Volume III, Number 1 Real Conservative Club Nolume III, Number 1 Real Conservative Club Athens, Georgia 30601 May 1, 1971

I don't care about COST; I buy only from FREEDOM-LOVING NATIONS. Chrome Policy Endangers National Security



Cartoon stolen from LIBERTY LETTER, 300 Independence Ave, S.E. Wash. D. C. 20003

The Nixon Administration recently requested Congress to release chromium from our strategic stockpile, a reserve supply of vital materials not produced in the United States which are essential to national defense. Chromium is a prime component of stainless steel and thus essential to the production of jet aircraft.

Sine and of Teff an all Taler block ad blas enta

Nixon has refused to purchase chromium from Rhodesia because he disagrees with that nation's racial policies. At the same time he has been paying \$65.00 a ton for Soviet chromium (presumably because he approves of that nation's internal policies!). The Russian ore pricé is twice that of the Rhodesian ore. Even worse, the Soviet Union is purchasing Rhodesian ore and then re-selling it to us!

. . . .

The United States (or rather the Nixon Administration) recalled her consul from Salisbury at the request of Great Britain. Nixon has never requested that Great Britain recall her consul from Hanoi, Nor has Great Britain halted her trade with North Vietnam.

It is interesting to note that Nixon is supremely unconcerned with the inflationary effects of this meddlesome policy. He exhorts the working, productive elements of America to tighten their belts, but when it comes to toppling friendly governments or financially assisting the Communist block, cost is of no concern. Also, as Nixon spurs trade with Red China, he is simultaneously refusing to trade with Rhodesia and is making America economically dependent upon the USSR. Rep. Jim Collins (R-Tex) has introduced a bill (H.R. 5445) which would force the Nixon Administration to abandon this insane boycott and restore trade with Rhodesia. Why not write your Congressman a letter in support of this bill?

STUDENT GOVERNMENT ELECTIONS - A MOVE TO THE CENTER

The staff of "Right On" wishes to express its pleasure at the outcome of the Student Government Association elections. The victory of the Action Union we hope will eliminate the puerile, radical activities which characterized the outgoing administration, e.g., the ill-advised SGS "memorial service" for the Kent State radicals killed last spring, which erupted into a riot.

The new administration takes office with the support of a Student Senate well toward the center in comparison to last year's group. However, it will take

more forceful leadership than that demonstrated by the Action Union on April
21st, when the Student Senate endorsed the moratorium. The moderate and conservative majority sat complacently silent, while the radical minority which
was so overwhelmingly repudiated in the elections stole the show.
And finally, Joe Fowler will profit by learning one basic rule - never
abandon your base of support to seek votes you cannot get. The students on
"Ag Hill", the Greeks, and the conservative elements on North Campus were not
pleased to see the Vice Presidential candidate they supported against the
unsavory Hugh Ruppersburg lending his name to the moratorium committee.

UNIVERSITY ADMINISTRATION MOVES AGAINST "RIGHT ON"

How many times have you heard the liberals extoll the virtues of "academic freedom" and the "right of dissent?" Anyone who has endured a class in the confines of Leconte Hall must have heard the liberal tenets reiterated ad infinitum. It may come as a surprise to you to hear some of the harrassment which our publication has received from Dean Suthern Sims and Mr. Wyatt of the Housing Department. (centinued on other side)

.

For many years it has been the policy of the University to allow student organizations to place their literature in the mailboxes of campus dormitories for several reasons. First it is the most systematic means of reaching students. Second, it prevents littering of the environment. If a student does not want to read the leaflet, he can promptly chunk it in the trash can. Many student organizations af all political persuasions have made use of this privilege. Now, however, the rule has been changed. Mr. Wyatt told one of our members that this change was instituted in response to complaints from the radicals who were disturbed by our distribution of "Right On." He later changed his story to say that among other reasons "Right On" was a fire hazard." He said that Dr. Armstrong and John Cox had collaborated with him in the decision. When quizzed each said it was Wyatt's idea. Thus the university is spending an estimated \$1600 to erect little tables in each mail room so we can put a stack of "Right on"s on them with a sign reading "Take one."

This suits the radicals because they know that the first radical who comes along can swipe the whole stack. It suits the left wing bigots in the Administration because they think it can prevent the dissemination of embarrassing information such as the record of Gunnar Myrdal.

Sims said he would refer the matter to the RHA - but urged the CCC to

sit tight. Weeks later, Sims said he "changed his mind" and would abide by the "Wyatt plan."

One thing is certain - and let other organizations take note. We intend to watch carefully for any violation of this rule by any other organization - the I.F.C., the Student Union, Phi Kappa, and all Radical groups. We have already noted one violation in Russell Hall.

And let Mr. Wyatt and Dean Sims take note - we intend to complain <u>loudly</u> to our friends in the Legislature, the Board of Regents, and the Executive branch of our state government. If the University has \$1,600 to spend to hobble free speech for conservative and patriotic groups, then we can see why the legislature is reluctant to appropriate more money for the University. And we suggest that cuts be made (at least to the tune of \$1,600) in the salaries of Mr. Wyatt and Dean O. Suthern Sims!

One further comment -a word to the wise. Next time you hear a liberal babbling about "academic freedom" and the "right of dissent"- take it with a grain of salt. It may turn out to be like school integration - another example of liberal hypocrisy.

Academic freedom and dissent are one way streets - good for liberals, and groups liberals approve of (communists, anarchists, weathermen, etc.); but not good for conservatives or rightists.

Racism

 $n_{0} - n_{0}!$

The Supreme Court last week unanimously upheld the bussing of middle class and working class white children into colored schools. The Court thus indicates that it will not harken to the wishes of the American people in the last election (a lesson which The Court in the 1930's finally learned). It is significant that Nixon's appointees joined in the decision - another indication that Nixon has no intention of delivering on his campaign promises to middle America.

Supreme Court Decrees - Bus 'em

And so the experiment of integration, which even the liberal "Newsweek" admits is a "tragic failure" must continue. And with it comes its effects - no social functions in the schools, abandonment of our regional songs with consequent loss of identity, the physical endangering of white children, the decline in the quality of education.

Of course, the limousine liberals will continue to enroll their children in all-white private or suburban schools. Only six members of the Senate and the House of Representatives have their children in the public schools of Washington, D.C. That old radical war-horse Senator George McGovern spends \$1600 to enroll his children in the suburban schools of Maryland to avoid putting them in schools with colored children.

In New York various rabbis have announced that they are forming exclusively Jewish schools because of the influx of negroes. The rabbis say they want to "preserve their ethnic identity." All very well, but why is it that it is wrong for Southern whites to preserve their identity, but fine for Jews to preserve theirs?

We have heard no report that the Nixon administration has revoked the tax exemptions of these exclusively Jewish schools as it has for the private schools of working and middle class Southern whites. We do know that Nixon is giving foreign aid to all-Jewish schools abroad.

How much longer are the American people going to tolerate being second class citizens in their own country?