a government cannot hope to operate in a competent fashion. Only institution of a Vanguard System such as is described in the latest issue of *New America* (No. 2) can solve this problem.

The Tower Commission says that the NSC is not supposed to carry out operations, but rather is a planning organization. The various departments are supposed to execute the plans created by the NSC and approved by the President. This is, of course, a bad joke. The NSC became a fully operational agency under that hero of the press, Henry Kissinger and has remained so in one degree or another ever since. For example, Kissinger as National Security Advisor ordered the Air Force to secretly bomb Cambodia in 1969 and 1970 and to create a false set of reports to deceive Congress into believing that the raids were actually against western South Vietnam. If a gentile had done this the media would have turned him into dead meat, but to this day Kissinger's reputation is untarnished by his crimes, and he is still celebrated as a great statesman by the press. Where was criticism of the NSC as an operational agency when Kissinger was in office? This Jew ran our foreign policy often without even consulting Secretary of State William Rogers, to the latter's great embarrassment. Yet Kissinger is praiseworthy, and McFarlane and Poindexter are second-raters who did not understand the true role of the NSC. Will the hypocrisy ever end? Yes, and you know when. GG



## COMMUNISM AND CAPITALISM A Primer

- |                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. The Communists believe that man is an economic animal whose material needs can best be served by a system in which a small political elite control the political and economic life of the country. | 1. The Capitalists believe that man is an economic animal whose material needs can best be served by a system in which a small economic elite control the political and economic life of the country. |
| 2. The Communists believe that all people are created equal and that there are no substantial genetic differences between the races.                                                                  | 2. The Capitalists believe that all people are created equal and that there are no substantial genetic differences between the races.                                                                 |
| 3. In the U.S.S.R. the races are forced against their will to mix with one another.                                                                                                                   | 3. In the U.S.A. the races are forced against their will to mix with one another.                                                                                                                     |
| 4. In the U.S.S.R. the tiny ruling class shapes the law for its own benefit, rather than for the benefit of the people.                                                                               | 4. In the U.S.A. the tiny ruling class shapes the law for its own benefit, rather than for the benefit of the people.                                                                                 |
| 5. The Communists profess belief in political freedom and will allow anyone to work in their system, except those who espouse capitalism or racism.                                                   | 5. The Capitalists profess belief in political freedom and will allow anyone to work in their system, except those who espouse communism or racism.                                                   |
| 6. The Communists believe in the right of self-determination for all peoples, except where it comes into conflict with the interests of the Soviet Union, as in Afghanistan.                          | 6. The Capitalists believe in the right of self-determination for all peoples, except where it comes into conflict with the interests of the United States, as in Vietnam.                            |

If you are looking for a real alternative to the twin evils of the modern world, contact us: National Democratic Front, Suite L290, 444 N. Frederick Ave., Gaithersburg, MD 20877.